Estate of Minnie Lynn Sower, Deceased, Frank W. Sower, Jr. and John R. Sower, Co-Executors v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 149 T. C. No. 11 (2017)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled that the IRS can examine the estate tax return of a deceased spouse to adjust the Deceased Spousal Unused Exclusion (DSUE) amount claimed by a surviving spouse's estate, even after the statute of limitations has expired. This decision, affirming IRS authority under I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B), has significant implications for estate planning and the application of portability rules, allowing the IRS to ensure accurate tax calculations without assessing additional taxes on the predeceased spouse's estate.

Parties

The petitioners were the Estate of Minnie Lynn Sower, deceased, with Frank W. Sower, Jr. and John R. Sower serving as co-executors. The respondent was the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Facts

Frank W. Sower died on February 23, 2012, and his estate filed a timely estate tax return, reporting no estate tax liability. The estate claimed a deceased spousal unused exclusion (DSUE) amount of $1,256,033 and elected portability to allow the surviving spouse, Minnie Lynn Sower, to use it. The IRS issued a letter to Frank's estate on November 1, 2013, indicating acceptance of the return as filed and stating conditions under which the return might be reopened. Minnie died on August 7, 2013, and her estate filed a timely return, claiming the DSUE from Frank's estate. During an examination of Minnie's estate, the IRS also examined Frank's estate return and adjusted the DSUE amount to $282,690, resulting in an estate tax deficiency of $788,165 for Minnie's estate.

Procedural History

After the IRS examination of Minnie's estate, which included a review of Frank's estate return, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Minnie's estate on December 2, 2015, determining an estate tax deficiency of $788,165. Minnie's estate filed a timely petition with the U. S. Tax Court for redetermination of the deficiency. The court's review was conducted under Tax Court Rule 122, and the decision was issued on September 11, 2017.

Issue(s)

Whether the IRS has the authority under I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B) to examine the estate tax return of a predeceased spouse to determine the correct DSUE amount, even after the statute of limitations has expired for assessing tax against the predeceased spouse's estate?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Whether a letter from the IRS stating that an estate tax return has been accepted as filed constitutes a closing agreement under I. R. C. § 7121?

Whether the IRS is estopped from examining the predeceased spouse's estate tax return after issuing a letter stating the return was accepted as filed?

Whether an examination of the predeceased spouse's estate tax return constitutes a second examination under I. R. C. § 7605(b)?

Whether the applicable regulations under I. R. C. § 2010 prohibit the IRS from examining the predeceased spouse's return?

Whether the effective date of I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B) precludes the IRS from adjusting the DSUE amount for gifts given before December 31, 2010?

Whether the IRS's application of I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B) frustrates congressional intent regarding portability?

Whether the period of limitations on assessment of tax for the predeceased spouse's estate is implicated if the IRS does not determine an estate tax deficiency for that estate?

Rule(s) of Law

I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B) provides that the IRS may examine returns of the predeceased spouse to determine the DSUE amount, regardless of whether the period of limitations on assessment has expired. I. R. C. § 7121 defines a closing agreement as a written agreement between the taxpayer and the IRS regarding tax liability. I. R. C. § 7605(b) prohibits unnecessary examination or investigation of a taxpayer, allowing only one inspection of a taxpayer's books per year unless specified conditions are met. I. R. C. § 7602 grants the IRS broad discretion to examine any books, papers, records, or data relevant to ascertaining the correctness of any return.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the IRS acted within its authority under I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B) when it examined the estate tax return of Frank Sower to determine the correct DSUE amount available to Minnie Sower's estate. The court also held that the IRS's letter stating acceptance of Frank's estate tax return as filed was not a closing agreement under I. R. C. § 7121, nor did it estop the IRS from examining the return. The examination did not constitute a second examination under I. R. C. § 7605(b), and the applicable regulations did not prohibit the IRS from examining Frank's return. The effective date of I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B) did not preclude the IRS from adjusting the DSUE amount by gifts given before December 31, 2010, and the IRS's application of the statute did not frustrate congressional intent regarding portability. Finally, the period of limitations on assessment of tax for Frank's estate

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

was not implicated because no tax was assessed against his estate.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning centered on the interpretation of I. R. C. § 2010(c)(5)(B), which explicitly allows the IRS to examine the estate tax return of a predeceased spouse to determine the DSUE amount, regardless of the statute of limitations on assessment. The court emphasized that this power is necessary to ensure the correct calculation of estate tax for the surviving spouse's estate. The court rejected the argument that the IRS letter constituted a closing agreement under I. R. C. § 7121, as it lacked the formalities required by the statute and regulations. Similarly, the court found no basis for estoppel, as the IRS did not make a false representation or engage in wrongful misleading silence. The examination of Frank's estate was not considered a second examination under I. R. C. § 7605(b), as the IRS did not request new information. The court also clarified that the applicable regulations under I. R. C. § 2010 do not prohibit the IRS from examining the predeceased spouse's return, and the effective date of the statute did not preclude adjustments based on pre-2010 gifts. The court found that the IRS's actions were consistent with congressional intent to allow portability and did not violate due process by overriding the statute of limitations on assessment for Frank's estate, as no tax was assessed against his estate.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court entered a decision for the respondent, upholding the IRS's authority to examine Frank's estate return and adjust the DSUE amount claimed by Minnie's estate.

Significance/Impact

This decision significantly impacts estate planning and the application of portability rules. It clarifies that the IRS has the authority to examine the estate tax return of a predeceased spouse to ensure the accurate calculation of the DSUE amount for the surviving spouse's estate, even after the statute of limitations has expired. This ruling reinforces the IRS's ability to enforce tax laws without being bound by formal agreements like closing letters, and it upholds the statutory framework for portability, allowing surviving spouses to benefit from unused exclusion amounts while ensuring the IRS can verify the accuracy of such claims. Subsequent courts have cited this case in similar contexts, and it serves as a precedent for the IRS's examination authority in estate tax cases involving DSUE.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.