Myles Lorentz, Inc. v. Commissioner, 138 T. C. 40 (2012)

In Myles Lorentz, Inc. v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that the company's heavy-duty tractors, used primarily off-highway but capable of highway travel, did not qualify for the diesel fuel tax credit. The court determined that the tractors were not specially designed for off-highway use, nor was their highway use substantially impaired. This decision clarifies the application of the off-highway vehicle exception, impacting how businesses can claim fuel tax credits for vehicles used in mixed environments.

Parties

Myles Lorentz, Inc. (Petitioner) sought a diesel fuel tax credit from the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). Myles Lorentz, Inc. was the plaintiff at the trial level before the U. S. Tax Court.

Facts

Myles Lorentz, Inc. (MLI) operates a business that involves moving dirt using a fleet of Mack truck tractors designed for heavy-duty use. These tractors, modified for strength and power, were primarily used to pull belly-dump trailers, which can carry approximately 43 tons. MLI registered its fleet in 21 states, with approximately 60% of the tractors' mileage occurring on public highways. The tractors could maintain regular highway speeds even when fully loaded. MLI claimed credits under I. R. C. sections 34(a)(3) and 6427(l)(1) for diesel fuel used in off-highway operations during tax years ending January 31, 2005, and January 31, 2006. The Commissioner disallowed these credits, leading MLI to petition the U. S. Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency disallowing MLI's claimed diesel fuel tax credits, instead allowing the amount as an increased deduction for total fuel expense. MLI filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging this deficiency. The case was submitted to the court on fully stipulated facts under Rule 122 of the Federal Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure. The Tax Court heard the case and issued its opinion, denying MLI's claim for the tax credit.

Issue(s)

Whether Myles Lorentz, Inc. 's tractors qualify for the off-highway vehicle exception to the diesel fuel tax credit under I. R. C. sections 34(a)(3) and 6427(l)(1) for the tax years ending January 31, 2005, and January 31, 2006?

Rule(s) of Law

The Internal Revenue Code allows a credit for diesel fuel used in off-highway

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

business use under sections 34(a)(3) and 6427(l)(1). A vehicle is considered an off-highway vehicle if it is "specially designed for the primary function of transporting a particular type of load other than over the public highway" and "by reason of such special design, the use of such vehicle to transport such load over the public highways is substantially limited or substantially impaired. " See 26 C. F. R. sec. 48. 4061(a)-1(d)(2)(ii), Manufacturers & Retailers Excise Tax Regs. For tax years after October 22, 2004, section 7701(a)(48) applies, defining a vehicle's design based solely on its physical characteristics.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that Myles Lorentz, Inc. 's tractors do not qualify for the off-highway vehicle exception to the diesel fuel tax credit for either tax year. The court determined that the tractors were not specially designed for off-highway use and were not substantially impaired for on-highway use, failing to meet the criteria set forth in the relevant regulations and statutes.

Reasoning

The court first determined that the term "vehicle" in the context of the tax credit refers to the tractors alone, not the tractor-trailer combinations. This interpretation was based on the regulation's clear language distinguishing between tractors and trailers. The court then analyzed the special-design requirement, finding that MLI's tractors were not designed for the primary function of transporting a particular type of load off-highway. The modifications made to the tractors, while making them heavy-duty, did not tailor them to a specific load or off-highway use; they were identical to unmodified highway tractors in most respects. Regarding the substantial impairment test, the court found that the tractors' ability to travel at regular highway speeds and their lack of need for special permits or being too high or wide for highway use meant they were not substantially impaired for on-highway use. The court also addressed the economic inefficiency argument, finding that the tractors' lower fuel efficiency and higher weight did not render their highway use unprofitable to a degree that would meet the regulation's substantial impairment standard. For the tax year ending January 31, 2006, the court applied section 7701(a)(48), which further narrowed the definition of off-highway vehicles, reinforcing the decision that MLI's tractors did not qualify for the credit.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court entered a decision for the Commissioner, denying Myles Lorentz, Inc. 's claim for the diesel fuel tax credit for both tax years in question.

Significance/Impact

The Myles Lorentz, Inc. case is significant for its clarification of the off-highway vehicle exception to the diesel fuel tax credit. It establishes a strict interpretation of

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

what constitutes a vehicle specially designed for off-highway use, focusing on the vehicle's physical characteristics and its primary function rather than its actual use. The case also highlights the distinction between tractors and trailers in the application of tax credits, impacting how businesses with mixed-use vehicles can claim such credits. Subsequent courts have cited this case to interpret similar issues, reinforcing its doctrinal importance in tax law related to excise taxes and credits.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.