Zola Jane Pugh v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 161 T. C. No. 2 (2023)

In a significant ruling, the U. S. Tax Court upheld its discretion to dismiss cases without prejudice, even in the absence of objection from the Commissioner. Zola Jane Pugh sought dismissal of her challenge to a tax debt certification under I. R. C. § 7345, which had led to her passport denial. The Court's decision clarifies its authority in managing its docket and supports taxpayers' rights to withdraw cases without facing legal prejudice, setting a precedent for similar future disputes.

Parties

Zola Jane Pugh, the Petitioner, represented herself pro se throughout the proceedings. The Respondent, Commissioner of Internal Revenue, was represented by Susan K. Bollman and John S. Hitt.

Facts

On July 23, 2018, Zola Jane Pugh was notified by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue that she was certified to the U. S. Department of State as having a "seriously delinquent tax debt" under I. R. C. § 7345. This certification resulted in the State Department's refusal to renew Pugh's U. S. passport on April 15, 2019. Pugh contested this certification in the U. S. Tax Court, arguing its erroneous nature and alleging constitutional violations due to the nonissuance of her passport. The Commissioner filed two Motions for Summary Judgment, which were denied due to insufficient documentation. On January 23, 2023, Pugh moved to dismiss her case, claiming she was an "alien foreign national" exempt from taxation, a claim unsupported by evidence. Initially, the Commissioner objected to the dismissal but later withdrew the objection, stating no clear legal prejudice would result from dismissal.

Procedural History

Pugh filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court under I. R. C. § 7345(e) to challenge the certification of her tax debt. The Commissioner filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on January 19, 2021, which was denied without prejudice due to lack of supporting documentation. A second Motion for Summary Judgment was filed on November 23, 2022, but Pugh did not respond to either motion. On January 23, 2023, Pugh filed a Motion to Dismiss, which the Commissioner initially opposed but later did not object to. The Tax Court considered the motion and determined it had the discretion to dismiss the case without prejudice.

Issue(s)

Whether the U. S. Tax Court has discretion to grant a taxpayer's unilateral motion to dismiss without prejudice in a case contesting a certification under I. R. C. § 7345?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The U. S. Tax Court has the authority to manage its docket, including the power to dismiss cases without prejudice. This discretion is guided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) 41(a)(2), which allows dismissal by court order on terms the court considers proper, absent clear legal prejudice to the opposing party. The Tax Court has previously granted motions to dismiss without prejudice in various contexts outside of deficiency cases, such as those under I. R. C. §§ 6320(c), 6015(e), 7623(b)(4), 6404(h), 7430(f)(2), and 7476.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that it has discretion to grant Pugh's unilateral Motion to Dismiss without prejudice her case contesting a certification under I. R. C. § 7345. The Court found that absent evidence of clear legal prejudice to the Commissioner, it would grant the motion to dismiss.

Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that while it cannot dismiss deficiency cases without prejudice under I. R. C. § 7459(d), its jurisdiction extends to various non-deficiency disputes where it has granted motions to dismiss without prejudice. The Court looked to FRCP 41(a)(2) for guidance, which allows dismissal by court order absent clear legal prejudice to the opposing party. The Commissioner's withdrawal of objection and assertion of no clear legal prejudice supported the Court's decision to grant the motion. The Court also noted that the certification of Pugh's tax debt would remain in place despite the dismissal, further mitigating any potential prejudice. The Court's analysis included consideration of prior cases where similar motions were granted and the lack of controlling Tax Court Rules on the issue.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court granted Pugh's Motion to Dismiss without prejudice, denied the Commissioner's pending Motion for Summary Judgment as moot, and dismissed the case.

Significance/Impact

This case establishes that the U. S. Tax Court retains discretion to dismiss cases without prejudice in non-deficiency disputes, even when the Commissioner does not object. It underscores the Court's authority to manage its docket and supports taxpayers' rights to withdraw cases without facing legal prejudice. The ruling may influence future cases involving I. R. C. § 7345 certifications and similar disputes, clarifying the procedural rights of taxpayers in Tax Court proceedings. It also highlights the importance of the Commissioner's position on motions to dismiss, as their lack of objection can significantly impact the Court's decision.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.