Marvel Entertainment, LLC v. Commissioner, 145 T. C. 69 (U. S. Tax Court 2015)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled in favor of the IRS, determining that for consolidated groups, the entire Consolidated Net Operating Loss (CNOL) must be reduced by the total excluded Cancellation of Indebtedness (COD) income, not just the portion allocated to individual members. This decision, based on the Supreme Court's precedent in United Dominion, clarified that without specific regulations, a consolidated group's CNOL cannot be apportioned for tax attribute reduction, impacting how such groups handle bankruptcy-related tax exclusions.

Parties

Marvel Entertainment, LLC (Petitioner), as successor to Marvel Entertainment, Inc. , and as agent for members of Marvel Enterprises, Inc. and its subsidiaries, sought to challenge the IRS's determination of tax deficiencies for its taxable years ending December 31, 2003 and 2004. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) argued that the entire Consolidated Net Operating Loss (CNOL) of the consolidated group should be subject to reduction by the total excluded Cancellation of Indebtedness (COD) income.

Facts

Marvel Entertainment Group, Inc. (MEG), and its subsidiaries, collectively referred to as MEG Group, filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 on December 27, 1996. As part of their reorganization plan, certain debts were discharged, resulting in COD income which was excluded from their gross income under Section 108(a)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code for the short taxable year ending October 1, 1998. The MEG Group had a CNOL of $187,154,680 for this period. They allocated this CNOL among its members and reduced each member's share of the CNOL by their respective excluded COD income. The remaining CNOL was then carried forward to subsequent tax years. The IRS, upon audit, contended that the entire CNOL should have been reduced by the total excluded COD income, leading to a significantly lower CNOL carryforward than what was claimed by the MEG Group.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Marvel Entertainment, LLC, determining deficiencies for the taxable years 2003 and 2004, arguing that the entire CNOL should have been reduced by the total excluded COD income. Marvel Entertainment, LLC timely filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging these determinations. Both parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment, and the court granted summary judgment in favor of the Commissioner, applying the standard of review applicable to summary judgment motions.

Issue(s)

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Whether, under Section 108(b)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Net Operating Loss (NOL) subject to reduction in a consolidated group is the entire Consolidated Net Operating Loss (CNOL) of the group or a portion of the CNOL allocable to each member of the group?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 108(a)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code allows for the exclusion of COD income from gross income if the discharge occurs in a bankruptcy case. However, Section 108(b)(2)(A) mandates that the amount excluded from gross income under this provision shall be applied to reduce the tax attributes of the taxpayer, starting with any net operating loss for the taxable year of the discharge and any net operating loss carryover to such taxable year. The Supreme Court's decision in United Dominion Industries, Inc. v. United States established that in the context of consolidated returns, only the Consolidated Net Operating Loss (CNOL) exists as a defined NOL, and without specific regulatory provisions, members of a consolidated group cannot have separate NOLs.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the NOL subject to reduction under Section 108(b)(2)(A) is the entire Consolidated Net Operating Loss (CNOL) of the consolidated group. This decision was based on the Supreme Court's interpretation in United Dominion that without specific regulations allowing for the allocation of a portion of the CNOL to individual members, the entire CNOL must be used for tax attribute reduction purposes.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning was grounded in the Supreme Court's decision in United Dominion, which clarified that in the absence of specific consolidated return regulations allowing for the allocation and apportionment of the CNOL among group members, the entire CNOL must be treated as the NOL for purposes of reduction under Section 108(b)(2)(A). The court rejected Marvel Entertainment's argument that the statutory language of Section 108 intended a separate-entity approach, finding that the consolidated return regulations in effect at the time did not support such an interpretation. The court also noted that the legislative intent behind Section 108 was to defer, rather than permanently eliminate, COD income, which would be undermined if only a portion of the CNOL were subject to reduction. Additionally, the court addressed Marvel Entertainment's arguments concerning the applicability of other sections of the Code and regulations, ultimately finding them unpersuasive in light of the controlling precedent from United Dominion.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court granted the Commissioner's motion for summary judgment and

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

denied Marvel Entertainment, LLC's motion for summary judgment, affirming the IRS's determination that the entire CNOL should be reduced by the total excluded COD income.

Significance/Impact

The decision in Marvel Entertainment, LLC v. Commissioner is significant for its clarification of how tax attribute reduction under Section 108(b)(2)(A) applies to consolidated groups. It established that, in the absence of specific regulations, the entire CNOL must be reduced by the total excluded COD income, impacting how consolidated groups handle bankruptcy-related exclusions and carryforward of net operating losses. This ruling aligns with the Supreme Court's interpretation in United Dominion and has practical implications for tax planning and compliance in the context of consolidated returns and bankruptcy reorganizations. Subsequent regulatory changes have attempted to address this issue, but for the period before these changes, this case sets a clear precedent on the treatment of CNOL in consolidated groups.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.