Guralnik v. Commissioner, 146 T. C. 230 (2016)

In Guralnik v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that a petition filed one day late due to a snowstorm-induced closure of the court was timely under the principles of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(a)(3). This decision clarified how to compute filing deadlines when the court is inaccessible, ensuring that taxpayers are not unfairly penalized by circumstances beyond their control.

Parties

Felix Guralnik, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent. Guralnik was the petitioner at both the trial and appeal stages, while the Commissioner of Internal Revenue was the respondent throughout the litigation.

Facts

On January 16, 2015, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue mailed a Notice of Determination Concerning Collection Action(s) to Felix Guralnik regarding his outstanding federal income tax liabilities for 2003 and 2005. The notice informed Guralnik that he had 30 days to file a petition with the U. S. Tax Court to challenge the determination. Guralnik mailed his petition via Federal Express First Overnight service on February 13, 2015. The last day for timely filing was February 17, 2015, which coincided with a closure of all federal government offices in Washington, D. C. , including the Tax Court, due to Winter Storm Octavia. Guralnik's petition was delivered and filed on February 18, 2015, the next day the court was open.

Procedural History

The Commissioner moved to dismiss Guralnik's case for lack of jurisdiction, arguing that the petition was filed outside the 30-day period mandated by I. R. C. § 6330(d)(1). The case was assigned to a Special Trial Judge, who recommended denying the motion to dismiss. The Commissioner objected to the recommendation but did not challenge the factual findings. Guralnik and an amicus curiae supported the recommendation and advanced additional legal theories to sustain jurisdiction. The Tax Court reviewed these arguments and issued a final ruling.

Issue(s)

Whether the petition filed by Felix Guralnik on February 18, 2015, was timely under I. R. C. § 6330(d)(1) when the Tax Court was closed due to Winter Storm Octavia on the last day of the filing period?

Rule(s) of Law

The 30-day filing period prescribed by I. R. C. § 6330(d)(1) is jurisdictional and cannot be equitably tolled. I. R. C. § 7502 provides a "timely mailed, timely filed" rule for documents sent via U. S. mail or certain designated private delivery

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

services. I. R. C. § 7503 extends filing deadlines when the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(3)(A) extends the filing deadline to the next accessible day if the clerk's office is inaccessible on the last day for filing.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that Guralnik's petition was timely filed on February 18, 2015, because the court was inaccessible due to Winter Storm Octavia on February 17, 2015, the last day of the filing period. The court applied the principle from Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(3)(A), extending the filing deadline to the next accessible day that was not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

Reasoning

The court rejected the arguments for equitable tolling and the application of the "timely mailed, timely filed" rule under I. R. C. § 7502 because Federal Express First Overnight service was not a designated delivery service at the time of mailing. The court also found that I. R. C. § 7503 did not apply because the closure due to the snowstorm was not considered a "legal holiday. " However, the court adopted the principle from Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(3)(A) under the authority of Tax Court Rule 1(b), which allows the court to prescribe procedure by giving weight to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure when there is no applicable rule of procedure. The court reasoned that this rule was "suitably adaptable" to the situation at hand, ensuring that litigants are not penalized for the court's closure due to unforeseen circumstances. The court's decision was supported by the fact that similar principles had been adopted by other federal courts and were consistent with the court's prior practice of filling procedural gaps with analogous civil rules.

Disposition

The court denied the Commissioner's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, ruling that Guralnik's petition was timely filed on February 18, 2015.

Significance/Impact

This case establishes a precedent for computing filing deadlines when the Tax Court is inaccessible due to weather or other unforeseen events. It ensures that taxpayers are not unfairly penalized by circumstances beyond their control, such as government closures. The ruling clarifies the application of Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(3)(A) in the context of Tax Court procedures, potentially affecting future cases where similar issues arise. It also reinforces the Tax Court's authority to adopt principles from the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to fill procedural gaps, which could influence the development of Tax Court rules and practices.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.