Dutton v. Commissioner, 122 T. C. 133 (2004) (United States Tax Court, 2004)

In Dutton v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court upheld the validity of an offer in compromise, barring the petitioner from seeking relief from joint and several tax liability. Joseph Dutton had submitted an offer to compromise his tax liabilities, which was accepted by the IRS. Despite a mistaken IRS statement suggesting possible refunds, the court found no mutual mistake or misrepresentation sufficient to set aside the offer. The decision clarifies the finality of accepted offers in compromise and their impact on claims for tax relief, setting a precedent for future tax disputes.

Parties

Joseph Dutton, as Petitioner, sought relief from joint and several tax liability against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, as Respondent, before the United States Tax Court.

Facts

Joseph Dutton submitted a Form 8857 requesting innocent spouse relief from joint and several liability for tax years 1984, 1985, and 1986. On April 24, 2001, Dutton submitted an amended Form 656, Offer in Compromise, to settle his income tax liabilities for the years 1986, 1987, and 1993 through 1999, based on doubt as to collectibility. The offer was for $6,000 to be paid in monthly installments of $250. The Form 656 stated that upon acceptance, Dutton would have no right to contest the amount of tax liability. On May 7, 2001, an IRS manager, Mr. Zukle, mistakenly informed Dutton that partial relief under section 6015(c) might entitle him to refunds for 1986 and 1987. Despite this, Dutton’s attorney, Mr. McCabe, clarified that no refunds were available under section 6015(c). The IRS accepted the offer on July 25, 2001, and Dutton completed the payment. On August 12, 2002, the IRS issued a notice of determination denying Dutton relief under sections 6013(e) and 6015(b), (c), and (f) for 1986 and 1987. Dutton filed a petition seeking a review of this determination.

Procedural History

Dutton submitted a Form 8857 requesting relief from joint and several liability. Subsequently, he submitted an offer in compromise, which the IRS accepted. Before acceptance, the IRS sent Dutton a letter proposing partial relief under section 6015(c) and suggesting possible refunds. After acceptance of the offer, the IRS issued a notice of determination denying relief under sections 6013(e) and 6015(b), (c), and (f). Dutton petitioned the U. S. Tax Court under section 6015(e)(1) to review the determination. The Tax Court reviewed the case based on fully stipulated facts and denied Dutton’s petition, holding that the offer in compromise was valid and barred him from seeking relief from joint and several liability.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Issue(s)

Whether the acceptance of an offer in compromise by the IRS bars a taxpayer from seeking relief from joint and several liability under sections 6013(e) and 6015(b), (c), and (f) when the offer was based on doubt as to collectibility and not on doubt as to liability or effective tax administration?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 7122 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes the Commissioner to compromise a taxpayer's outstanding liabilities. An offer in compromise, once accepted, conclusively settles the taxpayer's liability absent fraud or mutual mistake. Section 6015(g) governs the allowance of credits and refunds in cases where the taxpayer is granted relief under section 6015, with no refund or credit allowed under section 6015(c). Temporary Procedure and Administration Regulations under section 301. 7122-1T(d)(5) state that an accepted offer in compromise can be set aside only if there is a mutual mistake of material fact sufficient to cause the offer agreement to be reformed or set aside.

Holding

The Tax Court held that the accepted offer in compromise was valid and barred Dutton from seeking relief from joint and several liability under sections 6013(e) and 6015(b), (c), and (f) for the tax years 1986 and 1987. The court found no mutual mistake or misrepresentation sufficient to set aside the offer in compromise.

Reasoning

The court analyzed the offer in compromise as a contract governed by general principles of contract law. The court found that the IRS's mistaken statement about possible refunds did not induce the offer in compromise, as it was made after the offer was submitted and before its acceptance. Dutton had the opportunity to withdraw the offer but did not do so. The court noted that the Form 656 explicitly stated that acceptance of the offer would preclude contesting the tax liability, and section 6015(g) confirmed that no refunds are allowed under section 6015(c). The court rejected Dutton's arguments based on mutual mistake and misrepresentation, as there was no evidence that the offer was based on an erroneous assumption about refunds. The court also declined to consider Dutton's equitable estoppel argument, as it was raised for the first time in his answering brief and not timely raised during the proceedings. The court's reasoning emphasized the finality of accepted offers in compromise and the statutory limitations on refunds under section 6015.

Disposition

The Tax Court entered a decision for the Commissioner, affirming the validity of the offer in compromise and denying Dutton's petition for relief from joint and several

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

liability.

Significance/Impact

The Dutton case reinforces the principle that an accepted offer in compromise conclusively settles a taxpayer's liability, barring subsequent claims for relief under sections 6013(e) and 6015. It underscores the importance of understanding the terms of an offer in compromise and the finality of such agreements. The decision also clarifies that mistakes or misrepresentations by the IRS do not automatically void an accepted offer unless they are mutual and material to the agreement. This case has significant implications for taxpayers considering offers in compromise and their attorneys, emphasizing the need for careful consideration of all available relief options before submitting an offer. Subsequent courts have cited Dutton in upholding the validity of offers in compromise and addressing related issues of tax relief and contract law in tax disputes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.