Rudman v. Commissioner, 118 T. C. 354, 2002 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 51, 118 T. C. No. 21 (U. S. Tax Court 2002)

In Rudman v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that earnings from commodities futures trading by a dealer, Keith M. Rudman, were subject to self-employment tax. Despite trading through a broker due to an ongoing investigation, the court found Rudman's 1994 earnings from U. S. Treasury bond futures did not deviate from his normal trading activity. This decision clarifies that the method of trading does not exempt commodities dealers from self-employment tax, impacting how dealers report their income.

Parties

Keith M. Rudman, the petitioner, was a commodities dealer and member of the Chicago Board of Trade (CBOT). The respondent was the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. Rudman filed a petition challenging the Commissioner's determination of a deficiency in his Federal income tax and an accuracy-related penalty for the tax year 1994.

Facts

Keith M. Rudman was a member of the CBOT and actively traded U. S. Treasury bond futures contracts. In 1994, due to an ongoing investigation by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), Rudman conducted his trades through a floor broker rather than directly on the trading floor of the CBOT. Despite this change, Rudman realized $1,541,926 in net gains from these trades and paid over $89,000 in commissions to the broker. On his 1994 Federal income tax return, Rudman treated these gains as capital gains and reported them on Schedule D, while claiming $160,446 in business expenses related to his trading activity on Schedule C.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Rudman's 1994 Federal income tax and an accuracy-related penalty. Rudman filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court challenging the Commissioner's determination that his earnings from commodities futures trading were subject to self-employment tax. The case proceeded on a fully stipulated basis under Tax Court Rule 122.

Issue(s)

Whether earnings realized by Keith M. Rudman, a commodities dealer, from trading U. S. Treasury bond futures contracts through a floor broker in 1994 are subject to self-employment tax?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 1401 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a tax on self-employment

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

income from a taxpayer's trade or business. Generally, capital gains are excluded from self-employment income under section 1402(a)(3)(A). However, section 1402(i), enacted in 1984, specifies that gains realized by commodities dealers in the ordinary course of trading in futures contracts are subject to self-employment tax. A commodities dealer is defined in section 1402(i)(2)(B) as "a person who is actively engaged in trading section 1256 contracts and is registered with a domestic board of trade which is designated as a contract market by the Commodities Futures Trading Commission. "

Holding

The U. S. Tax Court held that Keith M. Rudman's earnings from trading U. S. Treasury bond futures contracts in 1994 were subject to self-employment tax. The court determined that Rudman's trading activity through a broker did not constitute a deviation from the normal course of his commodities trading activity, thus falling within the purview of section 1402(i).

Reasoning

The court's reasoning focused on the interpretation of section 1402(i), which explicitly includes commodities dealers' gains from trading section 1256 contracts in the calculation of self-employment income. The court rejected Rudman's argument that trading through a broker due to the CFTC investigation altered the normal course of his trading activity. The court noted that Rudman remained a member of the CBOT, continued to trade actively, and reported his trading as a business on his tax return. The use of a broker was not deemed sufficient to exclude Rudman's earnings from self-employment tax, as it did not fundamentally change the nature or frequency of his trading. The court distinguished this case from *Kovner v. Commissioner*, where the taxpayer was not a member of an exchange or a floor trader, emphasizing Rudman's ongoing status and activity as a commodities dealer. The court's decision underscores the broad application of section 1402(i) to commodities dealers, regardless of their trading method.

Disposition

The U. S. Tax Court ruled that Keith M. Rudman's earnings from commodities futures trading in 1994 were subject to self-employment tax, and a decision was to be entered under Rule 155.

Significance/Impact

The decision in Rudman v. Commissioner has significant implications for commodities dealers, clarifying that self-employment tax applies to their trading gains regardless of whether they trade directly or through a broker. This ruling emphasizes the importance of the legal definition of a commodities dealer under section 1402(i)(2)(B) and the broad application of self-employment tax to their

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

income. The case has been influential in subsequent tax court decisions and has shaped the tax reporting practices of commodities dealers, ensuring they account for self-employment tax on their trading income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.