Partners in Charity, Inc. v. Commissioner, 141 T. C. No. 2 (2013)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled that Partners in Charity, Inc. (PIC), a nonprofit corporation, did not qualify for tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3). PIC's down payment assistance program, which required home sellers to fund buyer grants, was deemed a commercial enterprise rather than a charitable endeavor. The court upheld the IRS's retroactive revocation of PIC's exempt status, emphasizing that PIC's operations deviated significantly from its initial representations of serving low-income individuals exclusively.

Parties

Partners in Charity, Inc. (Petitioner) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent)

Facts

Partners in Charity, Inc. (PIC) was established as an Illinois nonprofit corporation by Charles Konkus, a real estate developer, in July 2000. PIC applied for and received tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3), stating its primary purpose was to provide down payment assistance (DPA) grants to home buyers, particularly targeting low-income individuals. However, in practice, PIC required home sellers to pay the down payment amount plus a fee to PIC, which then provided the funds to buyers. PIC's operations were primarily funded by these seller payments, with no income restrictions for buyers and no charitable contributions received. By 2003, PIC had accumulated significant profits. The IRS later examined PIC's activities and revoked its exempt status retroactively to its incorporation date.

Procedural History

Following the IRS's examination of PIC's activities for the years 2002 and 2003, the IRS issued a final adverse determination letter on October 22, 2010, revoking PIC's tax-exempt status retroactively to July 10, 2000. PIC sought a declaratory judgment under I. R. C. § 7428, filing a petition with the U. S. Tax Court on January 20, 2011. The court conducted a trial, and both parties stipulated to certain facts. The court reviewed the case under a de novo standard and considered evidence beyond the administrative record.

Issue(s)

Whether during the examination years (2002 and 2003), PIC was operated exclusively for a charitable purpose as required under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3)?

Whether the IRS abused its discretion in retroactively revoking its determination that PIC was an organization described in I. R. C. § 501(c)(3)?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

To qualify for tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3), an organization must be both organized and operated exclusively for exempt purposes such as charitable, educational, or religious activities. The regulations under § 501(c)(3) state that an organization will be regarded as operated exclusively for exempt purposes only if it engages primarily in activities that accomplish one or more of such purposes, and no more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose. Furthermore, an organization cannot operate primarily for the purpose of carrying on an unrelated trade or business as defined in § 513. The IRS has discretion to retroactively revoke an exemption ruling where the organization omitted or misstated a material fact or operated in a manner materially different from that originally represented.

Holding

The Tax Court held that PIC was not operated exclusively for a charitable purpose during the examination years. PIC's DPA program did not serve a charitable class, and its primary activity was a substantial commercial enterprise. Additionally, the court held that the IRS did not abuse its discretion in making its adverse determination retroactive to PIC's incorporation date.

Reasoning

The court analyzed PIC's operations and determined that they did not align with the requirements for tax-exempt status under § 501(c)(3). PIC's DPA program was not operated to relieve poverty, as there were no income limits for recipients, and the program was available to anyone who qualified for a mortgage. The court emphasized that the purpose of an organization's activities, not just their nature, determines exempt status. PIC's primary source of revenue was from fees charged to sellers, which indicated a commercial purpose rather than a charitable one. The court also noted the significant profits accumulated by PIC, further evidencing a commercial operation. Regarding the retroactivity of the IRS's revocation, the court found that PIC's operations deviated materially from its initial representations to the IRS, justifying the retroactive action.

Disposition

The court entered a decision in favor of the respondent, affirming the IRS's revocation of PIC's tax-exempt status and upholding its retroactive effect.

Significance/Impact

This case underscores the importance of aligning an organization's actual operations with its stated purposes to maintain tax-exempt status under § 501(c)(3). It highlights the IRS's authority to retroactively revoke exempt status when an organization's activities materially differ from its representations. The decision serves as a reminder to nonprofit organizations of the necessity to operate primarily

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

for exempt purposes and the potential consequences of engaging in substantial commercial activities. Subsequent cases and IRS guidance have referenced this decision in evaluating the tax-exempt status of similar organizations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.