Ira Nathel and Tracy Nathel v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue; Sheldon Nathel and Ann M. Nathel v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 131 T. C. 262 (2008)

In Nathel v. Comm'r, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that capital contributions to S corporations do not restore or increase a shareholder's tax basis in loans made to the corporation. The Nathels argued that their contributions should be treated as income to the corporations, thereby increasing their loan bases, but the court rejected this, affirming that capital contributions increase stock basis, not loan basis. This decision clarifies the distinction between equity and debt in S corporations and impacts how shareholders calculate taxable income from loan repayments.

Parties

Ira Nathel and Tracy Nathel, and Sheldon Nathel and Ann M. Nathel, were the petitioners in these consolidated cases before the United States Tax Court. The respondent was the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Facts

Ira and Sheldon Nathel, brothers, along with Gary Wishnatzki, organized three S corporations: G&D Farms, Inc. (G&D), Wishnatzki & Nathel, Inc. (W&N), and Wishnatzki & Nathel of California, Inc. (W&N CAL) to operate food distribution businesses. Each Nathel brother owned 25% of the stock in each corporation, while Gary owned 50%. The Nathels made loans to G&D and W&N CAL on open account. In 1999, G&D borrowed approximately $2. 5 million from banks, which the Nathels personally guaranteed. Due to prior losses, by January 1, 2001, the Nathels' tax bases in their stock and loans in G&D and W&N CAL were reduced to zero and minimal amounts, respectively. On February 2, 2001, G&D repaid the Nathels $649,775 each on their loans. Later that year, disagreements arose between the Nathels and Gary, leading to a reorganization of the corporations. As part of the reorganization, on August 30, 2001, the Nathels made additional capital contributions totaling $1,437,248 to G&D and W&N CAL, and G&D and W&N CAL made further loan repayments to the Nathels.

Procedural History

The Nathels treated their August 30, 2001, capital contributions as income to G&D and W&N CAL, thereby increasing their tax bases in the loans to these corporations. This allowed them to offset ordinary income from the $1,622,050 in loan repayments they received in 2001. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue audited their returns and determined that these capital contributions increased the Nathels' stock basis, not their loan basis, resulting in additional ordinary income from the loan repayments. The Nathels petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which consolidated the cases for trial and opinion.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Issue(s)

Whether, for purposes of I. R. C. § 1366(a)(1), the Nathels' $1,437,248 capital contributions to G&D and W&N CAL may be treated as income to these corporations, thereby restoring or increasing the Nathels' tax bases in their loans to the corporations under I. R. C. § 1367(b)(2)(B)?

Rule(s) of Law

Under I. R. C. § 118, contributions to the capital of a corporation are not included in the corporation's gross income. I. R. C. § 1367(a)(1) states that a shareholder's basis in stock of an S corporation is increased by the shareholder's share of the corporation's income items, while § 1367(a)(2) decreases the basis by losses and deductions. If a shareholder's stock basis is reduced to zero, losses reduce the basis in any loans to the corporation under § 1367(b)(2)(A). A "net increase" in the shareholder's share of income first restores the basis in loans and then increases the stock basis under § 1367(b)(2)(B).

Holding

The Tax Court held that the Nathels' $1,437,248 capital contributions to G&D and W&N CAL do not constitute income to these corporations and do not restore or increase the Nathels' tax bases in their loans to these corporations under I. R. C. §§ 1366(a)(1) and 1367(b)(2)(B).

Reasoning

The court reasoned that capital contributions to a corporation do not constitute income to the corporation, as established by I. R. C. § 118 and affirmed by long-standing tax principles, including *Commissioner v. Fink* and *Edwards v. Cuba R. R. Co.* . The court rejected the Nathels' reliance on *Gitlitz v. Commissioner*, which held that discharge of indebtedness income excluded under I. R. C. § 108(a) was treated as income to an S corporation for § 1366(a)(1) purposes. The court distinguished capital contributions from discharge of indebtedness income, noting that the former are not listed as gross income under § 61 and are specifically excluded from income by § 118 and related regulations. The court also found that the Nathels' contributions were not made solely to obtain release from their loan guarantees, thus not qualifying as deductible losses under I. R. C. § 165(c)(1) or (2).

Disposition

The Tax Court entered decisions for the respondent, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Significance/Impact

The decision in Nathel v. Comm'r reaffirms the principle that capital contributions to

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

S corporations increase the shareholder’s stock basis but do not affect the basis in loans made to the corporation. This ruling has implications for how shareholders calculate their taxable income from loan repayments from S corporations and underscores the importance of distinguishing between equity and debt in tax law. It also serves as a reminder that capital contributions are not treated as income to the corporation, aligning with longstanding tax principles. The case has been cited in subsequent decisions and tax literature as an authoritative interpretation of the relevant Internal Revenue Code sections concerning S corporations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.