Ocmulgee Fields, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 132 T. C. 105 (2009)

In Ocmulgee Fields, Inc. v. Comm'r, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that a like-kind exchange involving a qualified intermediary and a related party did not qualify for tax deferral under Section 1031 due to its structure aimed at avoiding the purposes of Section 1031(f). This decision highlights the IRS's scrutiny of transactions designed to circumvent tax rules on related party exchanges, impacting how businesses structure property exchanges for tax purposes.

Parties

Ocmulgee Fields, Inc. (Petitioner) was the plaintiff at the trial level. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) was the defendant. Both parties maintained their roles through the appeal to the U. S. Tax Court.

Facts

Ocmulgee Fields, Inc. , a Georgia corporation, owned the Wesleyan Station Shopping Center and part of the Rivergate Shopping Center in Macon, Georgia. In July 2003, Ocmulgee entered into an agreement to sell Wesleyan Station for $7,250,000, with the intention of engaging in a like-kind exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code. Ocmulgee assigned its rights to sell Wesleyan Station to Security Bank of Bibb County, a qualified intermediary, on October 9, 2003. Security Bank sold Wesleyan Station on October 10, 2003, and used the proceeds to purchase the Barnes & Noble Corner from Treaty Fields, LLC, a related party owned by Ocmulgee's shareholders. Ocmulgee then received the Barnes & Noble Corner on November 4, 2003. Treaty Fields reported the sale as taxable and realized a gain of $4,185,999. Ocmulgee reported the transaction as a like-kind exchange on its tax return, identifying Treaty Fields as the related party.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency determining a tax deficiency of $2,015,862 and an accuracy-related penalty of $403,172 for Ocmulgee's tax year ended May 31, 2004. Ocmulgee petitioned the U. S. Tax Court to challenge the deficiency and penalty. The Tax Court reviewed the case de novo, applying a preponderance of the evidence standard.

Issue(s)

Whether Ocmulgee's exchange of Wesleyan Station for the Barnes & Noble Corner, facilitated by a qualified intermediary and involving a related party, qualifies for nonrecognition of gain under Section 1031(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, given the application of Section 1031(f)(4)?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Section 1031(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code provides for nonrecognition of gain or loss on the exchange of property held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment if the property is exchanged solely for like-kind property. Section 1031(f) imposes special rules for exchanges between related persons, and Section 1031(f)(4) disallows nonrecognition if the exchange is part of a transaction structured to avoid the purposes of Section 1031(f).

Holding

The Tax Court held that Ocmulgee's exchange did not qualify for nonrecognition under Section 1031(a)(1) because it was part of a transaction structured to avoid the purposes of Section 1031(f), as prohibited by Section 1031(f)(4).

Reasoning

The court analyzed the transaction as economically equivalent to a direct exchange between Ocmulgee and Treaty Fields followed by Treaty Fields's sale of Wesleyan Station. The court found that the use of a qualified intermediary was an attempt to circumvent the related party rules. Ocmulgee failed to prove the absence of a principal purpose of Federal income tax avoidance, a requirement for the non-tax-avoidance exception under Section 1031(f)(2)(C). The court rejected Ocmulgee's arguments regarding business reasons for the exchange, finding them unsupported by evidence. The court also distinguished this case from Teruya Bros. , Ltd. & Subs. v. Commissioner, noting that the presence or absence of a "prearranged plan" was not dispositive of a Section 1031(f)(4) violation. The court concluded that the basis shift and tax savings resulting from the deemed exchange and sale indicated a principal purpose of tax avoidance.

Disposition

The Tax Court sustained the Commissioner's deficiency determination but did not sustain the accuracy-related penalty.

Significance/Impact

This case underscores the IRS's vigilance in applying Section 1031(f)(4) to prevent taxpayers from structuring transactions to avoid the purposes of the related party rules. It serves as a warning to taxpayers and their advisors to carefully consider the tax implications of using qualified intermediaries in like-kind exchanges involving related parties. The decision has been cited in subsequent cases and IRS guidance, reinforcing the principle that economic substance and tax avoidance intent are critical factors in determining the validity of like-kind exchanges under Section 1031.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.