Gregory v. Commissioner, 149 T. C. No. 2 (2017)

In Gregory v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that cash-method taxpayers can elect to deduct estimated landfill reclamation and closing costs under I. R. C. § 468. This decision expands the scope of § 468, previously thought to apply only to accrual-method taxpayers, allowing cash-method entities to claim deductions for future expenses before they are paid. The ruling clarifies the definition of "taxpayer" under the statute, affirming that it includes all entities subject to internal revenue taxes, not just those using the accrual method.

Parties

Bob Gregory and Kay Gregory, and James W. Gregory, Jr. and Janet E. Gregory (Petitioners) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). The Gregorys, owners of Texas Disposal Systems Landfill, Inc. (TDSL), an S corporation, brought this case to the United States Tax Court challenging notices of deficiency issued by the Commissioner for the tax years 2008 and 2009.

Facts

Bob Gregory incorporated TDSL in 1988, choosing to use the cash method of accounting for tax purposes, while using the accrual method for financial accounting. TDSL operates a landfill in Texas and is subject to environmental regulations requiring it to maintain a standby letter of credit for reclamation and closing costs. In 1996, TDSL elected to deduct its estimated clean-up costs under I. R. C. § 468, which allows a deduction for qualified reclamation or closing costs for any taxable year to which the election applies. The Gregorys claimed these deductions on their 2008 and 2009 tax returns, which the Commissioner disallowed, arguing that § 468 applies only to accrual-method taxpayers.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued notices of deficiency to the Gregorys in April 2013, disallowing the deductions taken under § 468 for the tax years 2008 and 2009. The Gregorys timely filed petitions with the United States Tax Court challenging these deficiencies. The case was submitted for decision under Tax Court Rule 122, with the parties stipulating the facts and presenting the issue as a question of law regarding the applicability of § 468 to cash-method taxpayers.

Issue(s)

Whether the term "taxpayer" in I. R. C. § 468 includes cash-method taxpayers, allowing them to elect deductions for estimated reclamation and closing costs of landfills?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

I. R. C. § 468(a)(1) states: “[I]f a taxpayer elects the application of this section with respect to any mining or solid-waste disposal property, the amount of any deduction for qualified reclamation or closing costs for any taxable year to which such election applies shall equal the current reclamation or closing costs allocable to that year. ” I. R. C. § 7701(a)(14) defines “taxpayer” as “any person subject to any internal revenue tax. “

Holding

The term “taxpayer” in I. R. C. § 468 includes cash-method taxpayers, and thus TDSL, a cash-method taxpayer, is eligible to elect deductions for its estimated reclamation and closing costs under § 468.

Reasoning

The court’s reasoning focused on the plain language of the statute, which did not limit the application of § 468 to accrual-method taxpayers. The court referenced the broad definition of “taxpayer” in § 7701(a)(14) and found no evidence in § 468 that this definition was intended to be modified or limited. The court rejected the Commissioner’s arguments that the context of the statute, including the use of terms like “incurred” and the legislative history, implied a limitation to accrual-method taxpayers. The court also noted that § 468 uses both “incurred” and “paid,” suggesting it applies to both accrual and cash-method taxpayers. The court considered but was not persuaded by the legislative history, which showed a general intent to allow deductions for reclamation costs but did not explicitly limit § 468 to accrual-method taxpayers. The court concluded that allowing cash-method taxpayers to elect under § 468 does not lead to absurd results, as the statute provides a mechanism to prevent double deductions.

Disposition

The court entered decisions in favor of the petitioners, Bob and Kay Gregory, and James W. Gregory, Jr. and Janet E. Gregory, allowing them to claim the deductions under I. R. C. § 468 for the tax years 2008 and 2009.

Significance/Impact

The decision in Gregory v. Commissioner expands the applicability of I. R. C. § 468, allowing cash-method taxpayers to elect deductions for estimated reclamation and closing costs. This ruling clarifies the broad definition of “taxpayer” under the statute and provides greater flexibility for entities managing landfills and similar operations to match income and expenses more effectively. The decision may influence how other similar provisions in the Internal Revenue Code are interpreted and applied, particularly those involving the timing of deductions for future expenses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.