

## ***Evans Publishing, Inc. v. Commissioner, 119 T. C. 242 (2002)***

In a significant ruling on the jurisdiction of the U. S. Tax Court, the court in *Evans Publishing, Inc. v. Commissioner* held that it has authority to consider additional employment tax claims raised by the Commissioner during litigation, even if not initially included in the notice of determination. This decision clarifies the court's power to adjudicate on the classification of additional individuals as employees and the associated tax liabilities, impacting how employment tax disputes are handled in future cases.

### **Parties**

*Evans Publishing, Inc.* (Petitioner) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent). The petitioner was involved in the case at the trial and appeal levels before the United States Tax Court.

### **Facts**

*Evans Publishing, Inc.* received a Notice of Determination from the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, asserting that its sales and graphics personnel should be classified as employees rather than independent contractors for tax years 1993, 1994, and 1995. The notice also adjusted the amounts of employment taxes owed by *Evans Publishing*, along with additions to tax and penalties. In response, *Evans Publishing* filed a petition with the Tax Court, contesting the worker classification and the assessed taxes. Subsequently, the Commissioner filed an answer claiming that additional individuals, shareholders Will L. Evans and Sherry L. Evans, should also be classified as employees and that the company had disguised their compensation as shareholder loans, leading to further tax liabilities.

### **Procedural History**

*Evans Publishing* initially petitioned the Tax Court challenging the classification of its sales and graphics personnel and the associated tax adjustments. The Commissioner moved to dismiss issues regarding the amounts of employment taxes, citing prior case law that the Tax Court did not have jurisdiction over tax amounts. *Evans Publishing* amended its petition to only contest worker classification but later sought to reinstate the tax amount disputes after a legislative amendment granted the Tax Court jurisdiction over employment tax amounts. The Commissioner then filed an answer asserting additional claims against *Evans Publishing*, leading to the petitioner's motion to strike these new allegations.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction to consider the Commissioner's affirmative allegations concerning additional individuals as employees and the associated employment tax liabilities, which were not included in the initial Notice of Determination?

## **Rule(s) of Law**

The Tax Court's jurisdiction is derived from statutory authority granted by Congress. Section 7436(a) of the Internal Revenue Code allows the Tax Court to determine the correctness of the Commissioner's determination regarding worker classification and the proper amount of employment tax. Section 7436(d)(1) applies principles from sections 6213, 6214(a), 6215, 6503(a), 6512, and 7481 to proceedings under section 7436. Specifically, section 6214(a) permits the Tax Court to redetermine the correct amount of a deficiency, even if greater than the amount stated in the notice of deficiency, and to determine additional amounts asserted by the Commissioner at or before the hearing.

## **Holding**

The Tax Court held that it has jurisdiction over the Commissioner's affirmative allegations regarding the classification of additional individuals as employees and the associated employment tax liabilities, as these claims relate to the taxpayer and taxable periods specified in the notice of determination.

## **Reasoning**

The court reasoned that its jurisdiction under section 7436(a) includes determining the proper amount of employment tax, which necessitates calculating the total wages of individuals classified as employees. The court interpreted section 7436(d)(1) and section 6214(a) to extend its jurisdiction to new issues raised by the Commissioner, provided they relate to the taxable periods and individuals in the notice of determination. The court also considered the legislative intent behind section 7436, which was to provide a comprehensive remedy for employment tax disputes. The court rejected Evans Publishing's argument that the Commissioner's allegations constituted a second examination, distinguishing between new issues and a second audit. Additionally, the court found no prejudice to Evans Publishing in having to address these new issues at trial, as the allegations were relevant and should be decided on their merits.

## **Disposition**

The Tax Court denied Evans Publishing's motion to strike paragraphs 9 and 10 of the Commissioner's answer to the second amended petition, which contained the affirmative allegations regarding additional employee classifications and tax liabilities.

## **Significance/Impact**

This decision expands the scope of the Tax Court's jurisdiction in employment tax disputes, allowing it to consider additional claims raised by the Commissioner during litigation. It clarifies that the court can adjudicate on the employment status of individuals not initially mentioned in the notice of determination and can

determine the associated tax liabilities. This ruling may encourage the Commissioner to assert broader claims in employment tax cases, impacting the strategy and scope of litigation in this area. It also emphasizes the importance of legislative amendments in shaping the jurisdiction of the Tax Court, reflecting Congress's intent to provide a more comprehensive judicial remedy for employment tax disputes.