## Pilgrim's Pride Corp. v. Commissioner, 141 T. C. No. 17 (2013)

In a significant ruling on tax treatment of losses, the U. S. Tax Court held in Pilgrim's Pride Corp. v. Commissioner that losses from the voluntary surrender of securities, which are capital assets, must be treated as capital losses under Section 1234A of the Internal Revenue Code. This decision impacts how companies can deduct losses on the abandonment of securities, limiting their ability to claim ordinary loss deductions for tax purposes. The case arose when Pilgrim's Pride Corp. , as the successor to Gold Kist Inc. , surrendered securities for no consideration, aiming to claim a substantial ordinary loss deduction. The court's ruling clarifies the scope of Section 1234A, affecting corporate tax strategies regarding asset management and loss deductions.

#### **Parties**

Petitioner: Pilgrim's Pride Corporation, successor in interest to Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of Georgia, formerly known as Gold Kist, Inc., successor in interest to Gold Kist Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Respondent: Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

#### **Facts**

In 1999, Gold Kist Inc. (GK Co-op), a cooperative marketing association, purchased securities from Southern States Cooperative, Inc. and Southern States Capital Trust I for \$98. 6 million. These securities were capital assets. By 2004, Southern States offered to redeem the securities for \$20 million, but GK Co-op's board of directors decided to abandon them for no consideration, expecting a \$98. 6 million ordinary loss deduction to produce greater tax savings. On June 24, 2004, GK Co-op surrendered the securities to Southern States and the Trust for no consideration. GK Co-op reported this \$98. 6 million loss as an ordinary abandonment loss on its Federal income tax return for the tax year ending June 30, 2004.

# **Procedural History**

Pilgrim's Pride Corp. petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for redetermination of a \$29,682,682 deficiency in Federal income tax and a \$5,936,536 accuracy-related penalty determined by the Commissioner for the tax year ending June 30, 2004. The Commissioner conceded the accuracy-related penalty. The Tax Court considered whether the loss from the surrender of the securities should be treated as an ordinary or capital loss, ultimately holding that the loss should be treated as a capital loss under Section 1234A of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### Issue(s)

Whether the loss resulting from the voluntary surrender of securities, which are capital assets, should be treated as an ordinary loss under Section 165(a) of the Internal Revenue Code or as a capital loss under Section 1234A?

## Rule(s) of Law

Section 1234A of the Internal Revenue Code states that gain or loss attributable to the cancellation, lapse, expiration, or other termination of a right or obligation with respect to property that is a capital asset in the hands of the taxpayer shall be treated as gain or loss from the sale of a capital asset. Section 165(a) allows for a deduction of any loss sustained during the taxable year not compensated for by insurance or otherwise, while Section 165(f) subjects losses from sales or exchanges of capital assets to the limitations on capital losses under Sections 1211 and 1212.

# **Holding**

The Tax Court held that the loss from the surrender of the securities, which terminated GK Co-op's rights with respect to those capital assets, must be treated as a loss from the sale of a capital asset under Section 1234A of the Internal Revenue Code. Therefore, GK Co-op was not entitled to an ordinary loss deduction under Section 165(a) and Section 1. 165-2(a), Income Tax Regs.

### Reasoning

The court's reasoning centered on the interpretation of Section 1234A, which it found to apply to the termination of rights inherent in the ownership of capital assets, not just derivative contractual rights. The court analyzed the plain meaning of the statute, finding that the phrase "with respect to property" encompasses rights arising from the ownership of the property. The legislative history of Section 1234A, particularly the 1997 amendments, indicated Congress's intent to extend the application of the section to all types of property that are capital assets, aiming to prevent taxpayers from electing the character of gains and losses from similar economic transactions. The court rejected the petitioner's arguments based on subsequent regulatory amendments and revenue rulings, asserting that these did not alter the applicability of Section 1234A to the facts at hand. The court concluded that the loss from the surrender of the securities was subject to the limitations on capital losses under Sections 1211 and 1212.

#### **Disposition**

The Tax Court decided in favor of the Commissioner with respect to the deficiency, determining that the loss from the surrender of the securities should be treated as a capital loss. The court decided in favor of the petitioner with respect to the accuracy-related penalty, which had been conceded by the Commissioner.

#### Significance/Impact

This case significantly impacts the tax treatment of losses from the abandonment of securities that are capital assets. The Tax Court's interpretation of Section 1234A broadens its scope to include the termination of inherent property rights, not just derivative rights. This ruling limits the ability of corporations to claim ordinary loss

deductions for the abandonment of capital assets, affecting corporate tax planning and asset management strategies. The decision reinforces the principle that similar economic transactions should be taxed consistently, aligning with Congressional intent to remove the ability of taxpayers to elect the character of gains and losses from certain transactions.