Lois E. Ordlock v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 126 T. C. 47 (2006)

In Ordlock v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that community property laws govern the allocation of tax payments, impacting innocent spouse relief under Section 6015. The court held that Lois Ordlock, granted innocent spouse relief, could not receive a refund for community property used to pay her husband's tax liabilities, as community property laws were not preempted by the federal statute for determining refunds.

Parties

Lois E. Ordlock (Petitioner) and Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). Lois Ordlock was the petitioner throughout the trial and appeal stages.

Facts

Lois Ordlock and her husband, Bayard M. Ordlock, resided in California, a community property state, and filed joint federal income tax returns for the years 1982, 1983, and 1984. The Ordlocks paid the reported tax liabilities but faced additional tax liabilities due to Mr. Ordlock's understatements. Lois Ordlock sought relief under Section 6015(b) of the Internal Revenue Code and was granted full relief, resulting in zero tax liability for those years. However, the Ordlocks made numerous payments over the years to address the understatements, using both community property and a single payment from Lois's separate property. Lois Ordlock sought a refund under Section 6015(g) for the community property payments applied to her husband's tax liabilities.

Procedural History

The IRS sent Lois Ordlock a Notice of Determination on July 26, 2002, granting her full relief under Section 6015(b). Lois Ordlock filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court on November 1, 2002, challenging the accuracy of the amounts and calculations in the notice. The case was submitted fully stipulated under Rule 122 of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure. The court reviewed the case and issued a reviewed opinion.

Issue(s)

Whether Lois Ordlock is entitled to a refund under Section 6015(g) of the Internal Revenue Code for amounts paid from community property to satisfy her husband's tax liabilities, given her granted relief under Section 6015(b)?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 6015(a) of the Internal Revenue Code states that "Any determination under this section shall be made without regard to community property laws. " Section 6015(g)(1) provides that "Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3),

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

notwithstanding any other law or rule of law (other than section 6511, 6512(b), 7121, or 7122), credit or refund shall be allowed or made to the extent attributable to the application of this section. “

Holding

The Tax Court held that Lois Ordlock is not entitled to a refund of amounts paid from community property to satisfy her husband’s tax liabilities under Section 6015(g). The court determined that community property laws are not preempted by Section 6015 for the purpose of determining refunds, and thus, community property remains subject to collection for Mr. Ordlock’s tax liabilities.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that the phrase “any determination” in Section 6015(a) refers only to determinations of relief from joint and several liability, not to the calculation of refunds. The court found that the legislative history and statutory construction supported a narrow reading of “determination. ” Furthermore, the court interpreted the phrase “notwithstanding any other law or rule of law” in Section 6015(g)(1) to mean that community property laws should not be ignored when determining the source of payments for refund purposes. The court emphasized that the IRS’s right to collect from community property under state law was not overridden by the federal statute, citing cases like United States v. Craft and United States v. Bess, which establish that federal tax liens attach to property interests defined by state law. The court rejected Lois Ordlock’s argument that Section 6015(g)(1) preempts state community property laws, as such a broad reading would create a void in federal tax collection laws and potentially lead to abuse and administrative difficulties. The court also distinguished between the determination of relief from liability and the determination of a refund, noting that the latter involves factual and legal issues beyond the scope of Section 6015.

Disposition

The Tax Court’s decision was entered under Rule 155, denying Lois Ordlock a refund of community property payments used to satisfy her husband’s tax liabilities.

Significance/Impact

The Ordlock decision clarifies that community property laws remain applicable when determining refunds under Section 6015(g), limiting the scope of innocent spouse relief. This ruling impacts taxpayers in community property states by potentially reducing the effectiveness of Section 6015 relief, as community property remains subject to collection for a spouse’s tax liabilities despite relief from joint and several liability. The case highlights the tension between federal tax law and state property law, emphasizing that federal law does not preempt state law in the context of tax refunds from community property. Subsequent cases and legislative actions may

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

further address this issue, given the dissent’s call for Congress to provide clearer guidance on the interplay between Section 6015 and community property laws.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.