

Lewis v. Commissioner, 126 T. C. 291 (U. S. Tax Ct. 2006)

In *Lewis v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court upheld the IRS's right to collect unpaid taxes from 1994 and 1996, ruling against the taxpayer's challenge to the assessments' accuracy. The court granted summary judgment to the IRS, finding that the taxpayer, a songwriter, failed to provide sufficient evidence to dispute the tax liabilities as reported on his returns. This case underscores the importance of clear and specific factual allegations when challenging tax assessments under the IRS's Collection Due Process (CDP) procedures.

Parties

Petitioner: Lewis, a songwriter challenging the accuracy of tax assessments for 1994 and 1996. Respondent: Commissioner of Internal Revenue, defending the assessments and seeking to proceed with collection.

Facts

Lewis filed his 1994 and 1996 federal income tax returns on April 16, 1997, and April 15, 1997, respectively, reporting taxes owed but making no payments. The IRS assessed these liabilities and issued notices of demand for payment. Lewis, engaged in a dispute with record companies over royalties, believed the reported taxes were incorrect and requested IRS assistance in obtaining information from the record companies. After receiving a notice of intent to levy, Lewis requested a Collection Due Process (CDP) hearing, asserting the assessments were inaccurate due to false information on the returns and errors in IRS procedures.

Procedural History

The Appeals officer held a CDP hearing on November 15, 2001, and issued a determination on December 5, 2001, allowing the IRS to proceed with collection. Lewis filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court challenging the determination. The Commissioner moved for summary judgment, asserting that Lewis failed to raise justiciable issues regarding the assessments' accuracy and other alleged errors. The Tax Court granted summary judgment to the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

Whether the Tax Court should grant summary judgment to the Commissioner, finding that Lewis failed to raise justiciable issues regarding the accuracy of the 1994 and 1996 tax assessments and other alleged errors in the IRS's determination?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 6330 of the Internal Revenue Code entitles taxpayers to a hearing before certain collection actions, allowing them to challenge the underlying tax liability if they did not receive a statutory notice of deficiency or otherwise had an opportunity

to dispute it. Section 6330(c)(2)(B). Tax Court Rule 331 requires petitions to contain clear assignments of error and factual bases for those errors.

Holding

The Tax Court held that Lewis failed to provide sufficient factual allegations to dispute the accuracy of the 1994 and 1996 tax assessments and other alleged errors, thus granting summary judgment to the Commissioner.

Reasoning

The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that section 6330(c)(2)(B) limits challenges to liabilities differing from self-reported amounts, citing *Montgomery v. Commissioner*. However, the court found that Lewis's challenge lacked the requisite specificity under Tax Court Rule 331. Lewis's averments about false information and incorrect advice were insufficient without identifying specific items of income, deductions, or credits in dispute. The court noted that Lewis's underlying dispute was with record companies over royalties, not directly with the IRS, and he failed to provide evidence of correct royalty amounts or copyright ownership. The court emphasized that without specific factual allegations, it could not conduct a meaningful hearing to determine the validity of the underlying tax liabilities. The court also found no other errors in the IRS's determination, as Lewis's claims about assessment procedures and levy execution lacked factual support.

Disposition

The Tax Court granted summary judgment to the Commissioner, allowing the IRS to proceed with collection of the assessed taxes for 1994 and 1996.

Significance/Impact

Lewis v. Commissioner reinforces the requirement for taxpayers to provide specific factual allegations when challenging tax assessments under CDP procedures. The decision clarifies that general assertions of inaccuracy are insufficient to raise justiciable issues, potentially limiting taxpayers' ability to dispute self-reported liabilities without detailed evidence. The case also highlights the limited role of the IRS in resolving taxpayer disputes with third parties, such as record companies, in the context of tax collection. This ruling may impact how taxpayers approach CDP hearings and the level of detail required in petitions to the Tax Court.