Hoffman v. Comm'r, 119 T. C. 140 (U. S. Tax Court 2002)

In Hoffman v. Comm'r, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that the IRS's assessment of additional tax, penalties, and interest on the Hoffmans' 1990 income was untimely under the standard three-year statute of limitations. The court rejected the IRS's argument that a six-year period applied, determining that the Hoffmans' gross income included their share of partnership gross receipts, which the IRS failed to prove. This decision highlights the importance of timely assessments and the inclusion of partnership income in calculating gross income for statute of limitations purposes.

Parties

Peter M. Hoffman and Susan L. Hoffman, Petitioners, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent. The Hoffmans were the plaintiffs at the trial level in the U. S. Tax Court, and the Commissioner of Internal Revenue was the defendant.

Facts

Peter M. Hoffman and Susan L. Hoffman filed their joint 1990 Federal income tax return on September 10, 1991. The return reported that they held partnership interests in six partnerships, with one general partnership interest and five limited partnership interests. They also reported being shareholders in an S corporation but stated that they did not materially participate in any of these entities as defined under section 469 of the Internal Revenue Code. In 1997, they filed an amended return for 1990, reporting additional income and paying additional tax of $218,152. The IRS assessed this additional tax, along with penalties and interest, on November 6, 1997. The Hoffmans contested this assessment as untimely, arguing that the standard three-year statute of limitations had expired.

Procedural History

The Hoffmans filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court under section 6330(d) of the Internal Revenue Code after the IRS issued a notice of intent to levy to collect the assessed amounts. The case was submitted fully stipulated. The IRS argued that the six-year statute of limitations under section 6501(e)(1)(A) applied due to the omission of income exceeding 25% of the gross income stated in the original return. The Tax Court reviewed the case de novo as the underlying tax liability was at issue and had not been previously disputed by the Hoffmans.

Issue(s)

Whether the IRS's assessment of additional tax, penalties, and interest on November 6, 1997, for the Hoffmans' 1990 tax year was timely under the statute of limitations?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Section 6501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code generally requires that tax be assessed within three years after the return is filed. Section 6501(e)(1)(A) extends this period to six years if the taxpayer omits from gross income an amount properly includible that exceeds 25% of the gross income stated in the return. For taxpayers with partnership interests, gross income includes their share of the partnership's gross receipts from the sale of goods or services as per section 6501(e)(1)(A)(i).

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that the IRS's assessment on November 6, 1997, was untimely under the standard three-year statute of limitations. The court determined that the six-year period did not apply because the IRS failed to prove that the Hoffmans' gross income, which included their share of partnership gross receipts, justified the longer limitations period.

Reasoning

The court analyzed whether the IRS met its burden of proving that the six-year statute of limitations under section 6501(e)(1)(A) applied. The IRS argued that the Hoffmans' partnership interests should not be considered as part of their gross income for this purpose because they did not materially participate in the partnerships. However, the court rejected this argument, stating that section 6501(e)(1)(A)(i) does not require material participation for a partner's share of partnership gross receipts to be included in gross income. The court emphasized that the IRS failed to provide evidence of the partnership returns or the gross receipts reported therein, which was necessary to determine if the omission exceeded 25% of the gross income stated in the Hoffmans' return. The court also noted that any amounts assessed, paid, or collected after the expiration of the period of limitations are overpayments, and thus, the Hoffmans were entitled to a refund of the $218,152 paid with their amended return.

Disposition

Judgment was entered for the petitioners, Peter M. Hoffman and Susan L. Hoffman, and the IRS's assessment was deemed untimely.

Significance/Impact

This case underscores the importance of the IRS's timely assessment of tax liabilities and the inclusion of partnership income in calculating gross income for statute of limitations purposes. It clarifies that a partner's share of partnership gross receipts must be considered in determining gross income, regardless of the partner's level of participation in the partnership. The decision impacts how the IRS must approach assessments where partnership income is involved and reinforces the rights of taxpayers to timely assessments and refunds of overpayments.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.