Park v. Comm'r, 136 T. C. 569 (2011)

In Park v. Comm'r, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that a South Korean nonresident alien's U. S. gambling winnings were taxable under IRC section 871(a) and not exempt under any treaty. The court also clarified the taxation of interest income, excluding only that from U. S. national banks. This decision underscores the complexities of tax treaties and the specific criteria for income exemptions for nonresident aliens.

Parties

Sang J. Park and Won Kyung O, as petitioners, filed a consolidated case against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, as respondent, in the U. S. Tax Court. The petitioners were the taxpayers challenging the IRS's determinations, while the respondent represented the U. S. government's position on the tax liabilities and penalties assessed.

Facts

Sang J. Park, a South Korean national and nonresident alien, visited the United States multiple times during 2006 and 2007 to visit family and for vacation. During these visits, he gambled at the Pechanga Resort & Casino in California, where he won significant jackpots from slot machines. Park did not report these winnings on his U. S. tax returns for those years. Additionally, Park and his wife, Won Kyung O, reported interest income on their tax returns, but they did not provide evidence to support their claim that this income was from bank deposits exempt under IRC section 871(i). The IRS assessed deficiencies and penalties for unreported gambling winnings and interest income.

Procedural History

The IRS issued notices of deficiency to Park and O for 2006 and to Park for 2007, asserting deficiencies in income tax and accuracy-related penalties. The petitioners filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging these determinations. The case was submitted fully stipulated under Tax Court Rule 122, meaning the parties agreed on the facts presented to the court. The court's decision was to be entered under Rule 155, indicating that the amount of the tax deficiency would be calculated after the court's decision on the legal issues.

Issue(s)

Whether Park's gambling winnings from 2006 and 2007 are subject to tax under IRC section 871(a)?

Whether Park's gambling income is effectively connected with a U. S. trade or business?

Whether the interest income earned by Park and O in 2006 and 2007 is subject to U.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

S. tax?

Whether the accuracy-related penalties imposed under IRC section 6662(a) should be sustained?

Rule(s) of Law

IRC section 871(a) imposes a 30% tax on certain fixed or determinable annual or periodical income received by nonresident aliens from sources within the United States, including gambling winnings. The U. S. -Korea Income Tax Treaty does not exempt gambling winnings from U. S. taxation. IRC section 871(i) excludes interest from deposits with U. S. national banks from taxation. IRC section 6662(a) imposes a 20% accuracy-related penalty on underpayments due to negligence or substantial understatement of income tax.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Park's gambling winnings were subject to tax under IRC section 871(a) because they were not exempt under the U. S. -Korea Income Tax Treaty or the Treaty of Friendship, Commerce, and Navigation. The court also found that Park's gambling activities did not constitute a U. S. trade or business, thus the winnings were not effectively connected income. The interest income reported by Park and O was subject to tax at a 12% rate under the U. S. -Korea Income Tax Treaty, except for interest from Wells Fargo, N. A. , which was excludable. The court sustained the accuracy-related penalties under IRC section 6662(a) due to negligence or substantial understatement of income tax.

Reasoning

The court analyzed the plain language of the U. S. -Korea Income Tax Treaty and found no provision exempting gambling winnings from U. S. tax for South Korean nationals. The court also examined the Treaty of Friendship, Commerce, and Navigation and determined that its most-favored-nation provision did not extend the tax exemptions on gambling winnings provided to other countries through bilateral treaties. The court applied the Groetzinger standard to assess whether Park's gambling was a trade or business, concluding it was not due to lack of evidence showing a profit motive or business-like conduct. For interest income, the court applied IRC section 871(i) and the U. S. -Korea Income Tax Treaty to determine the taxability of the income, finding that only interest from a U. S. national bank was excludable. The court reasoned that the accuracy-related penalties were justified due to Park's failure to report income and lack of reasonable cause or good faith.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court ruled that decisions would be entered under Rule 155, affirming the tax deficiencies and penalties as determined by the IRS, with the exception of the interest income from Wells Fargo, N. A. , which was excluded from tax.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Significance/Impact

This case clarifies the tax treatment of gambling winnings and interest income for nonresident aliens under U. S. tax law and treaties. It emphasizes the importance of understanding the specific provisions of tax treaties and the criteria for income to be considered effectively connected with a U. S. trade or business. The decision also reinforces the IRS's authority to impose accuracy-related penalties for failure to report income, even for nonresident aliens. Subsequent courts have cited this case when addressing similar issues, and it serves as a reminder to taxpayers of the need for proper documentation and understanding of tax obligations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.