

## ***American Airlines, Inc. v. Commissioner, 144 T. C. 24 (2015)***

In a significant ruling, the U. S. Tax Court determined it has jurisdiction over American Airlines' challenge to the IRS's denial of relief under Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978, concerning employment taxes for foreign flight attendants. The court clarified that a formal worker classification determination by the IRS is not necessary for its jurisdiction under Section 7436(a)(2). This decision expands the scope of Tax Court's authority in employment tax disputes, offering taxpayers broader avenues for contesting IRS assessments related to Section 530 relief.

### **Parties**

American Airlines, Inc. (Petitioner) was the taxpayer challenging the IRS's assessment of employment taxes for taxable years 2003 and 2004. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) assessed these taxes and denied American Airlines' claim for relief under Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978.

### **Facts**

American Airlines, a domestic corporation, operated South American routes staffed by foreign flight attendants domiciled in Argentina, Chile, Colombia, and Peru. These flight attendants were employed and paid by American Airlines' foreign branches, not directly by the company's U. S. operations. The foreign branches withheld local taxes but not U. S. employment taxes, which American Airlines claimed were not applicable due to the foreign flight attendants' limited time in the U. S. and the application of the 'business visitor exception' and/or Section 530 relief. The IRS, during audits for the tax years 2003 and 2004, assessed employment taxes against American Airlines, asserting that the foreign flight attendants were subject to U. S. employment taxes and rejecting American Airlines' claims for Section 530 relief.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS conducted an audit of American Airlines for the tax years 2003 and 2004, focusing on the employment tax status of the foreign flight attendants. American Airlines contested the IRS's assessment, claiming relief under Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978. After unsuccessful attempts to resolve the issue administratively, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency under Section 1441, asserting a 30% withholding tax on the foreign flight attendants' U. S. source income. Concurrently, the IRS assessed employment taxes under Subtitle C without issuing a formal notice of worker classification determination. American Airlines filed a timely petition in the U. S. Tax Court challenging both the notice of deficiency and the employment tax assessment. The parties filed cross-motions for partial summary judgment regarding the court's jurisdiction over the employment tax issues under Section 7436(a)(2).

## **Issue(s)**

Whether the U. S. Tax Court has jurisdiction under Section 7436(a)(2) to determine American Airlines' employment tax liabilities for the tax years 2003 and 2004, where the IRS did not issue a formal notice of worker classification determination but denied American Airlines' claim for relief under Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978?

## **Rule(s) of Law**

Section 7436(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code provides the Tax Court with jurisdiction to determine whether a taxpayer is entitled to relief under Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978 when there is an actual controversy involving a determination by the IRS as part of an examination that the taxpayer is not entitled to such relief. The court's jurisdiction under this section does not require a prior determination of worker classification by the IRS.

## **Holding**

The U. S. Tax Court held that it has jurisdiction under Section 7436(a)(2) to determine American Airlines' employment tax liabilities for the tax years 2003 and 2004, based on the IRS's determination that American Airlines was not entitled to relief under Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978.

## **Reasoning**

The court's reasoning was grounded in the statutory interpretation of Section 7436(a)(2). The court emphasized that the language of the statute explicitly allows jurisdiction when there is an actual controversy involving the IRS's determination that a taxpayer is not entitled to Section 530 relief, without requiring a prior determination of worker classification. The court rejected the IRS's argument that a formal worker classification determination was necessary, citing the disjunctive nature of the statute (using 'or' between paragraphs (1) and (2) of Section 7436(a)) and the legislative intent to provide a broad, practical construction of the jurisdictional provisions. The court also found that the IRS's actions during the audit, including issuing a Technical Advice Memorandum, a 30-day letter, and an Appeals Case Memorandum, constituted a determination that American Airlines was not entitled to Section 530 relief, thus satisfying the jurisdictional requirements of Section 7436(a)(2). The court's decision reflects a broader interpretation of its jurisdiction, allowing taxpayers to challenge IRS determinations related to Section 530 relief without the need for a formal worker classification notice.

## **Disposition**

The court granted in part American Airlines' motion for partial summary judgment, affirming its jurisdiction over the employment tax issues under Section 7436(a)(2). The court denied the IRS's motion for partial summary judgment.

## **Significance/Impact**

The decision in *American Airlines, Inc. v. Commissioner* significantly expands the scope of the U. S. Tax Court's jurisdiction in employment tax disputes. By clarifying that a formal worker classification determination is not required for jurisdiction under Section 7436(a)(2), the court has provided taxpayers with a broader avenue to contest IRS assessments related to Section 530 relief. This ruling may encourage more taxpayers to challenge the IRS's determinations regarding employment tax liabilities, particularly in cases involving complex international employment arrangements. The decision also underscores the importance of the Tax Court as a forum for resolving tax disputes, emphasizing its role in interpreting and applying tax statutes in a manner that is consistent with congressional intent and equitable to taxpayers.