Allcorn v. Comm'r, 139 T. C. 53 (2012)

In Allcorn v. Comm'r, the U. S. Tax Court upheld the IRS's denial of a taxpayer's request to abate interest on an erroneous refund. Luther Allcorn reported his estimated tax payment on the wrong line of his tax return, leading to an overstated refund. The IRS initially issued a larger refund than due but later corrected the error and sought repayment. The court ruled that while the IRS has discretion to abate interest on erroneous refunds, it did not abuse its discretion by denying Allcorn's request, as his mistake contributed to the error. This case clarifies the IRS's authority and discretion regarding interest abatement under IRC § 6404(e).

Parties

Luther Herbert Allcorn III, as the petitioner, initiated the action against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, as the respondent, in the U. S. Tax Court.

Facts

Luther Herbert Allcorn III timely filed his 2008 Form 1040, U. S. Individual Income Tax Return, after paying $4,000 in estimated taxes via Form 1040-ES. On his Form 1040, Allcorn mistakenly added the $4,000 estimated tax payment to the income tax withheld reported on line 62, rather than on line 63, which is designated for estimated tax payments. This error led to an overstatement of total payments on line 71. Allcorn included a note with his Form W-2, explaining the additional $4,000 payment with Form 1040-ES. Based on Allcorn's return, the IRS issued a refund of $5,179. 52 on May 11, 2009, which included the $4,000 erroneously counted as withheld tax. Later, the IRS corrected the error and informed Allcorn on August 30, 2010, that he owed $4,514. 19, which included the $4,000 excess refund plus a penalty and interest. Allcorn agreed to repay the $4,000 but disputed the penalty and interest. The IRS abated the penalty but denied the request to abate the interest.

Procedural History

Allcorn filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's determination not to abate interest. Both parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment. The court found no genuine issues of material fact and decided the case as a matter of law.

Issue(s)

Whether the IRS abused its discretion in denying the petitioner's request to abate interest on an erroneous refund under IRC § 6404(e)?

Rule(s) of Law

The IRS has the authority to abate interest on an erroneous refund under IRC §

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

6404(e)(1) if the accrual of interest is attributable to an error or delay by an IRS officer or employee acting in an official capacity. IRC § 6404(e)(2) mandates interest abatement on an erroneous refund of $50,000 or less unless the erroneous refund was caused by the taxpayer. An erroneous refund is defined by IRC § 6602 as a refund that is recoverable by a civil suit under IRC § 7405.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the IRS did not abuse its discretion in denying Allcorn's request to abate interest on the erroneous refund. The court found that Allcorn's mistake in reporting the estimated tax payment on the wrong line of his tax return contributed to the issuance of the erroneous refund, thus justifying the IRS's decision not to abate interest.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning focused on several key points:

– The court determined that the erroneous refund was recoverable by a civil suit under IRC § 7405 and thus qualified as an erroneous refund under IRC § 6602. This made IRC § 6404(e)(2) potentially applicable.

– However, the court noted that IRC § 6404(e)(2) mandates interest abatement only if the taxpayer did not cause the erroneous refund "in any way. " Allcorn's error in reporting his estimated tax payment on the wrong line contributed to the erroneous refund, thus falling under the exception where mandatory interest abatement does not apply.

– The court also analyzed IRC § 6404(e)(1), which allows discretionary interest abatement if the IRS's error or delay is the primary cause of the interest accrual. The court concluded that while Allcorn's mistake contributed to the error, the IRS still had the authority to abate interest under this provision, but it was not required to do so.

– The court reviewed the legislative history of IRC § 6404(e), which indicates that Congress intended to grant the IRS discretion to abate interest in appropriate situations, even if the taxpayer contributed to the error.

– The court found that the IRS's decision to deny interest abatement was not an abuse of discretion, as it was based on the fact that Allcorn's mistake contributed to the erroneous refund. Additionally, the court noted that Allcorn should have been aware of the erroneous refund when he received a much larger refund than expected and did not promptly notify the IRS of the error.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court denied Allcorn's petition and upheld the IRS's decision not to

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

abate interest on the erroneous refund.

Significance/Impact

This case clarifies the IRS's authority and discretion under IRC § 6404(e) regarding interest abatement on erroneous refunds. It establishes that the IRS is not required to abate interest if the taxpayer's actions contributed to the erroneous refund, even if the refund is recoverable by a civil suit. The decision underscores the importance of accurate tax reporting by taxpayers and the IRS's discretion in deciding whether to abate interest on erroneous refunds. The case also highlights the interplay between IRC § 6404(e)(1) and (e)(2), emphasizing that while the IRS has the authority to abate interest under either provision, it is not obligated to do so if the taxpayer contributed to the error.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.