RSW Enterprises, Inc. v. Commissioner, 143 T. C. 21 (2014)

In RSW Enterprises, Inc. v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court denied the IRS's motion for summary judgment in a case concerning the revocation of two retirement plans' qualified status. The court ruled that it was not limited to the administrative record in such cases and that genuine disputes of material fact existed regarding the ownership and structure of the companies involved. This decision clarifies the scope of judicial review in retirement plan revocations, emphasizing that courts may go beyond the administrative record when disputes over facts exist.

Parties

RSW Enterprises, Inc. and Key Lime Investments, Inc. , as petitioners, challenged the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, as respondent, regarding the revocation of their retirement plans' qualified status under I. R. C. sec. 401(a).

Facts

RSW Enterprises, Inc. and Key Lime Investments, Inc. , both domestic corporations, established retirement plans and received favorable determination letters from the IRS regarding the plans' qualified status under I. R. C. sec. 401(a). Later, the IRS revoked the plans' qualified status, asserting that the plans failed to meet the coverage requirements of I. R. C. secs. 401(a)(3) and 410(b) and the minimum participation requirements of I. R. C. sec. 401(a)(26). The IRS claimed that RSW and Key Lime were part of a controlled group with the Waage Law Firm due to ownership by the Waages, and also part of an affiliated service group because they performed services for the Waage Law Firm. The plans included only the Waages as participants, excluding employees of the Waage Law Firm, leading to the revocation.

Procedural History

The IRS issued revocation letters to RSW and Key Lime on April 5, 2011, asserting that the plans did not meet the qualification requirements of I. R. C. sec. 401(a) for the relevant plan years and all subsequent years. RSW and Key Lime petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for declaratory judgments that the plans' qualified status should not have been revoked. The Commissioner filed a motion for summary judgment, which the Tax Court denied due to genuine disputes of material fact.

Issue(s)

Whether the U. S. Tax Court's review in a declaratory judgment proceeding concerning the revocation of a retirement plan's qualified status is limited to the administrative record?

Whether genuine disputes of material fact exist that preclude the granting of summary judgment in favor of the Commissioner?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Rule(s) of Law

Under Tax Court Rule 217(a), in a declaratory judgment proceeding involving a revocation, the court may go beyond the administrative record when the parties do not agree that such record contains all the relevant facts and that those facts are not in dispute. Summary judgment may be granted if there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and a decision may be rendered as a matter of law, per Tax Court Rule 121(b).

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that it was not limited to the administrative record in a declaratory judgment proceeding concerning the revocation of a retirement plan's qualified status because the parties disagreed on whether the administrative record contained all the relevant facts and whether those facts were in dispute. The court further held that genuine disputes of material fact existed regarding the ownership and structure of RSW and Key Lime, precluding summary judgment in favor of the Commissioner.

Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the legislative history of I. R. C. sec. 7476 did not expect a trial de novo in declaratory judgment actions but distinguished cases involving initial qualification from those involving revocations. The court noted that in revocation cases, the IRS typically bases its determination on its own investigation, which often leads to unresolved factual disputes. The court emphasized that Rule 217(a) allows for going beyond the administrative record in revocation cases when the parties disagree on the completeness and accuracy of the administrative record. The court identified genuine disputes of material fact regarding whether the Waages owned RSW and Key Lime through trusts and whether the companies were part of an affiliated service group with the Waage Law Firm. The court concluded that these disputes precluded summary judgment and that a trial might be necessary to resolve these factual issues.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court denied the Commissioner's motion for summary judgment.

Significance/Impact

RSW Enterprises, Inc. v. Commissioner clarifies the scope of judicial review in retirement plan revocation cases, affirming that courts may consider evidence beyond the administrative record when factual disputes exist. This decision underscores the importance of factual disputes in determining the appropriateness of summary judgment and may encourage litigants to present additional evidence in revocation proceedings. The case also highlights the complexities of determining ownership and control in the context of retirement plan qualifications, particularly

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

when trusts are involved. Subsequent courts have relied on this decision to address similar issues in retirement plan revocations, reinforcing its doctrinal significance in tax law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.