Drake v. Commissioner, 123 T. C. 320, 2004 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 49, 123 T. C. No. 20 (U. S. Tax Court 2004)

In Drake v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled it lacked jurisdiction over a petition for relief from joint and several tax liability due to the automatic stay under bankruptcy law. Barbara Drake's filing of the petition during her active Chapter 13 bankruptcy contravened 11 U. S. C. § 362(a)(8), which prohibits proceedings in the Tax Court concerning a debtor. The case underscores the jurisdictional limits of the Tax Court when a taxpayer is under bankruptcy protection and the absence of statutory tolling provisions for stand-alone petitions under 26 U. S. C. § 6015.

Parties

Barbara Drake, Petitioner, filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent. At the time of filing, Drake was a debtor in a Chapter 13 bankruptcy case in the U. S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts.

Facts

On September 30, 2003, Barbara Drake filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code in the U. S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts. Subsequently, on January 29, 2004, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue issued Drake a notice of determination disallowing her claim for relief from joint and several liability under 26 U. S. C. § 6015 for the taxable years 1991, 1992, 1994, 1995, and 1997. On March 8, 2004, Drake filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the Commissioner's notice of determination. At the time of filing her petition, Drake's bankruptcy case remained open and had not been closed, dismissed, or discharged. On September 2, 2004, Drake's bankruptcy case was converted to a Chapter 7 proceeding.

Procedural History

The Commissioner filed a motion to dismiss Drake's petition for lack of jurisdiction, asserting that the filing violated the automatic stay under 11 U. S. C. § 362(a)(8). Drake objected to the motion to dismiss. The U. S. Tax Court, presided over by Chief Judge Gerber and Chief Special Trial Judge Panuthos, heard arguments on the motion. The Court ultimately granted the Commissioner's motion to dismiss, finding that it lacked jurisdiction due to the automatic stay imposed by Drake's bankruptcy proceedings.

Issue(s)

Whether the filing of a stand-alone petition under 26 U. S. C. § 6015 for relief from joint and several tax liability is barred by the automatic stay under 11 U. S. C. § 362(a)(8) when the petitioner is a debtor in an ongoing bankruptcy case.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Rule(s) of Law

The automatic stay provision of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U. S. C. § 362(a)(8), prohibits the commencement or continuation of a proceeding before the United States Tax Court concerning the debtor. The Tax Court's jurisdiction is limited to the extent authorized by Congress, and there is no statutory provision that tolls the time for filing a stand-alone petition under 26 U. S. C. § 6015 during the automatic stay period akin to the tolling provision under 26 U. S. C. § 6213(f) for deficiency cases.

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that it lacked jurisdiction over Drake's petition for relief from joint and several liability because the filing of the petition violated the automatic stay imposed by 11 U. S. C. § 362(a)(8). The Court further noted that there is no tolling provision for stand-alone petitions under 26 U. S. C. § 6015, meaning Drake lost the opportunity to obtain judicial review of the Commissioner's notice of determination in the Tax Court.

Reasoning

The Court's reasoning was based on the plain language of 11 U. S. C. § 362(a)(8), which explicitly prohibits proceedings in the Tax Court concerning a debtor during an active bankruptcy case. The Court found no exception under 11 U. S. C. § 362(b) that would permit the filing of a stand-alone petition under 26 U. S. C. § 6015. The absence of a tolling provision similar to 26 U. S. C. § 6213(f) in the context of stand-alone petitions under § 6015 was a significant factor in the Court's decision, as it meant that the statutory period for filing such a petition could not be extended during the automatic stay. The Court also considered that allowing the filing of such petitions during bankruptcy could conflict with the purposes of the automatic stay, which aims to protect the debtor and facilitate the orderly administration of the bankruptcy estate. The Court acknowledged the potential harshness of the outcome but emphasized that any remedy must come from Congress, not judicial action.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court granted the Commissioner's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and entered an order of dismissal.

Significance/Impact

Drake v. Commissioner is significant for clarifying the jurisdictional limits of the U. S. Tax Court when a taxpayer is under bankruptcy protection. The case highlights the interaction between the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the Tax Court's jurisdiction over stand-alone petitions for relief from joint and several liability under 26 U. S. C. § 6015. The absence of a tolling provision analogous to 26 U. S. C. § 6213(f) means that taxpayers in bankruptcy may lose the opportunity for Tax Court review if they file a stand-alone petition during the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

automatic stay period. The decision underscores the need for careful coordination between bankruptcy and tax proceedings and may prompt legislative action to address the identified gap in the statutory scheme. Subsequent cases and legislative changes will determine the broader impact of this ruling on the rights of taxpayers in bankruptcy seeking relief from joint tax liabilities.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.