

***Arthur I. Appleton, Jr. , Petitioner, and The Government of the United States Virgin Islands, Intervenor v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 140 T. C. No. 14 (United States Tax Court 2013)***

In a significant ruling, the U. S. Tax Court held that a U. S. citizen residing in the Virgin Islands who filed a Form 1040 with the Virgin Islands Bureau of Internal Revenue (VIBIR) did not need to file a separate federal return to commence the statute of limitations under I. R. C. § 6501(a). The court's decision clarified that such filings with the VIBIR met federal tax obligations, impacting how the IRS can assess taxes on Virgin Islands residents and reinforcing the legal framework under I. R. C. § 932.

## **Parties**

Arthur I. Appleton, Jr. , as the petitioner, and the Government of the United States Virgin Islands, as intervenor, were opposed by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the respondent. At the trial level, Appleton was the petitioner, and at the appellate level, the Government of the United States Virgin Islands intervened.

## **Facts**

Arthur I. Appleton, Jr. , a U. S. citizen, was a permanent resident of the U. S. Virgin Islands during the tax years 2002, 2003, and 2004. He timely filed Form 1040 for each year with the VIBIR, claiming the gross income tax exclusion provided by I. R. C. § 932(c)(4). Appleton did not file a federal tax return with the IRS or pay federal income tax, believing that his filings with the VIBIR satisfied both his territorial and federal tax obligations. More than three years after these filings, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency for those years, asserting that Appleton had not met his federal tax filing requirements because the Virgin Islands is a separate taxing jurisdiction.

## **Procedural History**

Appleton filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, asserting that the notice of deficiency was time-barred under I. R. C. § 6501(a), which sets a three-year statute of limitations for the IRS to assess taxes. The Government of the United States Virgin Islands intervened, also arguing that the notice was time-barred. The case was heard on summary judgment motions, with the Tax Court applying the de novo standard of review for questions of law regarding the statute of limitations.

## **Issue(s)**

Whether the Forms 1040 filed by Arthur I. Appleton, Jr. , with the Virgin Islands Bureau of Internal Revenue for tax years 2002, 2003, and 2004 constituted the returns required to be filed under I. R. C. § 6501(a), thus commencing the three-year statute of limitations on assessment?

## **Rule(s) of Law**

I. R. C. § 6501(a) provides that the amount of any tax imposed by the Internal Revenue Code shall be assessed within three years after the return was filed. I. R. C. § 932(c)(2) requires that individuals who are bona fide residents of the Virgin Islands file their income tax returns with the VIBIR. The Beard test, established in *Beard v. Commissioner*, 82 T. C. 766 (1984), defines a valid return as one that: (1) contains sufficient data to calculate tax liability; (2) purports to be a return; (3) represents an honest and reasonable attempt to satisfy tax law requirements; and (4) is executed under penalties of perjury.

## **Holding**

The Tax Court held that the Forms 1040 filed by Appleton with the VIBIR met his federal tax filing obligations and commenced the three-year statute of limitations under I. R. C. § 6501(a). The court concluded that the notice of deficiency issued by the IRS was time-barred because it was mailed more than three years after Appleton filed his returns.

## **Reasoning**

The Tax Court's reasoning hinged on several key points. First, it determined that the Forms 1040 filed with the VIBIR met the Beard test for valid returns, as they contained sufficient data, purported to be returns, represented an honest attempt to comply with tax laws, and were signed under penalties of perjury. Second, the court analyzed the statutory and regulatory framework, particularly I. R. C. § 6091 and the regulations thereunder, which directed permanent residents of the Virgin Islands to file their returns with the VIBIR. The court rejected the IRS's argument that a separate filing with the IRS was required, noting that no such directive was given in the relevant instructions or regulations for the years at issue. The court also considered the IRS's subsequent notices and regulations, which were issued after the tax years in question and did not apply retroactively. The court emphasized that meticulous compliance with filing instructions is required to trigger the statute of limitations, and Appleton had complied with the instructions in place at the time of filing.

## **Disposition**

The Tax Court granted Appleton's motion for summary judgment, holding that the IRS's notice of deficiency was time-barred. The court also denied the intervenor's motion for summary judgment as moot.

## **Significance/Impact**

This decision is significant for its clarification of the tax filing requirements for U. S. citizens residing in the Virgin Islands under I. R. C. § 932. It establishes that a Form 1040 filed with the VIBIR can commence the federal statute of limitations on assessment, impacting how the IRS can pursue tax assessments against Virgin

Islands residents. The ruling also highlights the importance of clear IRS instructions and regulations, as taxpayers are expected to comply with the directives in place at the time of filing. Subsequent courts have cited this case in similar disputes, and it has practical implications for legal practitioners advising clients on territorial and federal tax obligations.