

Cooper v. Commissioner, 135 T. C. 70 (2010)

In a significant ruling on whistleblower rights, the U. S. Tax Court held that a letter from the IRS denying a whistleblower award constitutes a “determination” under Section 7623(b)(4), thereby conferring jurisdiction to the Tax Court to review such denials. This decision clarifies that whistleblowers can seek judicial review not only of the amount of an award but also of a denial, expanding the scope of legal recourse available to them in challenging IRS decisions on their claims.

Parties

Petitioner: Cooper, an attorney residing in Nashville, Tennessee. Respondent: Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Facts

Cooper, an attorney, submitted two Forms 211 to the IRS in 2008, alleging significant violations of the Internal Revenue Code related to estate and generation-skipping transfer taxes involving trusts associated with Dorothy Dillon Eweson. In one claim, Cooper alleged that a trust with assets over \$102 million was improperly omitted from Eweson’s estate, resulting in a potential \$75 million underpayment in federal estate tax. The other claim involved allegations that Eweson impermissibly modified trusts valued at over \$200 million to avoid generation-skipping transfer tax. Cooper supported his claims with evidence from public records and his client’s records. After review, the IRS Whistleblower Office sent Cooper a letter denying both claims, stating that no federal tax issue was identified upon which the IRS would take action and that the information did not result in the detection of underpayment of taxes.

Procedural History

Following the IRS’s denial of his whistleblower claims, Cooper filed two petitions in the U. S. Tax Court. The Commissioner moved to dismiss both petitions for lack of jurisdiction, arguing that the IRS’s letter did not constitute a “determination” under Section 7623(b)(4). Cooper objected, asserting that the letter was indeed a determination conferring jurisdiction on the Tax Court to review the denial of his claims. The Tax Court denied the Commissioner’s motions to dismiss, finding that it had jurisdiction over the case.

Issue(s)

Whether a letter from the IRS denying a whistleblower’s claim constitutes a “determination” under Section 7623(b)(4), thereby conferring jurisdiction on the U. S. Tax Court to review the denial of the whistleblower award?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 7623(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that any determination regarding an award under Section 7623(b) may be appealed to the Tax Court within 30 days of such determination. The Tax Court has jurisdiction only to the extent authorized by Congress and can determine its own jurisdiction.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the IRS's letter denying Cooper's whistleblower claims was a "determination" within the meaning of Section 7623(b)(4), thereby conferring jurisdiction on the Tax Court to review the denial of the claims.

Reasoning

The Tax Court's reasoning focused on the interpretation of Section 7623(b)(4) and the nature of the IRS's letter. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that jurisdiction was limited to cases where the IRS took action based on the whistleblower's information and subsequently determined an award. The court clarified that the statute allows for judicial review of both the amount and the denial of an award. The court found that the IRS's letter was a final administrative decision issued in accordance with established procedures, as outlined in the Internal Revenue Manual and IRS Notice 2008-4. The letter provided a final conclusion and explanation for denying the claims, and its content aligned with the reasons for denial listed in the Internal Revenue Manual. The court also dismissed the relevance of the letter's labeling, citing prior cases where the substance, not the label, of a document determined its status as a "determination." The court's analysis emphasized the importance of providing whistleblowers with access to judicial review, aligning with the legislative intent behind the 2006 amendments to Section 7623.

Disposition

The Tax Court denied the Commissioner's motions to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, asserting its authority to review the denial of Cooper's whistleblower claims.

Significance/Impact

The Cooper decision significantly expands the rights of whistleblowers by clarifying that they can seek judicial review of IRS denials of their claims, not just awards. This ruling enhances accountability and transparency in the IRS's handling of whistleblower claims, potentially encouraging more individuals to come forward with information about tax violations. It also underscores the Tax Court's role in overseeing the whistleblower award program, ensuring that the IRS adheres to statutory requirements and procedural fairness. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, solidifying the Tax Court's jurisdiction over whistleblower award determinations and denials.