

Petrane v. Commissioner, 129 T. C. 1 (2007)

In *Petrane v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court clarified the calculation of relief sought for small tax case procedures under I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1). The court ruled that the total amount of tax, interest, and penalties, including accrued but unassessed amounts, sought in the petition must be considered as of the filing date. This decision impacts how taxpayers can elect to proceed under simplified court procedures, affirming that *Petrane's* case did not qualify due to exceeding the \$50,000 limit.

Parties

Gilda A. Petrane (Petitioner) filed a petition against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) in the United States Tax Court.

Facts

Gilda A. Petrane filed a petition seeking relief from joint and several tax liabilities for tax years 1996-2000 and 2002 under I. R. C. § 6015(e). She requested to proceed under the small tax case procedures authorized by I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1). At the time of filing her petition, the amount of unpaid tax, interest, and penalties for each individual year did not exceed \$50,000. However, the total amount for all years exceeded \$50,000. The Commissioner moved to remove the small tax case designation, arguing the total amount of relief sought exceeded the statutory limit.

Procedural History

Petrane filed her petition in the U. S. Tax Court under I. R. C. § 6015(e), requesting relief from joint and several tax liabilities. She elected to proceed under the small tax case procedures of I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1). The Commissioner filed a motion to remove the small tax case designation, asserting that the total amount of relief sought exceeded \$50,000. Petrane did not object to the Commissioner's motion. The court considered the motion *sua sponte*, as it pertained to its jurisdiction to proceed under the small tax case procedures.

Issue(s)

Whether the amount of relief sought for purposes of I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1) includes the total amount of tax, interest, and penalties, including accrued but unassessed interest and penalties, for which relief is sought in the petition calculated as of the date the petition is filed.

Rule(s) of Law

I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1) allows a taxpayer to elect small tax case procedures for a petition filed under I. R. C. § 6015(e) if the amount of relief sought does not exceed \$50,000. I. R. C. § 6015 provides relief from joint and several tax liability, including

interest and penalties, under specific circumstances. The court must interpret the statutory language to determine the meaning of “amount of relief sought” and whether it includes accrued but unassessed interest and penalties.

Holding

The court held that the amount of relief sought for purposes of I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1) includes the total amount of tax, interest, and penalties, including accrued but unassessed interest and penalties, for which relief is sought in the petition calculated as of the date the petition is filed. Therefore, the total amount of relief Petrane sought exceeded \$50,000, and her case was not eligible to be conducted under the small tax case procedures of I. R. C. § 7463.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that the phrase “amount of relief sought” in I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1) encompasses the total amount of paid and unpaid tax, interest, and penalties, including accrued but unassessed interest and penalties, for which relief is sought. This interpretation is supported by the relief available under I. R. C. § 6015, which includes interest and penalties as part of the tax liability. The court also determined that the \$50,000 limit in I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1) refers to the total amount of relief sought in the petition rather than the amount of relief sought for each individual year. Furthermore, the court concluded that the date of filing the petition is the appropriate time to calculate the amount of relief sought, ensuring that the amount is fixed and preventing cases from exceeding the limit after proceeding under small tax case procedures. The court’s interpretation aligns with the plain language of the statute and practical considerations.

Disposition

The court granted the Commissioner’s motion to remove the small tax case designation, discontinued the proceedings under I. R. C. § 7463, and continued the proceedings pursuant to the court’s regular case procedures.

Significance/Impact

Petrane v. Commissioner clarifies the calculation of relief sought for small tax case procedures under I. R. C. § 7463(f)(1). The decision impacts how taxpayers can elect to proceed under simplified court procedures, requiring consideration of the total amount of tax, interest, and penalties, including accrued but unassessed amounts, as of the filing date. This ruling may influence future cases involving similar issues and affects the strategic choices available to taxpayers seeking relief under I. R. C. § 6015(e). It underscores the importance of precise calculation and timing in electing small tax case procedures, potentially leading to more careful planning by taxpayers and their representatives.