

State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Commissioner, 135 T. C. 543 (U. S. Tax Court 2010)

In a landmark decision, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that State Farm could not deduct \$202 million in punitive damages as losses incurred under Section 832 of the Internal Revenue Code. The court clarified that such extracontractual damages, stemming from the insurer's misconduct rather than insured events, do not qualify as deductible losses. This ruling delineates the scope of deductible losses for insurers, affecting how insurance companies account for punitive damages in their tax filings.

Parties

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company and its subsidiaries (Petitioner) brought this action against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) in the United States Tax Court. State Farm was the appellant in this matter, challenging the Commissioner's determination of tax deficiencies for the years 1996 through 1999.

Facts

State Farm issued an automobile insurance policy to Curtis B. Campbell, effective August 8, 1980, with bodily injury coverage limits of \$25,000 per person and \$50,000 per accident. On May 22, 1981, Campbell was involved in an accident that resulted in the death of Todd Ospital and serious injury to Robert Slusher. A Utah State court held Campbell responsible and entered a judgment of \$185,849, exceeding the policy limits. State Farm appealed on Campbell's behalf but was unsuccessful. In 1984, during the appeal, Campbell, Ospital's estate, and Slusher agreed to pursue a bad faith action against State Farm, with Campbell represented by Slusher's and Ospital's attorneys and agreeing to pay them 90% of any damages awarded.

Campbell filed a complaint against State Farm in Utah State court (Campbell I) alleging bad faith, which was dismissed after State Farm offered to pay the entire judgment if the accident case was upheld on appeal. The Utah Supreme Court affirmed the accident case judgment in 1989, and State Farm paid \$314,768 to satisfy the judgment. Campbell then filed another complaint (Campbell II) in 1989, alleging breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, among other claims. The trial court granted summary judgment to State Farm, but the Utah Court of Appeals reversed and remanded for trial.

In 1996, a jury awarded Campbell \$2.6 million in compensatory damages and \$145 million in punitive damages, which the trial court reduced in 1998. The Utah Supreme Court reinstated the \$145 million punitive damages in 2001, leading State Farm to seek review from the U. S. Supreme Court. In 2003, the U. S. Supreme Court reversed the Utah Supreme Court's decision and remanded for

redetermination of punitive damages. In 2004, the Utah Supreme Court set punitive damages at \$9,018,781, which State Farm paid in full by August 2005.

State Farm included the \$202 million in punitive damages and related costs in its loss reserves for its 2001 and 2002 annual statements, which were reviewed and accepted by its outside auditors and the Illinois Department of Insurance. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue challenged this treatment, asserting that such damages were not deductible under Section 832(b)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code as they were not losses incurred on insurance contracts.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined deficiencies in State Farm's income tax for the taxable years 1996 through 1999 and issued a notice of deficiency on December 22, 2004. State Farm timely filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court on March 21, 2005, contesting the deficiencies. The court resolved six of the seven issues raised in the petition, leaving the deductibility of the \$202 million in punitive damages as the remaining issue. A trial was held on December 9 and 10, 2009, and the Tax Court issued its opinion on November 8, 2010.

Issue(s)

Whether the punitive damages and related costs of \$202 million awarded against State Farm in the Campbell II case are properly includable in losses incurred under Section 832(b)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 832(b)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that an insurance company's underwriting income includes the "losses incurred on insurance contracts". The statute links federal taxes to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' (NAIC) annual statement, but it is silent on whether extracontractual losses like punitive damages can be included in the loss reserves. The court referenced the Seventh Circuit's decision in *Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Commissioner*, which held that the NAIC annual statement controls the timing of deductions for insured losses.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the \$202 million in punitive damages and related costs awarded in the Campbell II case are not deductible as losses incurred under Section 832(b)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court determined that these damages were extracontractual and not covered by the insurance policy, and thus not deductible as losses incurred on insurance contracts.

Reasoning

The Tax Court's reasoning centered on the nature of the punitive damages as extracontractual liabilities resulting from State Farm's own misconduct, rather than losses arising from insured events. The court interpreted Section 832(b)(5) to apply only to insured losses, not to extracontractual damages such as punitive awards. The court distinguished the Sears case, which dealt with the timing of insured loss deductions, from the present case, which involved the deductibility of extracontractual damages.

The court rejected State Farm's argument that the annual statement method of accounting, as accepted by the Illinois Department of Insurance, should control for federal tax purposes. Instead, the court held that the punitive damages were not inherent to the underwriting of insurance risks and should be treated as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 832(c)(1) and Section 162, not as losses incurred under Section 832(b)(5).

The court also considered the legislative history and regulations related to Section 832 but found no indication that Congress intended to allow the annual statement to control the deductibility of extracontractual losses for tax purposes. The court's analysis focused on the statutory context and the nature of the damages, concluding that the punitive damages were not deductible as losses incurred.

Disposition

The Tax Court's decision was entered under Rule 155, indicating that the court's findings would be used to compute the final tax liability, with the punitive damages excluded from the deductible losses incurred.

Significance/Impact

This case clarified the scope of deductible losses for insurance companies under Section 832 of the Internal Revenue Code. The ruling established that punitive damages, as extracontractual liabilities, are not deductible as losses incurred on insurance contracts. This decision has significant implications for insurance companies in how they account for and report punitive damages and similar extracontractual liabilities for tax purposes. It underscores the distinction between insured losses and other liabilities, affecting the tax treatment of such damages and potentially impacting the financial reporting and tax planning strategies of insurance companies.