Kelby v. Commissioner, 130 T. C. 79 (2008)

In Kelby v. Comm'r, the U. S. Tax Court clarified that when reviewing tax collection actions under section 6330, the focus should be on the Commissioner's final supplemental notice of determination. The case, involving Richard and Mabel Kelby's tax liabilities for multiple years, was remanded three times, resulting in supplemental determinations. The Court ruled that only the last supplemental notice needs review, not each previous notice, simplifying the legal process for taxpayers and the IRS. This decision impacts how future tax collection disputes are handled, emphasizing the finality of the latest administrative action.

Parties

Richard and Mabel Kelby, Petitioners, challenged the determinations of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, regarding their tax liabilities for the years 1989, 1993, 1995, 1996, and 1999. The case progressed from the initial hearing request through multiple remands and supplemental notices of determination.

Facts

The Kelbys filed their 1989 tax return in 1990, reporting a tax liability of $13,749 and a withholding credit of $8,764. The IRS issued a substitute for return in 1993 and assessed the balance due in 1995. The Kelbys contended that their 1989 liability was fully satisfied by April 1990, a position later conceded by the IRS. The Kelbys also disputed tax liabilities for 1993, 1995, 1996, and 1999. After requesting a hearing under section 6330, the case was remanded to the IRS Appeals Office three times, resulting in supplemental notices of determination. The parties ultimately agreed that the 1989 liability was satisfied and that the remaining liabilities would be paid through an installment agreement.

Procedural History

The Kelbys received a Notice of Federal Tax Lien Filing and Notice of Your Right to a Hearing on July 30, 2002, and requested a hearing on August 30, 2002. The IRS Appeals Office issued its initial notice of determination on July 10, 2003. After the Kelbys filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, the case was remanded to the IRS Appeals Office three times, resulting in supplemental notices of determination issued on June 21, 2005, December 2, 2005, and May 31, 2007. The Tax Court reviewed the case and entered a decision based on the third supplemental notice.

Issue(s)

Whether, in reviewing a tax collection action under section 6330 of the Internal Revenue Code, the U. S. Tax Court must consider each supplemental notice of determination issued by the IRS Appeals Office, or only the last supplemental notice?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Rule(s) of Law

Under section 6330 of the Internal Revenue Code, taxpayers are entitled to one hearing per tax period. When a case is remanded to the IRS Appeals Office, the further hearing is considered a supplement to the original hearing, not a new hearing. The Tax Court reviews the position of the IRS as stated in the last supplemental notice of determination.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that, under section 6330 of the Internal Revenue Code, the Court reviews the position taken by the IRS Appeals Office in the last supplemental notice of determination, not each notice separately. The Court upheld the third supplemental notice of determination issued on May 31, 2007, with the exception of the determinations related to the Kelbys' 1989 tax liability, which were deemed moot as the liability was fully satisfied.

Reasoning

The Court reasoned that since a taxpayer is entitled to only one hearing per tax period under section 6330(b)(2), any supplemental hearing following a remand is not a new hearing but a continuation of the original hearing. The Court cited cases such as *Sapp v. Commissioner* and *Drake v. Commissioner*, which established that when a supplemental notice of determination is issued, the Court's review focuses on the latest notice, rendering prior notices moot. This approach streamlines the judicial review process by concentrating on the most current position of the IRS, thereby reducing redundancy and ensuring that the taxpayer's right to judicial review is preserved based on the final administrative determination. The Court also noted that the third supplemental notice addressed all relevant issues except the 1989 liability, which was conceded as fully satisfied, thus rendering issues related to that year moot.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court entered a decision sustaining the Notice of Determination issued on July 10, 2003, as supplemented by the notices issued on June 21, 2005, December 2, 2005, and May 31, 2007, except for the determinations related to the Kelbys' 1989 tax liability, which were not sustained as the liability was fully satisfied.

Significance/Impact

The *Kelby* decision clarifies the scope of judicial review in tax collection disputes under section 6330, emphasizing that the focus should be on the IRS's final position as stated in the last supplemental notice of determination. This ruling streamlines the review process, reduces potential redundancy in legal proceedings, and ensures that taxpayers' rights are preserved based on the most current administrative

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

action. Subsequent courts have followed this precedent, impacting the handling of similar cases by concentrating on the finality of the latest IRS determination. This decision also underscores the importance of clear communication and agreement between parties in tax disputes to avoid prolonged litigation and facilitate resolution.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.