Peabody Natural Resources Company, f. k. a. Hanson Natural Resources Company, Cavenham Forest Industries, Inc. , A Partner Other Than the Tax Matters Partner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 126 T. C. 261 (2006)

In a significant ruling on like-kind exchanges, the U. S. Tax Court held that coal supply contracts are like-kind property to gold mines under IRC Section 1031. Peabody exchanged gold mines for coal mines burdened by supply contracts, treating the transaction as tax-free. The IRS argued the contracts were boot, but the court ruled they were inseparable from the coal mine's real property, thus qualifying for nonrecognition treatment. This decision clarifies the scope of like-kind property under Section 1031, impacting future tax planning for asset exchanges involving mineral interests.

Parties

Peabody Natural Resources Company, f. k. a. Hanson Natural Resources Company, Cavenham Forest Industries, Inc. (Petitioner), a partner other than the tax matters partner, exchanged assets with Santa Fe Pacific Mining Corp. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) challenged the tax treatment of this exchange.

Facts

On June 25, 1993, Peabody, a partnership, exchanged its gold mining assets, including buildings, equipment, and mine exploration rights, with Santa Fe Pacific Mining Corp. , an unrelated corporation, for the assets of Santa Fe's coal mining business. Both parties agreed on a total value of approximately $550 million for the exchanged assets. As part of the exchange, Peabody received the Lee Ranch coal mine in New Mexico, which included 13,594 acres of fee simple land and 1,800 acres of leased coal land, with coal reserves of about 200 million tons. The coal mine was subject to two long-term coal supply contracts with Tucson Electric Power Co. (TEPCO) and Western Fuels (WEF), which obligated the mine owner to supply coal to electric utilities. The contracts were considered covenants running with and appurtenant to the real property under New Mexico law. The gold mines transferred by Peabody were not subject to similar supply contracts.

Procedural History

Peabody treated the exchange as a like-kind exchange under IRC Section 1031 and reported it as such on its income tax returns for the years in issue. The IRS issued notices of final partnership administrative adjustment for Peabody's taxable years ended March 31, 1994 through 1996, and its short taxable year ended June 30, 1996, asserting that the coal supply contracts were not like-kind property and constituted boot, which should be taxable in the year of the exchange. Both parties filed motions for summary judgment under Tax Court Rule 121, with no genuine issue as to any material fact.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Issue(s)

Whether the coal supply contracts that burdened the coal mine property received by Peabody in exchange for its gold mining property are like-kind property under IRC Section 1031?

Rule(s) of Law

IRC Section 1031 provides for nonrecognition of gain or loss on the exchange of property held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment if the property is exchanged solely for property of like kind. The applicable regulation, 26 C. F. R. 1. 1031(a)-1(b), specifies that the determination of like-kind property depends on the nature or character of the property rather than its grade or quality. Under New Mexico law, the coal supply contracts were treated as real property interests because they created servitudes that ran with the land.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the coal supply contracts were like-kind property to the gold mining property under IRC Section 1031 and thus were not taxable as boot. The court reasoned that the contracts were inseparable from the real property interest in the coal mine, making the entire exchange eligible for nonrecognition treatment.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning was based on the principle that the coal supply contracts were part of the bundle of rights incident to Peabody's ownership of the Lee Ranch mine's coal reserves. The court distinguished the case from others by emphasizing that the contracts did not give the utility buyers a right to extract coal but instead obligated Peabody to supply coal. The court rejected the IRS's argument that the contracts were separable from the real property, applying the precedent set in *Koch v. Commissioner*, which held that a fee simple interest in land subject to long-term leases was like-kind to another fee simple interest. The court found that the coal supply contracts, despite being contracts for the sale of goods under New Mexico law, were real property interests that could not be fragmented from the land. The court also noted that the coal supply contracts' duration, including potential renewals, did not qualify for the 30-year leasehold safe harbor under 26 C. F. R. 1. 1031(a)-1(c), as they were not leasehold interests in the property. The court's analysis focused on the continuity of investment and the nature of the rights exchanged, concluding that the exchange did not alter Peabody's economic situation in a manner that would justify current taxation.

Disposition

The Tax Court granted summary judgment in favor of Peabody, ruling that the coal supply contracts were like-kind property to the gold mining property under IRC

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Section 1031 and not taxable as boot.

Significance/Impact

The *Peabody* decision is significant for its clarification of what constitutes like-kind property under IRC Section 1031, particularly in the context of mineral interests and associated contracts. It affirms that contracts that run with the land and are inseparable from the real property interest can be considered like-kind to the land itself. This ruling impacts tax planning for exchanges involving mineral rights and underscores the importance of state law in determining the nature of property rights for federal tax purposes. The decision has been cited in subsequent cases and IRS guidance, shaping the application of Section 1031 to complex property exchanges.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.