Allen v. Commissioner, 128 T. C. 37 (U. S. Tax Ct. 2007)

In Allen v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that the statute of limitations for assessing income tax can be extended indefinitely under IRC § 6501(c)(1) if the tax return is fraudulent due to the preparer's intent to evade tax, not just the taxpayer's. This landmark decision significantly impacts tax enforcement by allowing the IRS more time to investigate fraudulent returns prepared by unscrupulous preparers, even if the taxpayer was unaware of the fraud.

Parties

Petitioner: Allen, the taxpayer, designated as the petitioner at the trial level.
Respondent: Commissioner of Internal Revenue, designated as the respondent at the trial level.

Facts

Allen, a truck driver for UPS, filed his federal income tax returns for 1999 and 2000. He engaged Gregory D. Goosby to prepare these returns. Goosby fraudulently claimed false deductions on Schedule A for both years, including charitable contributions, meals and entertainment, and various other expenses. Allen received copies of the filed returns but did not file amended returns. Goosby was later convicted of aiding and assisting in the preparation of false tax returns under IRC § 7206(2), though not related to Allen's returns. The IRS issued a deficiency notice to Allen on March 22, 2005, after the standard three-year statute of limitations had expired. Allen conceded all adjustments except one the IRS admitted was an error. The parties stipulated that the returns were fraudulent due to Goosby's actions, but disagreed on whether the limitations period was extended by the preparer's fraudulent intent.

Procedural History

The case was submitted fully stipulated under Tax Court Rule 122. The IRS issued a deficiency notice to Allen on March 22, 2005, for the tax years 1999 and 2000. Allen timely filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court. The standard three-year statute of limitations for assessing taxes under IRC § 6501(a) had expired on April 15, 2003, for 1999 and April 15, 2004, for 2000. The court reviewed the case de novo, as it involved the interpretation of a federal statute.

Issue(s)

Whether the statute of limitations for assessing income tax under IRC § 6501(c)(1) is extended if the tax on a return is understated due to the fraudulent intent of the income tax return preparer?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

IRC § 6501(c)(1) states: “In the case of a false or fraudulent return with the intent to evade tax, the tax may be assessed, or a proceeding in court for the collection of such tax may be begun without assessment, at any time. ” Statutes of limitations are strictly construed in favor of the Government. Badaracco v. Commissioner, 464 U. S. 386, 391 (1984).

Holding

The court held that the statute of limitations for assessing income tax under IRC § 6501(c)(1) is extended if the tax on a return is understated due to the fraudulent intent of the income tax return preparer, even if the taxpayer did not have the intent to evade tax.

Reasoning

The court’s reasoning was based on the plain meaning of IRC § 6501(c)(1), which extends the limitations period for a “false or fraudulent return with the intent to evade tax” without specifying that the fraud must be committed by the taxpayer. The court noted that the statute has remained unchanged since the Revenue Act of 1918, and a proposed amendment in 1934 that would have limited the extension to taxpayer fraud was rejected by Congress. The court emphasized that statutes of limitations are strictly construed in favor of the Government, citing Badaracco v. Commissioner. The court rejected Allen’s argument that extending the limitations period based on the preparer’s fraud would be unduly burdensome, stating that taxpayers have a duty to review their returns for obvious errors. The court also distinguished cases involving the fraud penalty under IRC § 6663, which require taxpayer intent, from the limitations period extension under IRC § 6501(c)(1). The court concluded that the IRS needs an extended period to investigate fraudulent returns regardless of who committed the fraud, to prevent taxpayers from benefiting from fraudulent returns prepared by others.

Disposition

The court ruled that the statute of limitations for assessing Allen’s taxes was extended indefinitely under IRC § 6501(c)(1). The decision was to be entered under Tax Court Rule 155.

Significance/Impact

Allen v. Commissioner significantly expands the IRS’s ability to pursue tax deficiencies resulting from fraudulent returns prepared by unscrupulous preparers. The decision clarifies that the limitations period under IRC § 6501(c)(1) can be extended by the preparer’s fraudulent intent, even if the taxpayer was unaware of the fraud. This ruling enhances tax enforcement by allowing the IRS more time to investigate and assess taxes on fraudulent returns, potentially deterring tax preparers from engaging in fraudulent practices. The decision has been cited in

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

subsequent cases and has implications for taxpayers' responsibilities to review their returns for obvious errors, as they can no longer claim ignorance of a preparer's fraud as a defense against extended IRS assessments.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.