YA Global Investments, LP v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 161 T. C. No. 11 (U. S. Tax Court 2023)

In a significant ruling, the U. S. Tax Court determined that YA Global Investments, LP, was engaged in a U. S. trade or business during the years 2006-2008 due to its financing activities, necessitating the payment of withholding tax under IRC Section 1446. The court rejected the partnership's arguments that its activities were merely investment-related, thus establishing a precedent for similar hedge funds and clarifying the scope of U. S. trade or business activities.

Parties

YA Global Investments, LP (Petitioner) and Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) at the U. S. Tax Court. The Petitioner included YA Global Investments, LP, and its tax matters partners, Yorkville Advisors, GP LLC, and Yorkville Advisors, LLC, during the relevant years.

Facts

YA Global Investments, LP, was a Delaware limited partnership that provided funding to portfolio companies through convertible debentures, SEDAs, and other securities. The partnership did not have employees and instead relied on Yorkville Advisors to manage its assets. The portfolio companies paid fees to both YA Global and Yorkville Advisors. For the years 2006, 2007, and 2008, YA Global filed Form 1065 but did not file Form 8804, asserting it was not engaged in a U. S. trade or business based on advice from its accounting firm. The IRS issued notices of final partnership administrative adjustment (FPAAs) for 2006-2008, determining YA Global was engaged in a U. S. trade or business and liable for withholding tax under IRC Section 1446.

Procedural History

YA Global and the Commissioner agreed to extend the time to assess tax until March 31, 2015. The IRS issued FPAAs on March 6, 2015, for the taxable years 2006-2008, determining YA Global was engaged in a U. S. trade or business and liable for withholding tax. YA Global filed petitions challenging these determinations. The Tax Court reviewed the case, considering the partnership's activities, the applicable law, and the statute of limitations.

Issue(s)

Whether YA Global Investments, LP, was engaged in a U. S. trade or business during the taxable years 2006-2008, and thus required to withhold tax under IRC Section 1446? Whether the statute of limitations barred the assessment of the withholding tax for 2006 and 2007? Whether YA Global was liable for additions to tax for failure to file Form 8804 and pay the withholding tax?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Rule(s) of Law

IRC Section 864(b) defines a “trade or business within the United States” and excludes trading in securities or commodities from this definition. IRC Section 1446 requires a partnership to withhold tax on the portion of its effectively connected taxable income allocable to foreign partners. Treasury Regulation Section 1. 864-2(c)(2) provides a safe harbor for trading in stocks or securities. IRC Section 6501(a) sets a three-year statute of limitations for tax assessments, starting from the filing of the required return.

Holding

The Tax Court held that YA Global was engaged in a U. S. trade or business during 2006-2008, as its activities through Yorkville Advisors were continuous, regular, and directed at income or profit beyond mere investment management. The court further held that the statute of limitations did not bar the assessment of withholding tax for 2006 and 2007, as YA Global did not file the required Form 8804, and the extensions agreed upon covered the assessment of the withholding tax. YA Global was liable for additions to tax under IRC Section 6651(a)(1) and (2) for failing to file Form 8804 and pay the withholding tax.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that YA Global’s activities, including negotiating, structuring transactions, and receiving fees from portfolio companies, went beyond mere investment management and were akin to a lending and underwriting business. The court rejected YA Global’s argument that it was merely an investor, emphasizing that the fees paid by portfolio companies were not solely for the use of capital but for services provided by Yorkville Advisors. The court also determined that YA Global’s failure to file Form 8804 did not start the statute of limitations under IRC Section 6501(a), as Form 1065 did not disclose the partnership’s liability for withholding tax. The court found that YA Global’s reliance on its advisors’ advice was not reasonable due to the partnership’s later filing of a negligence claim against the advisors. The court concluded that the lack of clear guidance on whether YA Global’s activities constituted a U. S. trade or business did not excuse its failure to file and pay the withholding tax, given the partnership’s consultation with advisors.

Disposition

The court entered decisions for the Commissioner for the taxable years 2006 and 2007, and under Rule 155 for the taxable year 2008, holding YA Global liable for the withholding tax and additions to tax. Additional issues for the taxable year 2009 were to be addressed in a subsequent opinion.

Significance/Impact

This case significantly impacts hedge funds and similar entities engaged in financing

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

activities, clarifying that such activities can constitute a U. S. trade or business subject to withholding tax obligations under IRC Section 1446. The decision underscores the importance of properly identifying and reporting such activities and the consequences of failing to do so, including liability for withholding tax and additions to tax. The case also provides guidance on the statute of limitations for withholding tax assessments and the relevance of professional advice in determining reasonable cause defenses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.