

***Oakbrook Land Holdings, LLC v. Commissioner, 154 T. C. No. 10 (2020)***  
(United States Tax Court, 2020)

In *Oakbrook Land Holdings, LLC v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court upheld the validity of a Treasury regulation concerning the allocation of proceeds from the judicial extinguishment of conservation easements. The regulation requires that upon extinguishment, the donee must receive a proportionate share of the proceeds based on the easement's value at the time of donation, not considering subsequent improvements by the donor. This ruling ensures that conservation purposes remain protected in perpetuity, as mandated by the Internal Revenue Code, and impacts the validity of numerous conservation easement deductions.

## **Parties**

Oakbrook Land Holdings, LLC (Oakbrook), with William Duane Horton as Tax Matters Partner, was the petitioner. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue was the respondent. The case proceeded from the trial court to the U. S. Tax Court.

## **Facts**

In December 2007, Oakbrook purchased 143 acres near Chattanooga, Tennessee, for \$1,700,000. In December 2008, Oakbrook donated a conservation easement over 106 acres of this tract to the Southeast Regional Land Conservancy (SRLC), claiming a charitable contribution deduction of \$9,545,000 for 2008. The easement deed included a provision that, in the event of judicial extinguishment, SRLC would receive a share of the proceeds equal to the fair market value (FMV) of the easement at the time of donation, minus the value of any improvements made by Oakbrook post-donation. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) disallowed the deduction, arguing that this extinguishment clause violated the requirement that the conservation purpose be protected in perpetuity under I. R. C. § 170(h)(5).

## **Procedural History**

Oakbrook's 2008 tax return was selected for examination by the IRS, which issued a notice of final partnership administrative adjustment on December 6, 2012, disallowing the charitable contribution deduction. Oakbrook's tax matters partner petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for readjustment. The case was tried before Judge Holmes in 2016, and concurrently, a separate memorandum opinion was issued, holding that the easement did not satisfy the perpetuity requirement due to the extinguishment clause. The current opinion addressed Oakbrook's challenge to the validity of the Treasury regulation governing extinguishment proceeds.

## **Issue(s)**

Whether Treasury Regulation § 1. 170A-14(g)(6) was properly promulgated under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA)?

Whether the regulation's construction of I. R. C. § 170(h)(5) is valid under the Chevron two-step test?

### **Rule(s) of Law**

I. R. C. § 170(h)(5)(A) requires that a conservation purpose be protected in perpetuity for a charitable contribution deduction to be allowed. Treasury Regulation § 1. 170A-14(g)(6) stipulates that upon judicial extinguishment, the donee must receive a portion of the proceeds "at least equal to that proportionate value of the perpetual conservation restriction," calculated based on the easement's value at the time of the gift.

### **Holding**

The Tax Court held that Treasury Regulation § 1. 170A-14(g)(6) was properly promulgated under the APA and valid under the Chevron two-step test. The regulation's requirement for the donee to receive a proportionate share of extinguishment proceeds, without reduction for donor improvements, was upheld as a permissible interpretation of I. R. C. § 170(h)(5).

### **Reasoning**

The Court found that Treasury complied with APA notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures. Despite receiving comments on the proposed regulation, including concerns about the treatment of donor improvements, the Court concluded that Treasury considered all relevant comments and provided a sufficient basis and purpose for the final rule. The Court rejected the argument that Treasury failed to respond to significant comments, noting that agencies are not required to address every comment received.

Under Chevron step one, the Court determined that Congress did not directly address how to handle extinguishment proceeds, leaving an ambiguity that Treasury was authorized to fill. Under step two, the Court found the regulation to be a reasonable interpretation of the statute, ensuring that the conservation purpose remains protected in perpetuity. The Court reasoned that the regulation's proportionate value approach prevents the donor from reaping a windfall in case of future property value increases and ensures the donee's share remains constant relative to the property's value at the time of donation.

### **Disposition**

The Tax Court upheld the regulation's validity, affirming the IRS's disallowance of Oakbrook's charitable contribution deduction based on the easement deed's failure to comply with the regulation.

### **Significance/Impact**

The decision affirms the Treasury's authority to interpret the perpetuity requirement of I. R. C. § 170(h)(5) and impacts the validity of many conservation easement deductions that do not comply with the regulation. The ruling underscores the importance of ensuring that conservation purposes remain protected in perpetuity, potentially affecting future easement agreements and IRS enforcement actions.