Estate of Brandon v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo. 2009-108

A federal tax lien attaches to a taxpayer's property at the time of assessment and remains valid even after the taxpayer's death; notice of federal tax lien issued in the name of the deceased taxpayer is valid if sent to the last known address, especially when the estate received actual notice.

Summary

Mark Brandon was assessed trust fund recovery penalties. After Mr. Brandon's death, the IRS issued a lien notice and filed a Notice of Federal Tax Lien (NFTL) under his name. His estate challenged the NFTL, arguing it was invalid because Mr. Brandon was deceased when the notice was issued. The Tax Court upheld the NFTL, stating that the lien attached to Mr. Brandon's property on the date of assessment, which was prior to his death, and remained valid. The Court also found that issuing the lien notice in Mr. Brandon's name and sending it to his last known address was valid because the estate, sharing the same address, received actual notice, fulfilling the intent of the notice statute.

Facts

The IRS issued a proposed assessment of trust fund recovery penalties against Mark Brandon. Mr. Brandon protested this proposed assessment, but no agreement was reached. Subsequently, the IRS assessed the trust fund recovery penalties against Mr. Brandon. Mr. Brandon passed away after the assessment but before the IRS issued a notice of federal tax lien. Following his death, the IRS issued a Letter 3172, Notice of Federal Tax Lien Filing and Your Right to a Hearing Under IRC 6320, and recorded a Notice of Federal Tax Lien, both naming Mr. Brandon. The lien notice was sent to Mr. Brandon's last known address, which was also the address of his estate and executrix. The estate received the notice and requested a Collection Due Process hearing.

Procedural History

The IRS Appeals Office conducted a Collection Due Process hearing and sustained the Notice of Federal Tax Lien. The estate then petitioned the U.S. Tax Court, seeking review of the IRS's determination. The Tax Court reviewed the IRS's determination for abuse of discretion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Notice of Federal Tax Lien is invalid because it was issued and filed after the taxpayer's death, naming the deceased individual.

2. Whether the Notice of Federal Tax Lien is invalid because it was sent to the deceased taxpayer's name instead of the estate or its representative.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. No, because the federal tax lien arises at the time of assessment, which occurred before Mr. Brandon's death, and remains attached to the property even after death.

2. No, because the notice was sent to the taxpayer's last known address, fulfilling the statutory requirement, and the estate received actual notice, thus satisfying the purpose of IRC Section 6320.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that under IRC Section 6321, a lien in favor of the United States arises upon assessment and attaches to all property and rights to property of the person liable for the tax. Section 6322 states that the lien continues until the liability is satisfied or becomes unenforceable. The court emphasized that the lien attached to Mr. Brandon's property on the date of assessment, which was before his death. Citing *United States v. Bess*, 357 U.S. 51, 57 (1958), the court noted that a lien remains attached even after a transfer of property. Therefore, Mr. Brandon's death, a form of property transfer, did not invalidate the pre-existing lien.

Regarding the notice, the court referred to IRC Section 6320, which requires notice to the taxpayer. The regulations define the "taxpayer" as the person named on the NFTL who is liable for the tax. The court found that Mr. Brandon was correctly identified as the taxpayer. Furthermore, the notice was sent to Mr. Brandon's last known address, as required by Section 6320(a)(2)(C). The court acknowledged that while Mr. Brandon was deceased, the estate, sharing the same address, received the notice, thus fulfilling the intent of Section 6320 to inform the relevant party of the NFTL and their hearing rights. The court also pointed out that the validity of the NFTL itself, under Section 6323(f)(3), depends on proper filing of Form 668 with required information, which was satisfied in this case, independent of the notice requirements under Section 6320. Quoting the regulations, the court stated, "The validity and priority of the NFTL is not conditioned on the taxpayer receiving a lien notice pursuant to section 6320."

Practical Implications

This case reinforces that federal tax liens are robust and attach upon assessment, surviving the taxpayer's death. It clarifies that notice of a tax lien issued in the name of a deceased taxpayer and sent to their last known address can be valid, particularly when the estate receives actual notice. For legal practitioners, this case highlights the importance of understanding that a taxpayer's death does not automatically extinguish pre-existing tax liens. Estates must address outstanding tax liabilities and related liens. The case also underscores that compliance with NFTL filing requirements under Section 6323(f)(3) is crucial for the lien's validity, and procedural notice under Section 6320, while important, is not a condition precedent to the lien's validity, especially when actual notice is received by the party

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

representing the deceased’s interests.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.