

Partners in Charity, Inc. v. Commissioner, 141 T. C. 151 (2013)

In *Partners in Charity, Inc. v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court upheld the IRS's retroactive revocation of the nonprofit's tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3). The court found that the organization, which facilitated home purchases by providing down payment assistance, did not operate for a charitable purpose as it served a broad range of buyers without income restrictions and engaged in significant commercial activities with home sellers, generating substantial profits. This ruling underscores the necessity for organizations to align their operations with their stated charitable purposes to maintain tax-exempt status.

Parties

Partners in Charity, Inc. (Petitioner) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). *Partners in Charity, Inc.* was the petitioner at the trial level before the United States Tax Court.

Facts

Partners in Charity, Inc. (PIC) was incorporated as a nonprofit in Illinois on July 10, 2000, by Charles Konkus, a real estate developer. PIC applied for tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3), claiming its primary activity would be providing down payment assistance grants to low-income home buyers. The IRS initially granted this status. In operation, PIC's down payment assistance (DPA) program required home sellers to pay PIC the down payment amount plus a fee, which PIC used to fund grants for future buyers. PIC did not limit grants based on income, offering them to any buyer who qualified for a mortgage. The organization's revenues, primarily from seller fees, were substantial, totaling \$28,644,173 in 2002 and \$32,439,723 in 2003. PIC accumulated profits of \$3,592,271 by the end of 2003. The IRS, upon examining PIC's operations for 2002 and 2003, retroactively revoked its tax-exempt status, effective from the date of incorporation.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a final adverse determination letter on October 22, 2010, revoking PIC's tax-exempt status retroactively to July 10, 2000. PIC filed a petition for declaratory judgment with the U. S. Tax Court under I. R. C. § 7428 and Tax Court Rule 210 on January 20, 2011. The case was tried under Tax Court Rule 217, allowing for evidence beyond the administrative record. The Tax Court reviewed the case *de novo*, with the burden of proof on PIC to show the IRS's determination was incorrect.

Issue(s)

Whether during the examination years (2002 and 2003) PIC was operated exclusively for a charitable purpose under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3)?

Whether the IRS abused its discretion in making its adverse determination retroactive to the date of PIC's incorporation?

Rule(s) of Law

To qualify for tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3), an organization must be both organized and operated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes, such as charitable, educational, or scientific purposes. The organization's activities must primarily further these exempt purposes, and any non-exempt activities must be insubstantial. An organization fails to meet this standard if it operates for the primary purpose of carrying on an unrelated trade or business as defined in I. R. C. § 513 or if its activities do not further an exempt purpose.

Holding

The Tax Court held that PIC was not operated exclusively for a charitable purpose during the examination years, as its DPA program did not serve a charitable class and involved substantial commercial activities with home sellers that did not further an exempt purpose. The court further held that the IRS did not abuse its discretion in revoking PIC's tax-exempt status retroactively to the date of incorporation.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that PIC's DPA program did not serve a charitable class, as it was available to any buyer who could obtain a mortgage, without income restrictions. PIC's operations were found to be primarily commercial, with significant revenues and profits derived from fees charged to home sellers. The court emphasized that an organization's purpose is determined by the context of its activities, not merely the nature of the activities or the subjective motives of its founders. PIC's fee-generating activities with sellers were its primary purpose and constituted an unrelated trade or business under I. R. C. § 513, as they were not substantially related to a charitable purpose aside from the need for funds. The court also noted that PIC's educational programs, while beneficial, were secondary to its DPA program and could not support tax-exempt status given the substantial non-exempt activities. Regarding retroactivity, the court found that PIC operated differently from what was represented in its application, justifying the IRS's retroactive revocation.

Disposition

The Tax Court entered a decision for the respondent, affirming the IRS's revocation of PIC's tax-exempt status retroactively to the date of incorporation.

Significance/Impact

This case is significant for clarifying the requirements for maintaining tax-exempt status under I. R. C. § 501(c)(3). It emphasizes that organizations must align their

operations with their stated charitable purposes and that substantial commercial activities not related to an exempt purpose can jeopardize tax-exempt status. The ruling also upholds the IRS's authority to retroactively revoke exempt status when an organization's operations materially differ from its representations. Subsequent cases have referenced *Partners in Charity* in discussions of what constitutes a charitable purpose and the commerciality doctrine. Practically, it serves as a reminder to nonprofits to carefully monitor their activities to ensure they further exempt purposes and to accurately represent their operations to the IRS.