Dynamo Holdings Limited Partnership v. Commissioner, 143 T. C. 9 (2014)

In a significant ruling on electronic discovery practices, the U. S. Tax Court in Dynamo Holdings Limited Partnership v. Commissioner approved the use of predictive coding for the first time. The court's decision allows parties to use advanced computer-assisted review techniques to efficiently manage the production of electronically stored information (ESI) in response to discovery requests. This ruling acknowledges the growing acceptance of predictive coding in the legal field and underscores its potential to reduce the time and cost associated with reviewing large volumes of documents, while still ensuring the protection of privileged information.

Parties

Dynamo Holdings Limited Partnership and Dynamo, GP, Inc. , as Tax Matters Partner (Petitioners) versus the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). Beekman Vista, Inc. was also a Petitioner in a consolidated case against the same Respondent.

Facts

The case involved a dispute over the nature of certain transfers between Beekman Vista, Inc. and Dynamo Holdings Limited Partnership. The Commissioner sought access to two backup storage tapes containing electronically stored information (ESI) to review metadata and verify document creation dates, as well as to ascertain all relevant transfers. The Petitioners argued that the tapes contained privileged and confidential information and that manually reviewing the tapes would be costly and time-consuming. They proposed using predictive coding to efficiently identify and produce nonprivileged, responsive information.

Procedural History

The case came before the U. S. Tax Court on the Commissioner's motion to compel the production of documents. The Petitioners sought to use predictive coding to respond to the discovery request, a technique not previously sanctioned by the Tax Court. The court held an evidentiary hearing to consider the motion and the use of predictive coding.

Issue(s)

Whether the Petitioners may use predictive coding to respond to the Commissioner's discovery request for electronically stored information on backup tapes?

Rule(s) of Law

The Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure allow parties to obtain discovery of documents and ESI relevant to the subject matter of the case, provided the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

information is not privileged. See Tax Court Rule 70(a)(1) and (b), and Rule 72(a). The Rules also expect parties to attempt informal consultation before resorting to formal discovery procedures, and they are to be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every case. See Tax Court Rule 1(d).

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the Petitioners may use predictive coding in responding to the Commissioner's discovery request for ESI on the backup tapes.

Reasoning

The court recognized that predictive coding is an expedited and efficient form of computer-assisted review that could save time and costs associated with manual review. The court noted that predictive coding is widely accepted in the technology industry and that its use in federal litigation is not unprecedented. The court cited expert testimony indicating that predictive coding could reduce the universe of documents to be reviewed and was more efficient than keyword searches. The court emphasized that the Rules are to be construed to ensure just, speedy, and inexpensive case determinations, and that predictive coding aligned with these goals. The court also noted that if the Commissioner believed the response to the discovery request was incomplete after review, he could file a motion to compel at that time.

Disposition

The court granted the Commissioner's motion to compel to the extent that the Petitioners must respond to the discovery request but may use predictive coding in doing so.

Significance/Impact

This decision marks a pivotal moment in the legal treatment of electronic discovery, as it is the first time a federal court has formally sanctioned the use of predictive coding in the discovery process. The ruling reflects the evolving understanding of electronic discovery and the acceptance of advanced technological tools to manage the increasing volume of digital information in litigation. The decision is likely to influence future cases involving electronic discovery and may encourage broader adoption of predictive coding in legal practice, potentially setting a precedent for other courts to follow. It also underscores the court's willingness to adapt traditional discovery procedures to the realities of modern data management, balancing the need for efficient discovery with the protection of privileged information.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.