Camara v. Commissioner, 149 T. C. No. 13 (2017)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled that an erroneous single filing by a married taxpayer does not count as a 'separate return' under I. R. C. § 6013(b), allowing the couple to later file a joint return without statutory time limits. This decision resolves ambiguity in tax filing status elections, affirming that only valid elections to file as married filing separately trigger § 6013(b)'s restrictions.

Parties

Fansu Camara and Aminata Jatta (Petitioners) versus Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). The petitioners were married and sought to change their tax filing status from an erroneous single filing to a joint filing.

Facts

Fansu Camara and Aminata Jatta were married throughout the relevant period. In 2013, Camara filed a 2012 Form 1040 claiming single filing status, which was erroneous given his marital status. The IRS, in a notice of deficiency dated February 10, 2015, adjusted Camara's filing status to married filing separately. Subsequently, Camara and Jatta filed a joint return for 2012 on May 27, 2016, after petitioning the Tax Court in response to the notice of deficiency. Jatta had not filed any return for 2012 before this joint filing. The IRS argued that Camara's initial single filing was a 'separate return' under I. R. C. § 6013(b), thereby imposing time limits on electing to file a joint return.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Camara on February 10, 2015, changing his 2012 filing status from single to married filing separately and determining a tax deficiency. Camara and Jatta, residing in Tennessee, timely petitioned the U. S. Tax Court on May 8, 2015, challenging this deficiency. On May 27, 2016, they filed a joint return for 2012, which was after receiving the notice of deficiency and petitioning the court. The case was submitted for decision without trial under Tax Court Rule 122.

Issue(s)

Whether a married taxpayer's erroneous filing of a single return constitutes a 'separate return' under I. R. C. § 6013(b), thereby subjecting the taxpayer to the limitations in § 6013(b)(2) when attempting to elect joint filing status?

Rule(s) of Law

I. R. C. § 6013(b) allows married taxpayers who have filed a 'separate return' to elect to file a joint return under certain conditions. However, § 6013(b)(2) imposes limitations on this election, including a three-year time limit from the filing deadline

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

and a prohibition after a notice of deficiency has been mailed. The term ‘separate return’ is not defined in the statute or regulations.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Camara’s erroneous filing of a single return did not constitute a ‘separate return’ under I. R. C. § 6013(b). Consequently, the limitations of § 6013(b)(2) did not apply, and Camara was entitled to joint filing status and rates based on the joint return filed with Jatta.

Reasoning

The court’s reasoning focused on the statutory context and judicial interpretations of ‘separate return’. The court noted that § 6013(b)(1) describes filing a separate return as an ‘election’, implying a choice between permissible filing statuses. An erroneous filing of a status not available to the taxpayer, such as single status for a married individual, cannot be considered an ‘election’. The court followed the rationale of the Fifth and Eighth Circuits in *Glaze* and *Ibrahim*, respectively, which held that only a return filed as married filing separately constitutes a ‘separate return’ for § 6013(b) purposes. The legislative history of § 6013(b) was intended to provide flexibility for taxpayers to change from a valid, but possibly improvident, election of filing status, not to prevent correction of an erroneous filing. The court also considered policy arguments against respondent’s position, noting that denying the ability to correct an erroneous filing could unfairly penalize taxpayers for mistakes made in good faith.

Disposition

The court’s decision allowed Camara to use joint filing status and rates for the 2012 tax year, with the decision to be entered under Tax Court Rule 155.

Significance/Impact

The *Camara* decision clarifies the scope of ‘separate return’ under I. R. C. § 6013(b), allowing married taxpayers who have erroneously filed as single to subsequently elect joint filing without being bound by § 6013(b)(2)’s time limits. This ruling aligns with appellate court interpretations and promotes a more equitable tax administration by permitting the correction of filing status errors. It may influence future IRS guidance and court decisions on similar issues, emphasizing the importance of proper election in tax filing status.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.