Dagres v. Commissioner, 136 T. C. 263 (2011)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled in favor of Todd Dagres, a venture capitalist, allowing him to claim a $3. 6 million business bad debt deduction for a loan made to a business associate. The court determined that Dagres was engaged in the trade or business of managing venture capital funds, and the loan was proximately related to this business, thus qualifying for a business bad debt deduction under I. R. C. sec. 166(a). This decision clarifies the tax treatment of losses incurred by venture capitalists in connection with their business activities.

Parties

Todd A. and Carolyn D. Dagres, Petitioners, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent. The case was heard in the United States Tax Court.

Facts

Todd Dagres, a venture capitalist, was employed by Battery Management Co. (BMC) and was a Member Manager of several limited liability companies (L. L. C. s) that served as general partners to Battery Ventures' venture capital funds. In 2000, Dagres lent $5 million to William L. Schrader, a business associate who provided leads on potential investment opportunities for the venture capital funds managed by Dagres. The loan was unsecured and included an 8% interest rate. In 2002, the loan was renegotiated, and Schrader stopped making payments in 2003. In settlement, Schrader transferred securities valued at $364,782 to Dagres, who claimed a $3,635,218 business bad debt deduction on his 2003 income tax return.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue issued a notice of deficiency in 2008, disallowing the bad debt deduction and asserting an accuracy-related penalty. Dagres petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for redetermination. The court heard the case and considered whether Dagres was entitled to the business bad debt deduction and whether he was liable for the penalty.

Issue(s)

Whether Todd Dagres was engaged in the trade or business of managing venture capital funds at the time he made the loan to William Schrader, and whether the loan was proximately related to that business, thus qualifying for a business bad debt deduction under I. R. C. sec. 166(a)?

Rule(s) of Law

I. R. C. sec. 166(a) allows a deduction for any debt that becomes worthless during the taxable year. A business bad debt is deductible under this section if it is created or acquired in connection with a trade or business of the taxpayer. I. R. C. sec.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

166(d)(1) limits the deduction of nonbusiness bad debts to short-term capital losses. The Supreme Court in *United States v. Generes*, 405 U. S. 93 (1972), held that the dominant motivation for incurring the debt determines whether it is a business or nonbusiness bad debt.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Todd Dagres was engaged in the trade or business of managing venture capital funds and that his loan to William Schrader was proximately related to this business. Therefore, the bad debt loss was deductible under I. R. C. sec. 166(a) as a business bad debt.

Reasoning

The court analyzed whether Dagres's activity of managing venture capital funds constituted a trade or business. It determined that the General Partner L. L. C. s were engaged in the business of managing venture capital funds, as they received compensation in the form of management fees and a significant profits interest (carry) for their services. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the 1-percent investment in the funds by the General Partner L. L. C. s characterized the activity as mere investment, noting that the 20-percent profits interest was the primary incentive for the management services. The court also found that Dagres's dominant motivation for making the loan was to strengthen his business relationship with Schrader to gain access to investment opportunities, which was directly related to his venture capital management activities. The court further considered the tax treatment of carried interest and concluded that it did not negate the business character of the venture capital management activities.

Disposition

The court ruled in favor of Dagres, allowing the business bad debt deduction and denying the accuracy-related penalty. An appropriate order and decision were to be entered.

Significance/Impact

The *Dagres* decision is significant for venture capitalists and other professionals who manage investments for others, as it clarifies that their management activities can constitute a trade or business for tax purposes. The ruling allows for the deduction of losses incurred in connection with these activities as business bad debts, potentially providing a more favorable tax treatment than nonbusiness bad debt deductions. The case also highlights the importance of the dominant motivation test in determining the character of a bad debt for tax purposes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.