Applied Research Associates, Inc. v. Commissioner, 143 T. C. No. 17 (U. S. Tax Court 2014)

In a landmark decision, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that consolidated taxable income of an affiliated group, comprising both a qualified personal service corporation and a non-qualified entity, should be taxed at graduated rates rather than a flat 35% rate. The court rejected the IRS's attempt to split the group's income into separate baskets for taxation, emphasizing the unified nature of consolidated returns. This ruling clarifies the tax treatment of such groups, preventing the IRS from applying a higher tax rate to income derived from personal service activities within a consolidated group.

Parties

Applied Research Associates, Inc. and Affiliate, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent. The case was filed in the U. S. Tax Court, docket no. 21076-11.

Facts

Applied Research Associates, Inc. (Applied Research), a qualified personal service corporation, owned all the outstanding stock of Oak Crest Land & Cattle Co. , Inc. (Oak Crest), which was not a qualified personal service corporation. The two entities formed an affiliated group under section 1504(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, with Applied Research as the common parent. During the tax years 2006 and 2007, the group filed consolidated federal income tax returns. Applied Research generated taxable income while Oak Crest incurred a loss, resulting in consolidated taxable income attributable solely to Applied Research. The group paid taxes on this income at the graduated rates provided by section 11(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner challenged this, asserting that the income should be taxed at the flat 35% rate applicable to qualified personal service corporations under section 11(b)(2).

Procedural History

The case was submitted to the U. S. Tax Court fully stipulated under Rule 122. The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency on June 9, 2011, asserting that the consolidated taxable income should be taxed at the 35% rate. The Tax Court, in a decision filed on October 9, 2014, ruled in favor of the petitioner, applying the standard of review applicable to statutory interpretation and regulatory application.

Issue(s)

Whether the consolidated taxable income of an affiliated group consisting of a qualified personal service corporation and an entity that is not a qualified personal service corporation should be taxed at graduated rates under section 11(b)(1) or at the flat 35% rate under section 11(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Rule(s) of Law

The Internal Revenue Code, section 11(b)(1), imposes graduated tax rates on the taxable income of corporations generally, while section 11(b)(2) imposes a flat 35% rate on the taxable income of qualified personal service corporations. Section 1501 permits affiliated groups to file consolidated returns, and section 1502 authorizes the Secretary to prescribe regulations to clearly reflect the tax liability of such groups. Section 1. 1502-2(a) of the Income Tax Regulations directs that the tax imposed by section 11 be applied to the consolidated taxable income of an affiliated group without distinguishing between the rates applicable under sections 11(b)(1) and (2).

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the consolidated taxable income of an affiliated group consisting of a qualified personal service corporation and an entity that is not a qualified personal service corporation should be taxed at the graduated rates set forth in section 11(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the income should be split into separate baskets and taxed at the flat 35% rate applicable to qualified personal service corporations under section 11(b)(2).

Reasoning

The court's reasoning focused on the statutory and regulatory framework governing consolidated returns. The court emphasized that section 1. 1502-2(a) of the Income Tax Regulations does not provide for the splitting of consolidated taxable income into separate baskets for taxation purposes. The court found that the consolidated return regulations treat the affiliated group as a single entity for tax computation purposes, consistent with the purpose of consolidated returns to reflect the true net income of a single business enterprise. The court also noted that the Commissioner had not updated the regulations to reflect the 1987 amendment to section 11(b), which introduced the flat rate for qualified personal service corporations. The court rejected the Commissioner's analogy to other special types of income enumerated in the regulations, finding that qualified personal service corporation income is not similarly treated. The court's decision was also influenced by prior cases such as Woods Investment Co. v. Commissioner, where the court declined to fill regulatory gaps or interfere with the Commissioner's regulatory authority. The court concluded that the consolidated taxable income of the affiliated group, which was not a qualified personal service corporation when viewed as a whole, should be taxed at graduated rates.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court entered a decision for the petitioner, affirming the use of graduated tax rates under section 11(b)(1) for the consolidated taxable income of

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

the affiliated group.

Significance/Impact

The decision in Applied Research Associates, Inc. v. Commissioner clarifies the tax treatment of consolidated returns for affiliated groups that include a qualified personal service corporation. By rejecting the IRS's attempt to split the group's income into separate baskets, the court upheld the principle that consolidated returns should reflect the group's income as a single entity. This ruling has significant implications for tax planning and compliance for such groups, ensuring that they can benefit from graduated tax rates rather than being subject to a higher flat rate. The decision also underscores the importance of regulatory updates to reflect statutory changes, as the court declined to fill the regulatory gap created by the 1987 amendment to section 11(b). This case may influence future regulatory actions by the IRS and could impact the tax treatment of other special types of income within consolidated groups.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.