

Estate of Lillian Baral, Deceased, David H. Baral, Administrator, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 137 T. C. 1 (2011)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled in *Estate of Baral v. Comm’r* that expenses for qualified long-term care services are deductible as medical care under IRC Section 213. The court allowed deductions for payments to caregivers providing necessary 24-hour care to a dementia patient, affirming the broad scope of medical care expenses that can be claimed for tax relief. This decision highlights the tax implications of long-term care costs and clarifies the criteria for qualifying expenses.

Parties

Estate of Lillian Baral, represented by David H. Baral, Administrator, was the petitioner. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue was the respondent.

Facts

Lillian Baral suffered from severe dementia diagnosed by her physician, Dr. Martin Finkelstein, who determined she required 24-hour supervision for her safety and health. Her brother, David H. Baral, her attorney-in-fact, managed her finances and hired caregivers to provide the necessary care. In 2007, payments included \$760 to physicians and New York University Hospital Center, \$5,566 for supplies, and \$49,580 for caregiver services. Lillian Baral did not file a Federal income tax return for 2007, leading to the Commissioner filing a substitute return and determining a deficiency.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Federal income tax for Lillian Baral for 2007, including additions to tax. The Estate of Lillian Baral petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, asserting that her severe dementia excused her from filing obligations and challenging the Commissioner’s deficiency determination. The court granted partial summary judgment that mental incapacity did not excuse her filing obligation and placed the burden of proof on the petitioner. The issue of medical expense deductions was tried by consent of the parties.

Issue(s)

Whether the amounts paid in 2007 for (1) medical services provided by physicians and New York University Hospital Center, (2) supplies purchased by caregivers, and (3) qualified long-term care services provided by caregivers are deductible as medical care expenses under IRC Section 213?

Rule(s) of Law

IRC Section 213(a) allows deductions for medical care expenses not compensated by insurance or otherwise, exceeding 7.5% of the taxpayer’s adjusted gross income.

Medical care includes amounts paid for diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease (IRC Section 213(d)(1)(A)) and qualified long-term care services (IRC Section 213(d)(1)(C)). Qualified long-term care services are defined in IRC Section 7702B(c) as necessary services required by a chronically ill individual and provided pursuant to a plan of care prescribed by a licensed health care practitioner.

Holding

The court held that the \$760 paid for medical services by physicians and New York University Hospital Center qualified as medical care expenses under IRC Section 213(d)(1)(A). The \$49,580 paid for caregiver services qualified as medical care expenses under IRC Section 213(d)(1)(C) because they constituted qualified long-term care services. The court found that Lillian Baral was a chronically ill individual due to her severe cognitive impairment, and the services were necessary and provided pursuant to a plan of care prescribed by Dr. Finkelstein. The \$5,566 paid for supplies was not deductible due to lack of substantiation.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning was based on the definitions and requirements under IRC Sections 213 and 7702B. The payments to physicians and the hospital were directly related to the diagnosis and treatment of Lillian Baral's dementia, thus qualifying under Section 213(d)(1)(A). The court analyzed whether the caregiver services met the criteria for qualified long-term care services under Section 7702B(c). It found that Lillian Baral was a chronically ill individual due to her severe cognitive impairment, which required substantial supervision to protect her from threats to her health and safety. The services provided by the caregivers were necessary maintenance and personal care services required due to her diminished capacity and were prescribed by Dr. Finkelstein, meeting the statutory requirements. The court rejected the deduction for supplies due to insufficient evidence linking them to medical care. The court also considered policy implications, noting the importance of recognizing the financial burden of long-term care on taxpayers and the need to clarify the scope of deductible expenses under Section 213.

Disposition

The court allowed deductions for the \$760 paid to physicians and the hospital and the \$49,580 paid for qualified long-term care services, resulting in a total deductible medical care expense of \$50,340. The court denied the deduction for the \$5,566 paid for supplies. The decision was to be entered under Rule 155 of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Significance/Impact

This decision is significant for clarifying the scope of deductible medical care

expenses under IRC Section 213, particularly concerning long-term care services for chronically ill individuals. It provides guidance on the criteria for qualifying long-term care services and the necessity of a prescribed plan of care. The ruling impacts tax planning for individuals requiring long-term care and may influence future interpretations of what constitutes deductible medical expenses. The case also highlights the importance of proper substantiation of expenses to claim deductions and the broader implications of dementia care costs on taxpayers.