Good Fortune Shipping SA v. Commissioner, 148 T. C. No. 10 (2017)

The U. S. Tax Court upheld regulations that disallowed a foreign corporation, Good Fortune Shipping SA, from using bearer shares to establish ownership under IRC sec. 883(c)(1) for tax exemptions on shipping income. The court applied the Chevron two-step test, finding the regulations valid and consistent with congressional intent to prevent abuse by ensuring identifiable ownership.

Parties

Good Fortune Shipping SA, the petitioner, was a foreign corporation organized under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The respondent was the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. The case was heard before the United States Tax Court.

Facts

Good Fortune Shipping SA (Petitioner) was incorporated in 2002 under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands and issued its shares in bearer form. In 2007, Petitioner filed a U. S. Income Tax Return of a Foreign Corporation (Form 1120-F) claiming an exclusion from gross income and exemption from U. S. taxation of its U. S. source gross transportation income (USSGTI) under IRC sec. 883(a)(1). Petitioner asserted it was not described under IRC sec. 883(c)(1), which would have denied the exclusion and exemption if 50% or more of its stock value was owned by individuals not residing in a country granting equivalent exemptions to U. S. corporations. Petitioner maintained that regulations disallowing the use of bearer shares to establish ownership for these purposes were invalid. The Commissioner challenged Petitioner’s claims, leading to cross-motions for summary judgment.

Procedural History

The case was brought before the United States Tax Court on cross-motions for summary judgment filed by Petitioner and the Commissioner. The court reviewed the case de novo, applying the Chevron two-step analysis to determine the validity of the regulations under IRC sec. 883. The court found no genuine dispute as to any material fact and proceeded to analyze the legal issues presented.

Issue(s)

Whether the regulations under IRC sec. 883, which disallow the use of bearer shares to establish ownership for the purposes of determining eligibility for tax exemptions under IRC sec. 883(a)(1), are valid under the Chevron two-step analysis?

Rule(s) of Law

IRC sec. 883(a)(1) excludes from gross income and exempts from U. S. taxation gross income from the international operation of ships by a foreign corporation if the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

foreign country in which it is organized grants an equivalent exemption to U. S. corporations. IRC sec. 883(c)(1) denies this exclusion and exemption if 50% or more of the value of the foreign corporation's stock is owned by individuals who are not residents of a country granting an equivalent exemption. The Chevron test requires courts to defer to an agency's interpretation of a statute if Congress has not directly addressed the precise question at issue and the agency's interpretation is reasonable.

Holding

The court held that the regulations disallowing the use of bearer shares to establish ownership under IRC sec. 883(c)(1) were valid under the Chevron two-step analysis. The court found that Congress did not directly address how ownership through bearer shares should be treated under IRC sec. 883(c)(1), and the regulations were a reasonable interpretation of the statute.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning followed the Chevron framework. Under step one, the court found that IRC sec. 883(c)(1) and its legislative history were silent on how ownership through bearer shares should be established, creating a gap that the Treasury Secretary was authorized to fill. Under step two, the court determined that the regulations were a reasonable interpretation of the statute, given the difficulty in reliably demonstrating the true ownership of bearer shares. The court noted the potential for abuse and the intent of Congress to prevent such abuse by ensuring identifiable ownership. The court rejected Petitioner's argument that the regulations conflicted with the plain language of IRC sec. 883(c)(1), which simply used the term "owned" without specifying how ownership should be established. The court emphasized that the regulations did not deny ownership but rather disallowed the use of bearer shares for establishing ownership under IRC sec. 883(c)(1). The court also considered the Treasury Department's notice-and-comment procedures and the international concerns about the anonymity of bearer shares as factors supporting the validity of the regulations.

Disposition

The court granted the Commissioner's motion for summary judgment, denied Petitioner's motion for partial summary judgment, and entered a decision for the Commissioner.

Significance/Impact

The case reaffirmed the application of the Chevron deference in tax law, emphasizing the authority of the Treasury Department to promulgate regulations to fill statutory gaps. It also highlighted the challenges posed by bearer shares in tax administration, particularly in the context of international shipping income. The

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

decision underscores the importance of clear ownership identification to prevent tax abuse and supports the Treasury Department’s efforts to address these issues through regulations. Subsequent courts have cited this case in upholding similar regulations and in discussions of Chevron deference in tax law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.