Anonymous v. Commissioner, 127 T. C. 89 (U. S. Tax Ct. 2006)

In a landmark decision, the U. S. Tax Court allowed a foreign national, identified only as 'Anonymous,' to seal court records and proceed anonymously in a tax dispute. The ruling prioritizes the petitioner's privacy and safety over public access to judicial proceedings, due to a demonstrated risk of severe physical harm from potential kidnappings. This case sets a precedent for balancing individual safety with the principles of judicial transparency.

Parties

The petitioner, identified as 'Anonymous,' a foreign national, sought to seal court records and proceed anonymously in a tax dispute against the respondent, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Facts

Anonymous is a foreign national residing outside the United States. A member of Anonymous's family was kidnapped and held for ransom several years ago in the country where most of Anonymous's family resides. Kidnappings are prevalent in this country, and Anonymous fears that public disclosure of their identity or financial circumstances could lead to further kidnappings targeting them or their family members. Anonymous filed a motion to seal the court records and to proceed anonymously due to these concerns.

Procedural History

Anonymous filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court and simultaneously moved to seal the court records and proceed anonymously. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue objected to the sealing, citing prior public disclosure of some information in another judicial forum. The Tax Court reviewed the motion and supporting affidavits, ultimately granting Anonymous's request to seal the record and proceed anonymously.

Issue(s)

Whether the U. S. Tax Court should grant Anonymous's motion to seal the court records and allow them to proceed anonymously, balancing the risk of severe physical harm against the public interest in access to judicial proceedings.

Rule(s) of Law

The U. S. Tax Court has broad discretionary power to control and seal records if justice requires it and good cause is shown. The court must balance the presumption of public access to judicial records against the interests advanced by the parties. Good cause for sealing records has been recognized in cases involving patents, trade secrets, confidential information, or risk of severe physical harm. The Federal Rules

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

of Civil Procedure, to the extent adaptable, may guide the Tax Court's procedures when its own rules are silent.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the significant risk of physical harm to Anonymous and their family outweighed the public interest in access to court proceedings. The court granted Anonymous's motion to seal the entire record and permitted them to proceed anonymously.

Reasoning

The court applied the legal test of balancing the presumption of public access to judicial records against the interests advanced by the parties, as articulated in *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.* and *Willie Nelson Music Co. v. Commissioner*. The court considered policy considerations, such as the importance of judicial transparency, against the compelling need to protect individuals from severe physical harm. The affidavits provided by Anonymous demonstrated a history of kidnapping in their family and a current risk of such harm, which the court found sufficient to establish good cause for sealing the record. The court also addressed counter-arguments, such as the Commissioner's objection based on prior disclosure of information in another forum, but found that past disclosures did not preclude protecting against future harm. The court's decision to allow Anonymous to proceed anonymously was influenced by the lack of prejudice to the Commissioner and the minimal impact on the public interest in knowing the parties' identities, given the severe risk of harm involved.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court granted Anonymous's motion to seal the record and permitted them to proceed anonymously. An appropriate order was issued reflecting this decision.

Significance/Impact

This case is significant for its doctrinal impact on the balance between privacy and public access in judicial proceedings. It establishes a precedent that the U. S. Tax Court may seal records and allow anonymous proceedings when there is a demonstrated risk of severe physical harm to a party. Subsequent courts have cited this case in considering similar requests for anonymity and record sealing, particularly in cases involving sensitive personal information or risks to personal safety. Practically, this decision underscores the importance of considering individual safety in legal proceedings, potentially influencing how other courts handle requests for anonymity and record sealing.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.