Minihan v. Commissioner, 138 T. C. 1 (2012)

In Minihan v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that Ann Minihan, who sought innocent spouse relief, could claim a refund for her share of funds the IRS levied from a joint bank account. The court held that under Massachusetts law, Minihan owned half of the account, and this interest survived the IRS's levy. This decision expands the scope of innocent spouse relief by allowing refunds from jointly owned assets, significantly impacting how such relief can be applied in tax disputes involving marital property.

Parties

Ann Marie Minihan was the petitioner seeking innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f). John J. Minihan, Jr. , her former husband, intervened in the case. The respondent was the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Facts

Ann and John Minihan were married in 1989 and had three daughters. John managed the family finances and prepared joint federal income tax returns for the tax years 2001 through 2006. However, he did not remit payments for the tax liabilities, leading to assessments by the IRS. The couple's financial situation deteriorated in 2007, prompting Ann to file for divorce in September 2007. In June 2008, Ann sought innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f). The couple sold their marital home in 2008, depositing the proceeds into a joint Bank of America account intended for their children's education. In August 2009, John informed the IRS about this account, leading to IRS levies in February 2010 that collected the entire tax liability from the joint account.

Procedural History

Ann Minihan filed a timely petition with the U. S. Tax Court on November 9, 2009, challenging the IRS's denial of innocent spouse relief. The IRS moved for summary judgment on the issue of Ann's entitlement to a refund from the levied funds. The Tax Court held a hearing on this motion on March 21, 2011, and subsequently conducted a partial trial on the refund issue. The IRS's motion for summary judgment was denied as moot due to the partial trial, and the court proceeded to decide the refund issue in favor of Ann Minihan.

Issue(s)

Whether, under I. R. C. § 6015(g)(1), Ann Minihan is entitled to a refund of her share of the funds levied from the joint bank account, assuming she qualifies for innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f)?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

I. R. C. § 6015(f) allows the IRS to grant equitable relief from joint and several liability if it is inequitable to hold the requesting spouse liable. I. R. C. § 6015(g)(1) provides that a refund or credit may be allowed to the extent attributable to the application of § 6015, "notwithstanding any other law or rule of law. " Under Massachusetts law, joint account holders have a right to withdraw the entire account balance, but the real interest of each depositor is determined by their intention, which is a question of fact.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Ann Minihan is entitled to a refund of half of the funds the IRS seized from the joint Bank of America account, if and to the extent she is granted innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f). Under Massachusetts law, Ann had a 50% ownership interest in the joint account, and this interest survived the IRS levy.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning focused on the nature of the joint account under Massachusetts law and the provisional nature of IRS levies under I. R. C. § 6331. The court found that Ann and John intended to equally share the joint account, as evidenced by their actions and testimony. The court rejected the IRS's argument that Ann was not entitled to a refund because the funds were jointly owned, emphasizing that a levy does not extinguish a third party's rights in the levied property. The court distinguished this case from Ordlock v. Commissioner, which dealt with community property, and applied the principle from United States v. National Bank of Commerce that a lawful levy is provisional and does not determine third-party rights until post-seizure hearings. The court concluded that Ann's interest in the joint account survived the levy, allowing her to claim a refund under § 6015(g)(1).

Disposition

The court denied the IRS's motion for summary judgment as moot and ruled in favor of Ann Minihan on the refund issue, ordering that she is entitled to a refund of 50% of the levied funds if she qualifies for innocent spouse relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f). The case was remanded for a trial on the issue of her entitlement to § 6015(f) relief.

Significance/Impact

Minihan v. Commissioner is significant because it clarifies that innocent spouses can seek refunds from jointly owned assets under § 6015(g)(1), even when those assets are levied by the IRS to satisfy the other spouse's tax liability. This ruling expands the scope of innocent spouse relief and impacts how such relief is applied in tax disputes involving marital property. The decision has been cited in subsequent cases and has influenced the IRS's approach to innocent spouse claims involving joint

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

assets.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.