Students and Academics for Free Expression, Speech, and Political Action in Campus Education, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 163 T. C. No. 9 (U. S. Tax Ct. 2024)

The U. S. Tax Court granted a joint motion to dismiss a declaratory judgment case without prejudice, affirming its discretion to allow voluntary dismissal in cases filed under I. R. C. § 7428. The case involved SAFE SPACE's incomplete application for tax-exempt status, highlighting the court's ability to manage its docket and the importance of administrative record development in tax exemption disputes.

Parties

Students and Academics for Free Expression, Speech, and Political Action in Campus Education, Inc. (SAFE SPACE), as Petitioner, and the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, as Respondent, at the trial and appellate levels before the United States Tax Court.

Facts

SAFE SPACE, a corporation based in Metairie, Louisiana, submitted Form 1023 to the IRS on June 13, 2023, seeking recognition of exemption under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3). After more than 270 days without action from the IRS, SAFE SPACE filed a Petition on March 18, 2024, under I. R. C. § 7428, seeking a declaratory judgment on its initial qualification as a tax-exempt organization. The application was later identified as incomplete by the IRS. On May 3, 2024, both parties filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss the case without prejudice, with the intent for SAFE SPACE to perfect its application and create a full administrative record for future IRS review.

Procedural History

SAFE SPACE filed a Petition under I. R. C. § 7428 with the U. S. Tax Court on March 18, 2024, after the IRS failed to act on its Form 1023 application within 270 days. On May 3, 2024, the parties filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss the case without prejudice, which was considered by the court under its discretion to manage declaratory judgment cases.

Issue(s)

Whether the U. S. Tax Court has discretion to grant a motion for voluntary dismissal in a case filed pursuant to I. R. C. § 7428?

Rule(s) of Law

The U. S. Tax Court has discretion to grant motions for voluntary dismissal in declaratory judgment cases under I. R. C. § 7428, as guided by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) Rule 41(a)(2), which allows a court to dismiss a case by order at the plaintiff's request on terms the court considers proper. The court may consider

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

factors such as prejudice to the opposing party and whether the statutory period for filing a petition has expired.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court has discretion to grant a motion for voluntary dismissal in a case filed pursuant to I. R. C. § 7428. The court will dismiss this case without prejudice.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning was grounded in its authority to manage its docket and the applicability of FRCP Rule 41(a)(2) to declaratory judgment cases. The court distinguished between deficiency cases under I. R. C. § 6213, where voluntary dismissal is generally not allowed due to I. R. C. § 7459(d), and declaratory judgment cases like this one, where such dismissals are permissible. The court considered the absence of a limited statutory period for filing a petition under I. R. C. § 7428(a)(2), the lack of prejudice to the Commissioner as evidenced by the joint motion, and the potential benefits of further administrative record development before the IRS. The court's discretion was exercised in favor of dismissal without prejudice, allowing SAFE SPACE the opportunity to perfect its application and create a more complete record for future IRS determination and potential judicial review.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court granted the Joint Motion to Dismiss the case without prejudice.

Significance/Impact

This case reinforces the U. S. Tax Court's discretion to manage its docket in declaratory judgment cases, particularly those involving incomplete applications for tax-exempt status. It underscores the importance of a complete administrative record in tax exemption disputes and highlights the court's flexibility in allowing parties to perfect their applications before seeking judicial review. The decision may encourage organizations to ensure their applications are complete before resorting to court action, potentially reducing litigation and promoting more efficient administrative processes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.