## Hopkins v. Commissioner, 120 T. C. 451 (U. S. Tax Court 2003)

In Hopkins v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that a closing agreement signed before the enactment of IRC Section 6015 does not preclude a taxpayer from seeking innocent spouse relief under this section for unpaid tax liabilities. This decision, significant for its retroactive application of Section 6015, allows taxpayers who had previously entered into closing agreements to now seek relief from joint and several tax liabilities, enhancing fairness in tax law application.

### **Parties**

Marianne Hopkins, the Petitioner, sought relief from the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the Respondent, regarding joint and several tax liabilities for the years 1982 and 1983. The case proceeded through various stages of litigation, including a prior bankruptcy proceeding and appeals to a Federal District Court and the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

### Facts

Marianne Hopkins and her then-husband Donald K. Hopkins filed joint income tax returns for the years 1982 and 1983, claiming deductions related to their investment in the Far West Drilling partnership. These deductions were later adjusted by the IRS during an audit. In 1988, the Hopkinses signed a closing agreement under IRC Section 7121, which settled their tax liabilities related to the partnership. This agreement resulted in tax deficiencies for 1982 and 1983, which remained unpaid. In 1995, Marianne Hopkins filed for bankruptcy and sought relief from joint and several liability under the then-applicable IRC Section 6013(e), but her claim was denied due to the closing agreement. After the enactment of IRC Section 6015 in 1998, which provided broader innocent spouse relief, Hopkins sought relief under this new section for the same tax liabilities.

### **Procedural History**

Initially, Hopkins sought relief under IRC Section 6013(e) during her 1995 bankruptcy case, but her claim was rejected by the bankruptcy court due to the preclusive effect of the 1988 closing agreement. This decision was affirmed by the Federal District Court and the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Following the enactment of IRC Section 6015 in 1998, Hopkins filed a Form 8857 with the IRS requesting innocent spouse relief under this new provision. After no determination was made by the IRS, she filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court in 2001, leading to the current case.

### Issue(s)

Whether a taxpayer who signed a closing agreement under IRC Section 7121 before the effective date of IRC Section 6015 is precluded from asserting a claim for relief from joint and several liability under IRC Section 6015 for tax liabilities that remained unpaid as of the effective date of Section 6015?

# Rule(s) of Law

IRC Section 6015, enacted in 1998, provides relief from joint and several liability for certain taxpayers who filed joint returns. It was made retroactively applicable to any tax liability remaining unpaid as of July 22, 1998. IRC Section 7121 allows the IRS to enter into closing agreements with taxpayers, which are generally final and conclusive. However, IRC Section 6015(g)(2) addresses the effect of prior judicial decisions on the availability of Section 6015 relief, indicating that such decisions are not conclusive if the individual did not have the opportunity to raise the claim for relief due to the effective date of Section 6015.

## Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that a taxpayer is not precluded from claiming relief under IRC Section 6015 by a closing agreement entered into before the effective date of Section 6015, provided the tax liability remains unpaid as of July 22, 1998. The court further held that the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel do not bar Hopkins's claim for relief under Section 6015.

## Reasoning

The court reasoned that IRC Section 6015 was enacted to provide broader relief from joint and several tax liabilities than was available under the former IRC Section 6013(e). Congress intended for Section 6015 to apply retroactively to unpaid liabilities as of its effective date, aiming to correct perceived deficiencies in prior law. The court interpreted the lack of specific mention of closing agreements in Section 6015 as not indicating an intent to restrict relief in such cases, especially given the retroactive nature of the statute. The court also drew parallels between the effect of closing agreements and the doctrine of res judicata, noting that both serve to finalize liability but should not preclude Section 6015 relief when the taxpayer did not have the opportunity to claim such relief at the time of the agreement or prior judicial proceedings. The court emphasized the broad and expansive construction of Section 6015 consistent with congressional intent to remedy inequities in tax law.

### Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court ruled in favor of Hopkins, allowing her to proceed with her claim for relief under IRC Section 6015 despite the prior closing agreement.

### Significance/Impact

This case is significant as it establishes that closing agreements signed before the enactment of IRC Section 6015 do not preclude taxpayers from seeking innocent spouse relief under this section for unpaid tax liabilities. It reflects a broader

interpretation of Section 6015, aligning with the legislative intent to provide more equitable relief from joint and several tax liabilities. The decision has implications for future cases involving similar pre-1998 closing agreements and underscores the retroactive application of Section 6015, potentially affecting how other courts interpret and apply this section. It also highlights the Tax Court's commitment to interpreting tax relief statutes liberally to effectuate their remedial purposes.