Cadwell v. Commissioner, 136 T. C. 38 (U. S. Tax Court 2011)

In Cadwell v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that life insurance policy contributions made to a welfare benefit plan, which was converted from a multiemployer to a single-employer plan, were taxable income to the employee upon conversion. The court determined that the employee's interest in the plan became substantially vested upon conversion, requiring the inclusion of the policy's cash value, excess contributions, and the cost of current-year life insurance protection in the employee's gross income.

Parties

G. Mason Cadwell, Jr. , the petitioner, was the employee and beneficiary of a welfare benefit plan sponsored by Keady Ltd. , an S corporation owned by his wife, Jennifer K. Cadwell. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the respondent, challenged Cadwell's tax treatment of contributions to the plan.

Facts

Keady Ltd. , a Pennsylvania S corporation, adopted a multiemployer welfare benefit plan (the Plan) in December 2002, which was administered by Niche Plan Sponsors and funded through contributions made by KSM Limited Partnership, a related entity. The Plan provided life insurance benefits to Cadwell and his daughters. In November 2004, the Plan was converted into a single-employer plan (SEP) due to concerns over its tax status. The conversion resulted in the assets being allocated solely to Keady Ltd. 's employees, with Cadwell receiving life insurance coverage with a death benefit of $1 million and a cash value of $70,529 as of December 2004.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency to Cadwell for the 2004 tax year, asserting that he owed additional taxes due to unreported income from the Plan's conversion. Cadwell filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, challenging the deficiency and seeking summary judgment. The Commissioner filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. The court granted the Commissioner's motion, finding no genuine issues of material fact.

Issue(s)

Whether the conversion of the Plan from a multiemployer to a single-employer plan resulted in Cadwell's interest becoming substantially vested, requiring the inclusion of the life insurance policy's cash value, excess contributions, and the cost of current-year life insurance protection in his gross income for the 2004 tax year?

Rule(s) of Law

Under 26 U. S. C. § 402(b)(1), contributions to a nonexempt employee trust are

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

included in the employee's gross income to the extent the employee's interest in such contributions is substantially vested. 26 C. F. R. § 1. 402(b)-1(b)(1) specifies that if an employee's rights under a nonexempt employee trust become substantially vested during a taxable year, the value of the employee's interest in the trust on the date of such change is included in the employee's gross income for that year.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Cadwell's interest in the Plan became substantially vested upon its conversion to an SEP. Consequently, Cadwell was required to include in his gross income for the 2004 tax year: (1) the cash value of the life insurance policy ($70,529), (2) excess contributions to the Plan ($17,355), and (3) the cost of current-year life insurance protection ($11,136).

Reasoning

The court reasoned that upon conversion, the Plan's assets could only be used to pay claims of Keady Ltd. 's employees, eliminating the risk that Keady's assets could be used to pay claims of other employers. Cadwell, as the sole officer of Keady Ltd. , had control over his eligibility under the SEP and could terminate the Plan, having the assets distributed to Keady Ltd. The court found the vesting restrictions illusory because Cadwell's control over the Plan's assets made the restrictions unenforceable against him. The court also rejected Cadwell's argument that contributions were a gift from his wife, as the payments were made by KSM, not his wife personally. The court applied the PERC (premiums, earnings, and reasonable charges) method from Rev. Proc. 2005-25 to value the life insurance policy, disregarding surrender charges. The court calculated the cost of current-year life insurance protection by adding mortality charges and other expenses, as these had already been subtracted from the PERC valuation.

Disposition

The Tax Court granted the Commissioner's cross-motion for summary judgment, denied Cadwell's motion for summary judgment, and held Cadwell liable for the accuracy-related penalty under 26 U. S. C. § 6662(b)(2) due to a substantial understatement of income tax.

Significance/Impact

The Cadwell decision clarifies the tax implications of converting a multiemployer welfare benefit plan to a single-employer plan, particularly regarding the vesting of employee interests. It establishes that such conversions can trigger the inclusion of plan assets in an employee's gross income if the employee's interest becomes substantially vested. The ruling underscores the importance of understanding the tax consequences of plan conversions and the application of the PERC method for valuing life insurance policies in non-exempt trusts. Subsequent cases have cited

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Cadwell to address similar issues related to welfare benefit plans and the taxation of life insurance contributions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.