Shiraz Noormohamed Lakhani v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 142 T. C. No. 8 (U. S. Tax Court 2014)

In a landmark decision, the U. S. Tax Court upheld the IRS's disallowance of a professional gambler's net wagering losses and the imposition of accuracy-related penalties. The court ruled that the gambler could not deduct a pro rata share of the racetrack's 'takeout' from parimutuel betting pools, as these are obligations of the track, not the bettors. Additionally, the court found that the limitation on deducting gambling losses under IRC §165(d) does not violate the Equal Protection Clause, reinforcing the legal distinction between gambling and other business activities. This ruling clarifies the scope of deductible expenses for professional gamblers and the application of tax penalties.

Parties

Shiraz Noormohamed Lakhani (Petitioner) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) at the trial and appeal levels before the U. S. Tax Court.

Facts

Shiraz Noormohamed Lakhani, a certified public accountant and professional gambler, deducted net wagering losses from horse racing for the years 2005-2009, contrary to IRC §165(d). Lakhani argued for deductions based on a pro rata share of the racetrack's 'takeout' and claimed that §165(d) unconstitutionally discriminated against professional gamblers. The IRS disallowed these deductions and imposed accuracy-related penalties under IRC §6662(a) for all years in question. Lakhani maintained that he acted with reasonable cause and in good faith.

Procedural History

Lakhani filed petitions for the years 2005 and 2006 under the name Shiraz Noormohamed Lakhani (Docket No. 21212-10), and for the years 2007-2009 under the name Shiraz Lakhani (Docket No. 24563-11). The cases were consolidated by the U. S. Tax Court on August 17, 2012. The court reviewed the case de novo, focusing on the legal interpretation of the tax code provisions and the applicability of the penalties.

Issue(s)

Whether a professional gambler can deduct net wagering losses in excess of gains under IRC §165(d)?

Whether a professional gambler can deduct a pro rata share of the racetrack's 'takeout' from parimutuel betting pools?

Whether the limitation on deducting gambling losses under IRC §165(d) violates the Equal Protection Clause?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Whether the accuracy-related penalties under IRC §6662(a) were properly imposed?

Rule(s) of Law

IRC §165(d) limits deductions for losses from wagering transactions to the extent of the gains from such transactions. IRC §6662(a) imposes a penalty on underpayments attributable to negligence, substantial understatements of income tax, or substantial valuation misstatements. Section 7491(c) shifts the burden of production regarding penalties to the Commissioner.

Holding

The court held that Lakhani was not entitled to deduct net wagering losses in excess of gains under IRC §165(d). The court also ruled that Lakhani could not deduct a pro rata share of the 'takeout' as these are obligations of the racetrack, not the bettors. The court found no violation of the Equal Protection Clause in applying IRC §165(d) to professional gamblers. The accuracy-related penalties under IRC §6662(a) were upheld for all years at issue.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that 'takeout' is the racetrack's share of the betting pool used to cover its expenses, and thus, Lakhani was not entitled to deduct any portion thereof. The court relied on the legislative history of IRC §165(d), which aimed to ensure that taxpayers report gambling gains if they wish to deduct losses, finding a rational basis for its continued application. The court dismissed Lakhani's equal protection argument, stating that the moral climate surrounding gambling does not affect the rational basis for distinguishing between gambling and other business activities. Regarding the penalties, the court found that Lakhani's substantial understatements of income tax for all years at issue met the criteria for imposing the penalties under IRC §6662(a). The court also rejected Lakhani's defense of reasonable cause and good faith, emphasizing that ignorance of the law is not an excuse for noncompliance, especially for a certified public accountant like Lakhani.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court sustained the IRS's disallowance of Lakhani's deductions for net wagering losses and upheld the accuracy-related penalties for all years in question. Decisions were to be entered under Rule 155.

Significance/Impact

This case reinforces the strict application of IRC §165(d) to professional gamblers, clarifying that 'takeout' from parimutuel betting pools cannot be deducted as it is an obligation of the racetrack. The decision also upholds the constitutionality of the limitation on gambling loss deductions, maintaining a distinction between gambling and other business activities. For legal practice, this ruling emphasizes the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

importance of accurate reporting of gambling gains and losses and the potential consequences of substantial understatements of income tax, particularly for professionals in the field of tax preparation.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.