## Halpern v. Commissioner, T. C. Memo. 2013-138 (2013)

In Halpern v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that a professional gambler could not deduct net wagering losses exceeding gains under IRC section 165(d), rejecting the argument that takeout from parimutuel betting pools constituted deductible business expenses. The court also upheld accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662, finding the taxpayer's substantial understatements of income tax and lack of reasonable cause or good faith. This decision reaffirmed the limitation on gambling loss deductions and the strict application of accuracy-related penalties.

#### **Parties**

Petitioner, Halpern, a professional gambler and certified public accountant, challenged the Commissioner of Internal Revenue's determinations regarding tax deficiencies and penalties for the years 2005 through 2009 at the U. S. Tax Court.

#### **Facts**

Halpern, residing in Woodland Hills, California, maintained an accounting practice and engaged in professional gambling through parimutuel wagering on horse races. He reported his gambling activities on a separate Schedule C, treating gross receipts from winning bets as income and the amounts bet as cost of goods sold. For the years 2005, 2006, 2008, and 2009, his net wagering losses exceeded his accounting practice income, resulting in reported business losses. In 2007, he reported a net wagering gain but claimed net operating loss carryovers from prior years. The Commissioner disallowed the deduction of these net wagering losses under IRC section 165(d) and imposed accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662.

# **Procedural History**

The Commissioner issued notices of deficiency to Halpern, determining deficiencies and penalties for the tax years 2005 through 2009. Halpern petitioned the U.S. Tax Court to challenge these determinations. The Tax Court, applying a de novo standard of review, considered the deductibility of Halpern's net wagering losses and the imposition of penalties, ultimately sustaining the Commissioner's determinations.

#### Issue(s)

Whether a professional gambler is entitled to deduct net wagering losses in excess of wagering gains under IRC sections 162, 165, or 212, and whether such losses are subject to the limitation of IRC section 165(d)?

Whether the taxpayer is liable for accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662 for substantial understatements of income tax?

## Rule(s) of Law

IRC section 165(d) provides that "Losses from wagering transactions shall be allowed only to the extent of the gains from such transactions."

IRC section 6662 imposes an accuracy-related penalty of 20% on any portion of an underpayment of tax attributable to, among other things, a substantial understatement of income tax.

## Holding

The Tax Court held that Halpern was not entitled to deduct his net wagering losses in excess of his wagering gains under IRC sections 162, 165, or 212, as these losses were subject to the limitation of IRC section 165(d). The court also held that Halpern was liable for accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662 due to substantial understatements of income tax for the years in question.

# Reasoning

The court rejected Halpern's argument that he was entitled to deduct a portion of the takeout from parimutuel betting pools as a business expense, finding that the takeout represented the track's share of the betting pool and was used to satisfy the track's obligations, not those of the bettors. The court also dismissed Halpern's equal protection argument, citing Valenti v. Commissioner, which held that the application of IRC section 165(d) to professional gamblers does not violate equal protection rights. The court emphasized the rational basis for the limitation on gambling loss deductions, as articulated in the legislative history of the Revenue Act of 1934, to ensure accurate reporting of gambling gains and losses.

Regarding the accuracy-related penalties, the court found that Halpern's understatements of income tax exceeded the thresholds for a substantial understatement under IRC section 6662. The court rejected Halpern's defense of reasonable cause and good faith, noting his professional background as a certified public accountant and his familiarity with the relevant tax laws. The court held that ignorance of the law is no excuse for noncompliance and that Halpern's arguments regarding takeout deductions were likely developed for trial rather than in good faith at the time of filing his returns.

#### **Disposition**

The Tax Court sustained the Commissioner's determinations, denying the deductibility of Halpern's net wagering losses and upholding the imposition of accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662. Decisions were entered under Tax Court Rule 155 for further computations.

#### Significance/Impact

Halpern v. Commissioner reaffirmed the strict application of IRC section 165(d), limiting the deductibility of gambling losses to the extent of gambling gains, even for professional gamblers. The decision also underscores the Tax Court's approach to accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662, emphasizing the importance of accurate tax reporting and the limited availability of the reasonable cause and good faith defense. This case serves as a reminder to taxpayers, particularly those engaged in gambling activities, of the need for careful tax planning and compliance with the Internal Revenue Code.