

## ***Coggin v. Commissioner, 157 T. C. No. 12 (2021)***

In *Coggin v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court ruled on its jurisdiction over innocent spouse relief claims when a District Court has jurisdiction over related refund claims. The Tax Court dismissed the case for tax years 2001-07 due to the District Court's jurisdiction over those years but retained jurisdiction for 2008-09. The decision clarifies the jurisdictional boundaries between the Tax Court and District Courts in handling innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. sec. 6015.

### **Parties**

Alice J. Coggin, the petitioner, filed a petition against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the respondent. In the related District Court action, Coggin was the plaintiff and the United States was the defendant, which also filed a counterclaim against Coggin.

### **Facts**

Alice J. Coggin and her late husband, Phillip Ray Coggin, Sr. , filed joint tax returns for the years 2001-09. After her husband's death, Coggin discovered these joint filings and subsequently filed individual returns for the same period, seeking refunds for 2001-07. The IRS disallowed her refund claims for 2003, 2004, and 2007. Coggin then filed a refund suit in the U. S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina for years 2001-07, while the United States filed a counterclaim against her for remaining balances due for 2002-09. Coggin sought innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. sec. 6015 for 2001-09 and filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court after the IRS denied her request.

### **Procedural History**

The District Court granted summary judgment to the United States, dismissing Coggin's refund claims for 2001-07 but retained jurisdiction over the counterclaim. The case was stayed pending administrative review of Coggin's innocent spouse relief request. Coggin filed a petition with the Tax Court for innocent spouse relief for 2001-09, which the Commissioner moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction due to the ongoing District Court case. The Tax Court granted the motion in part for years 2001-07 and denied it for 2008-09.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction to determine a taxpayer's claim for innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. sec. 6015 when a District Court has jurisdiction over a related refund suit for some of the same tax years?

### **Rule(s) of Law**

Section 6015(e)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that if a suit for refund is

filed in a District Court or the Court of Federal Claims, the Tax Court loses jurisdiction over the taxpayer's action under section 6015 to the extent the District Court or the Court of Federal Claims acquires jurisdiction over the taxable years that are the subject of the refund suit.

### **Holding**

The Tax Court held that it lacked jurisdiction over Coggin's innocent spouse relief claims for tax years 2001-07 due to the District Court's jurisdiction over those years in the refund suit. However, the Tax Court retained jurisdiction over Coggin's claims for 2008-09 because the District Court did not have jurisdiction over those years due to non-payment of the full tax liability.

### **Reasoning**

The Tax Court's reasoning focused on the statutory language of I. R. C. sec. 6015(e)(3), which dictates that the Tax Court loses jurisdiction over years subject to a refund suit in another court. The court interpreted this to mean that the District Court's jurisdiction over the refund claims for 2001-07 precluded the Tax Court from hearing the innocent spouse relief claims for those years. For 2008-09, the court noted that the District Court did not have refund jurisdiction because full payment was not made, thus allowing the Tax Court to retain jurisdiction. The court also considered principles of comity and the District Court's anticipation that the Tax Court would proceed on the claims within its jurisdiction.

### **Disposition**

The Tax Court granted the Commissioner's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction with respect to tax years 2001-07 and denied the motion with respect to tax years 2008-09.

### **Significance/Impact**

The decision in *Coggin v. Commissioner* clarifies the jurisdictional interplay between the Tax Court and District Courts in cases involving innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. sec. 6015. It underscores the importance of understanding the sequence and scope of legal actions when pursuing tax relief, particularly when multiple courts may have jurisdiction over different aspects of the same tax liability. The ruling provides guidance on the jurisdictional limits of the Tax Court when a refund suit is pending in another court, which is significant for taxpayers seeking relief from joint and several tax liabilities.