Garber Family Partnership v. Commissioner, 124 T. C. 1 (2005)

In Garber Family Partnership v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court clarified the application of Section 382(l)(3)(A)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code, ruling that family aggregation for determining ownership changes applies only to shareholders. This decision affected the tax treatment of net operating loss carryovers after a stock sale between siblings increased one's ownership significantly, impacting how family members are considered in corporate ownership structures and tax planning.

Parties

Garber Family Partnership (Petitioner) was the plaintiff, challenging the determination of deficiencies in federal income taxes by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent) for the taxable years 1997 and 1998. The case proceeded through trial and appeal stages within the U. S. Tax Court.

Facts

Charles M. Garber, Sr. and his brother, Kenneth R. Garber, Sr. , were significant shareholders in the Garber Family Partnership, incorporated in December 1982. Initially, Charles owned 68% and Kenneth 26% of the company's stock. In 1996, a reorganization reduced Charles's ownership to 19% and increased Kenneth's to 65%. On April 1, 1998, Kenneth sold all his shares to Charles, increasing Charles's ownership to 84%. This transaction led to a dispute over the applicability of Section 382's limitation on net operating loss (NOL) carryovers due to an alleged ownership change.

Procedural History

The case was submitted to the U. S. Tax Court fully stipulated under Rule 122. The court's decision was based on the interpretation of Section 382(l)(3)(A)(i) and its impact on the NOL deduction for the 1998 tax year. The Tax Court reviewed the case de novo, as it involved a matter of statutory interpretation.

Issue(s)

Whether the sale of stock between siblings resulting in a more than 50 percentage point increase in one sibling's ownership constitutes an ownership change under Section 382(l)(3)(A)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code, affecting the limitation on net operating loss carryovers.

Rule(s) of Law

Section 382(l)(3)(A)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that family attribution rules of Section 318(a)(1) and (5)(B) do not apply for determining stock ownership under Section 382. Instead, an individual and all members of his family described in Section 318(a)(1) are treated as one individual. This aggregation rule is further

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

addressed in Section 1. 382-2T(h)(6) of the Temporary Income Tax Regulations.

Holding

The Tax Court held that the family aggregation rule of Section 382(l)(3)(A)(i) applies solely from the perspective of individuals who are shareholders of the loss corporation. Consequently, the sale of stock between Charles and Kenneth resulted in an ownership change under Section 382(g), triggering the limitation on NOL carryovers.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that the language of Section 382(l)(3)(A)(i) could reasonably be interpreted in multiple ways, leading to ambiguity. The court analyzed the legislative history of the 1986 Tax Reform Act, which introduced this provision, and found that Congress intended the aggregation rule to apply only to shareholders. This interpretation was supported by the substitution of "grandparents" for "grandchildren" in the conference report, suggesting aggregation should align with share attribution under Section 318(a)(1). The court also considered the practical implications of each party's interpretation, finding that limiting aggregation to shareholders avoids arbitrary distinctions and prevents artificial ownership increases due to changes in family status. The court rejected both the petitioner's expansive view of family aggregation and the respondent's narrow interpretation tied to living family members, opting instead for a shareholder-focused interpretation that aligns with the statute's purpose.

Disposition

The Tax Court entered a decision for the respondent, sustaining the determination of the income tax deficiencies for the 1998 tax year, as the sale of stock between Charles and Kenneth resulted in an ownership change under Section 382.

Significance/Impact

The decision in Garber Family Partnership v. Commissioner significantly impacts the interpretation of family aggregation rules under Section 382, clarifying that only shareholders are considered for aggregation purposes. This ruling affects corporate tax planning, particularly in cases involving family-owned businesses and the transfer of stock among family members. It also underscores the importance of precise statutory interpretation in tax law, influencing how subsequent courts and practitioners approach similar issues regarding NOL carryovers and ownership changes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.