Donlan v. Commissioner, 164 T. C. No. 3 (U. S. Tax Court 2025)

In a significant ruling on electronic signatures, the U. S. Tax Court upheld its jurisdiction over a case filed using its online petition generator. The court ruled that a taxpayer's name in the signature block of an electronically filed petition constitutes a valid signature, rejecting the Commissioner's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. This decision clarifies the validity of electronic filings in tax disputes and supports pro se litigants' access to the court.

Parties

Robert Donlan, Jr. and Kegan Donlan (Petitioners) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent).

Facts

On July 22, 2024, the Commissioner mailed a Notice of Deficiency to Robert and Kegan Donlan. The Donlans timely filed a Petition with the U. S. Tax Court on October 21, 2024, using the Court's online petition generator. This generator produces a petition without handwritten signatures, instead displaying a block with the typewritten names and contact information of the petitioners. On December 3, 2024, the Commissioner filed an Answer and a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, asserting that the petition was not properly signed.

Procedural History

The Commissioner filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, arguing that the absence of handwritten signatures on the electronically filed petition deprived the Court of jurisdiction. The Donlans did not respond to the Motion. The standard of review for jurisdictional issues is de novo.

Issue(s)

Whether a petition filed electronically through the Tax Court's online petition generator, which lacks a handwritten signature but includes the petitioners' names in the signature block, is properly signed under Tax Court Rule 23(a)(3), thereby conferring jurisdiction to the Court.

Rule(s) of Law

Tax Court Rule 23(a)(3) states: "A person's name on a signature block on a paper that the person authorized to be filed electronically, and that is so filed, constitutes the person's signature. " The Court's electronic filing instructions further clarify that the combination of the DAWSON username and password serves as the signature of the individual filing the document.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The U. S. Tax Court held that a petition filed using the Court's online petition generator, which includes the petitioners' names in the signature block, is properly signed under Tax Court Rule 23(a)(3). Consequently, the Court has jurisdiction over the Donlans' Petition.

Reasoning

The Court's reasoning focused on interpreting Tax Court Rule 23(a)(3) and its electronic filing instructions. The Court emphasized that the rule explicitly deems a person's name in the signature block of an electronically filed document as a valid signature if the person authorized the filing. The Court also considered the practical implications of electronic filings, noting that the majority of taxpayers represent themselves and that the online petition generator was designed to facilitate access to the Court. The Court rejected the Commissioner's argument that handwritten signatures are required, pointing out that other courts and the IRS also accept electronic signatures. The Court's analysis highlighted the policy of promoting access to justice through electronic means and clarified that the lack of a handwritten signature does not invalidate an electronically filed petition.

Disposition

The Court denied the Commissioner's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, affirming its jurisdiction over the Donlans' Petition.

Significance/Impact

This case significantly impacts the practice of tax law by affirming the validity of electronic signatures in Tax Court petitions. It clarifies that the Court's online petition generator meets the signature requirement, thereby facilitating pro se litigation and promoting access to justice. The decision aligns with broader trends toward electronic filing and may influence other courts to adopt similar interpretations of electronic signatures. It also underscores the importance of clear court rules and instructions in guiding litigants through the electronic filing process.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.