McDougall v. Commissioner, 163 T. C. No. 5 (2024)

In McDougall v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that the commutation of a QTIP trust did not result in a taxable gift by the surviving spouse but did result in taxable gifts by the remainder beneficiaries. The court held that the surviving spouse, Bruce McDougall, did not make a taxable gift under I. R. C. § 2519 because he made no gratuitous transfer. However, his children, Linda and Peter, made taxable gifts under I. R. C. § 2511 by relinquishing their remainder interests without receiving consideration. This decision clarifies the application of the QTIP fiction and the tax consequences of trust commutations.

Parties

Petitioners: Bruce E. McDougall (Donor), Linda M. Lewis (Donor), Peter F. McDougall (Donor). Respondent: Commissioner of Internal Revenue. Bruce, Linda, and Peter were the petitioners in the consolidated cases, Docket Nos. 2458-22, 2459-22, and 2460-22, respectively.

Facts

Upon the death of Clotilde McDougall in 2011, her estate passed to a residuary trust (Residuary Trust) under her will. Her husband, Bruce McDougall, had an income interest in the trust, while their children, Linda and Peter, held remainder interests. Bruce elected to treat the Residuary Trust property as qualified terminable interest property (QTIP) under I. R. C. § 2056(b)(7). In 2016, Bruce, Linda, and Peter agreed to commute the Residuary Trust, distributing all assets to Bruce. Subsequently, Bruce sold some of these assets to trusts established for Linda and Peter in exchange for promissory notes. The parties filed gift tax returns for 2016, reporting the transactions as offsetting reciprocal gifts with no tax liability. The Commissioner issued Notices of Deficiency, asserting that the commutation resulted in gifts from Bruce to Linda and Peter under I. R. C. § 2519, and from Linda and Peter to Bruce under I. R. C. § 2511.

Procedural History

The petitioners timely filed Petitions for redetermination of the deficiencies. Bruce, Linda, and Peter moved for summary judgment, arguing no taxable gifts occurred. The Commissioner filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, seeking rulings that the commutation resulted in a disposition of Bruce’s qualifying income interest under I. R. C. § 2519, gifts from Linda and Peter to Bruce under I. R. C. § 2511, and that these were not offsetting reciprocal gifts. The Tax Court granted in part and denied in part both motions, applying the principles established in Estate of Anenberg v. Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the commutation of the Residuary Trust resulted in a taxable gift by

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Bruce McDougall under I. R. C. § 2519? 2. Whether the commutation of the Residuary Trust resulted in taxable gifts by Linda and Peter McDougall under I. R. C. § 2511?

Rule(s) of Law

I. R. C. § 2519(a) provides that any disposition of a qualifying income interest for life in QTIP shall be treated as a transfer of all interests in such property other than the qualifying income interest. I. R. C. § 2511 imposes a tax on the transfer of property by gift. I. R. C. § 2501(a)(1) specifies that the gift tax applies to transfers of property by gift during a calendar year. Treasury Regulation § 25. 2511-2(a) clarifies that the gift tax is a primary and personal liability of the donor, measured by the value of the property passing from the donor.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Bruce McDougall did not make a taxable gift under I. R. C. § 2519 because he made no gratuitous transfer, as required by I. R. C. § 2501. However, the court held that Linda and Peter McDougall made taxable gifts under I. R. C. § 2511 by relinquishing their remainder interests in the Residuary Trust without receiving consideration.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that Bruce's deemed transfer under I. R. C. § 2519 was not a taxable gift because he received full ownership of the Residuary Trust assets, which negated any gratuitous transfer. The court applied the principles from Estate of Anenberg, emphasizing that a transfer alone does not create gift tax liability; a gratuitous transfer is required. The court rejected the Commissioner's arguments that the commutation and subsequent sale of assets triggered gift tax liability for Bruce, finding no gratuitous transfer occurred. Regarding Linda and Peter, the court found they made gratuitous transfers by relinquishing valuable remainder interests without receiving anything in return. The court dismissed the argument that the QTIP fiction should apply to Linda and Peter, noting that the QTIP regime focuses on the surviving spouse's transfer tax liability and does not negate the children's real interests. The court also rejected the argument of offsetting reciprocal gifts, clarifying that Bruce's deemed transfer under I. R. C. § 2519 did not provide consideration to Linda and Peter. The court further noted that the economic positions of the parties were altered by the commutation, reinforcing the conclusion that Linda and Peter made taxable gifts.

Disposition

The Tax Court granted in part and denied in part both the petitioners' Motion for Summary Judgment and the Commissioner's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The court concluded that Bruce did not make any taxable gifts, while Linda and

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Peter did make taxable gifts to Bruce.

Significance/Impact

This case clarifies the application of the QTIP fiction under I. R. C. § 2519 and the tax consequences of trust commutations. It distinguishes between the surviving spouse's deemed transfer and the remainder beneficiaries' actual transfers, emphasizing that the QTIP fiction does not extend to negate the tax liability of other beneficiaries. The decision reinforces the principle that a gratuitous transfer is required for gift tax liability and provides guidance on the tax treatment of trust commutations and subsequent asset distributions. Subsequent courts may rely on this case when addressing similar issues involving QTIP trusts and gift tax implications.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.