

Ewing v. Commissioner, 122 T. C. 32 (2004) (United States Tax Court, 2004)

In *Ewing v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that it has the authority to conduct a trial de novo when determining whether to grant equitable relief from joint tax liability under I. R. C. § 6015(f), not limited to the administrative record. The court also found that Gwendolyn Ewing was entitled to such relief from tax liabilities stemming from her husband's underpayment, citing her lack of significant benefit and knowledge of the unpaid taxes, and the economic hardship she would face if held liable.

Parties

Gwendolyn A. Ewing, as the Petitioner, sought relief from joint tax liability in the United States Tax Court against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the Respondent. Throughout the proceedings, Ewing was represented by Karen L. Hawkins, and the Commissioner by Thomas M. Rohall.

Facts

Gwendolyn A. Ewing married Richard Wiwi in September 1995. At the time of their marriage, Wiwi was a sole proprietor of a financial services business. In 1995, Ewing worked as a medical technologist, and the couple filed a joint federal income tax return for that year. The return reported a tax withheld of \$10,862 and an additional tax due of \$6,220, but only \$1,620 was paid with the return. Wiwi assured Ewing that he would pay the remaining tax through a proposed installment agreement, which he failed to do and concealed from her until 1998. Ewing had no knowledge of Wiwi's prior tax debts for 1993 and 1994. They kept their finances separate, with Ewing paying her own expenses and a significant portion of their household expenses. Wiwi's health deteriorated, and his income decreased significantly after 1995, leaving Ewing to cover most of their expenses.

Procedural History

Ewing filed Form 8857 requesting relief from joint tax liability for 1995 under I. R. C. § 6015(f). The Commissioner initially denied her request, stating she had knowledge of the liability and was still married and living with Wiwi. Ewing appealed to the Tax Court, which previously held jurisdiction over the matter in *Ewing v. Commissioner*, 118 T. C. 494 (2002). The Tax Court conducted a trial de novo, hearing evidence not included in the administrative record, and subsequently reviewed the Commissioner's decision under an abuse of discretion standard.

Issue(s)

Whether, in determining petitioner's eligibility for relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f), the Tax Court may consider evidence introduced at trial which was not included in the administrative record?

Whether petitioner is entitled to relief from joint liability for tax under I. R. C. § 6015(f)?

Rule(s) of Law

I. R. C. § 6015(f) authorizes the Secretary to prescribe procedures under which, taking into account all the facts and circumstances, the Secretary may determine that it is inequitable to hold an individual jointly liable for tax. I. R. C. § 6015(e)(1)(A) provides the Tax Court jurisdiction to determine the appropriate relief available to the individual under § 6015, including relief under § 6015(f). The court's review of the Commissioner's denial of equitable relief is for abuse of discretion.

Holding

The Tax Court held that it may consider evidence introduced at trial which was not included in the administrative record when determining eligibility for relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f). Furthermore, the court held that Gwendolyn Ewing was entitled to relief under § 6015(f) because the Commissioner's denial was an abuse of discretion, considering all relevant factors and circumstances.

Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that its longstanding practice of conducting trials de novo in deficiency cases under I. R. C. § 6213(a) should extend to its determinations under § 6015(f). The court rejected the applicability of the Administrative Procedure Act's record rule, asserting that Congress intended the Tax Court to provide a full and neutral review of the facts in § 6015(f) cases. The court applied an abuse of discretion standard but did not limit itself to the administrative record, finding that such a limitation would contradict the purpose of providing equitable relief. In granting relief to Ewing, the court considered factors such as her lack of significant benefit from the underpayment, lack of knowledge or reason to know that the tax would not be paid, and the economic hardship she would suffer without relief. The court also weighed the absence of any significant factors against granting relief and noted the Commissioner's failure to consider relevant factors like Ewing's lack of participation in any wrongdoing and her status as a newlywed in 1995.

Disposition

The Tax Court entered a decision for the petitioner, Gwendolyn A. Ewing, granting her relief from joint liability for the 1995 tax under I. R. C. § 6015(f).

Significance/Impact

This case established that the Tax Court may conduct a trial de novo in reviewing the Commissioner's denial of equitable relief under I. R. C. § 6015(f), not being bound by the administrative record. It clarified the court's jurisdiction and scope of review in such cases, ensuring a more comprehensive evaluation of the taxpayer's

circumstances. The decision also reinforced the factors considered for equitable relief, emphasizing the importance of economic hardship, lack of knowledge, and absence of significant benefit to the requesting spouse. The case has implications for future taxpayers seeking relief under § 6015(f), providing a broader scope for judicial review and potentially increasing the likelihood of relief in cases where the administrative record may be insufficient or incomplete.